## **Combined earthquake hazard map** Wellington City











Earthquake Hazard Mitigation Measures				
Hazard	Effect on ground	Effect on facilities	Mitigation options: existing facilities	Mitigation options: planne facilities
Fault movement	Ground disturbances vertically and horizontally over a zone depends on depth to rock below surface. Cracks in land surface.	Upheaval, tearing apart, movement of foundations, severe damage to structures which cross the fault.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: options: move facilities from fault zone minit damage by providing weak links or isolation	Verify. Assess impact. Options: Options: Icoroprote special strengthening provide weak links or special isolation to limi damage
Ground shaking	Violent horizontal and vertical motions for up to one minute duration.	Cracking, fracture, collapse of buildings. Breaks in underground services. Deformation of surface infrastructure.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: oscure/improve vulnerable parts limit damage by providing weak links or isolation.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: oronyby with current codes for design and construction incorporate strength and resilience scure vulnerable parts and contents
Liquefaction	Shaking causes some soils to behave like liquid, causing loss of support to structures above. Such soils may be up to 10m below ground surface. Lateral movement of large soil masses, especially adjacent to rivers. Variable subsidence of ground surface.	Sinking and tilting of structures supported on liquefied material. Severe damage to underground services. Flotation of empty underground tanks and chambers.	Verify. Assess impact. Soptions: a) install gries install gravel drains drain liquefiable layers prepare for quick reinstatement	Verify. Assess impact. Options: orapact ground at site install piles and gravel drains drain liquefiable layers
Slope failure	A significant soil masses moves bodily down the slope, from few hundred millimeters to many metres. Landkides occur at many different locations.	Ranges from deformation of foundations and structural failures to total destruction of site and all buildings and infrastructure above and below ground.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: stabilise slope – retaining walls stabilise slope – ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion	Verify. Assess impact. Options: Ind a better site stabilise slope retaining walls stabilise slope -ground anchors improve drainage, reduce erosion
Tsunami	Land flooded. Scouring action erodes soil dramatically	Flooding of basements. Undermining/destruction of surface infrastructure. Exposure/ damage to underground services. Undermining of foundations. Bodily movement of some structures, equipment, vehicles etc.	Verify. Assess impact. Options: orstruct protective sea walls shift critical facilities to higher level	Verify. Assess impact. Gytions: Ifind a better site oristruct protective sea walls design special foundations / dikes put critical facilities at high level

## Background statement

recognition of the earthquake hazard in the Region, the Greater Wellington Regional Council has carried out studies on ground surface rupture from acti liting, ground shaking, liquefaction potential and associated ground damage, skope failure and tsunami inundation (Wellington Harbour). Single factor and maps have been produced by Greater Wellington for each of these earthquake hazards.

red earthquake hazard map is a generalised map of earthquake hazard reflecting possible effects on a typical range of facilities (buildings, roads, c). The methodology has involved broad assessments of many factors which determine the effects of earthquakes. This map series was prepared for Greater Wellington by Ian R Brown Associates Ltd in association with Kingston Morrison Ltd and Victoria University of

assessment methodologies developed for each of the earthquake hazard components and the methodology used to combine and present ormation impose certain qualifications and limitations on the use of the information. Details on the qualifications and limitations, and assigned the various gies of the component earthquake hazard studies are available from Greater Wellington. The methodology used to ombine the various e hazards are described in the Greater Wellington Report on Mapping Methodology and Risk Mitigation Measures WRC/RP-T96/22. mation provided on these maps cannot be substituted for a site specific investigation. The site specific potential for and consequent damage fr Iting, amplified ground shaking, liquefaction, slope failure, and tsunami inundation should be assessed by qualified and experienced practitio

Bibliographic reference Greater Wellington Regional Council (1996). Sheet 1 Wellington (1\* ed.) Combined Earthquake Hazard Map 1:30000, Pub . No. WRC/RP-T-96/13 Greater Wellington Regional Council, Wellington, New Zealand.

- tes on earthquake hazard mitigation measures Check that the broad indication of hazard from the maps is correct for a particular site. (In many case, this could prove cost-effective towards mitigation.) Obtain professional advice on implications and available countermeasures.
- Mitigation options shown are in brief general terms. Professional advice will be needed to account for particular circum
- Single component hazard maps These combine to produce the Combined Earthquake Hazard Maps. Maps of the single components (ground shaking, liquefaction and earthquake int signe failung are available from the Hazard Analyst at Greater Wellington.

Copyright: Wellington Regional Council. The topographic information used in this map has been reproduced under licence fro Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). Crown Copyright Reserved.

**Greater** WELLINGTON THE REGIONAL COUNCIL Emergency Management