

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

Main Submission Point	Main Submitter (S)	Further Submission Point	Further Submitter (FS)	Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	Accept/reject
S102.069	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.069	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S102.082	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.082	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S115.091	Hutt City Council			S115.091	Hutt City Council	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support in part	Support insofar as any consequential amendments to the list of policies are made where we have sought the deletion of those policies.	Consequential amendment to the list of policies to reflect policies where we seek deletion	Accept in part
S140.092	Wellington City Council (WCC)			S140.092	Wellington City Council (WCC)	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support in part	Update to reflect other relief sought by WCC.	Any relevant amendments to the list of policies to reflect policies where we seek deletion	Accept in part
S147.084	Wellington Fish and Game Council			S147.084	Wellington Fish and Game Council	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support in part	In general supports the amendment of district plans to implement policies after the policies listed here have been amended or agreed on.	Amend the following policies prior to integrating into district plans: Policy FW.3, Policy 23, Policy 24, Policy IE.1.	Accept in part
S147.084	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS19.148	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	FS19.148	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	Method 1: District plan implementation	Oppose	It is unnecessary and redundant to recreate NPSFM policies within the RPS. Most of the amendments sought do not in any event properly reflect the NPSFM. In particular, they do not accurately reflect the proviso to Policy 7, the requirements of clause 3.22, the limitation of Policy 10 to trout and salmon only, and the subservience of Policy 10 to Policy 9. Some of the amendments attempt to address matters that are	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								already adequately covered by extant provisions or PC1 as notified. Some of the amendments undermine the more detailed content of PC1.		
S147.084	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS30.253	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.253	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 1: District plan implementation	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.	Disallow That the submission be disallowed with the exception of 147.008	Reject
S165.092	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New			S165.092	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support in part	The absence of a date means that the policies may never be implemented, if it is not	Include a requirement that, in any event, the policies are implemented by the time the district plan is reviewed.	Accept

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

	Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)				Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)			reasonably practicable to do so.		
S165.092	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 1: District plan implementation	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
S167.0136	Taranaki Whānui			S167.0136	Taranaki Whānui	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports this method and in particular the requirement for district plans to be amended 'as soon as reasonably practicable' so as to begin the implementation of much needed environmental protections.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S168.0182	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc			S168.0182	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support in part	Implementing the process of amending district plans as soon as practicable is supported. It would helpful if the method included an end date by which this process should have occurred.	Specify an end date by which this process should have occurred.	Accept in part
S168.0182	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	FS31.112	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	FS31.112	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	Method 1: District plan implementation	Support	Kia ora koutou, My name is Ian Gunn, Secretary Sustainable Wairarapa inc. contact # 021567134, address 4B McKay Street, Paraparaumu Beach 5032. Firstly we'd like to state the time frame provided to peruse over 900 pages of submissions is in our opinion an abuse of process. The benefit of further submissions is for you the council to listen and hear the views of its ratepayers. The timeframe in our case does not allow a rigorous review of the original submissions to council. On top of this we are a week before	Not stated	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								<p>Christmas- a very busy and chaotic time for most members of the community. It is highly likely that the majority of staff will take leave over the Christmas break so analysis of any further submissions will not occur until late January 2023-so why the short period to respond. While there is due process there is also good practise your management of the further submissions fails the good practise model. As a consequence we would like you to note Sustainable Wairarapa's strong support of the original submissions lodged with council by the two Wairarapa Iwi-Ngati Kahungunu and Rangitane. Its clear that there is a poor understanding of nature based solutions this term needs further explanation. Sustainable Wairarapa acknowledges that while nature based solutions offer a wide variety of options its not the only solution. We are heartened by the widespread support for the original document. Thanks for an opportunity to make a further submission. Nga mihi nui Ian Gun</p>		
S102.083	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.083	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

S129.030	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency			S129.030	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support	Supports this method as it aligns with the timeframes specified within higher order documents.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S147.085	Wellington Fish and Game Council			S147.085	Wellington Fish and Game Council	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support in part	In general supports the amendment of district plans to implement policies after the policies listed here have been amended or agreed on.	Amend the following policies prior to integrating into district plans: Policy 12, Policy 18, Policy 23, Policy 24, Policy IE.1.	Accept in part
S147.085	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS19.149	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	FS19.149	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Oppose	It is unnecessary and redundant to recreate NPSFM policies within the RPS. Most of the amendments sought do not in any event properly reflect the NPSFM. In particular, they do not accurately reflect the proviso to Policy 7, the requirements of clause 3.22, the limitation of Policy 10 to trout and salmon only, and the subservience of Policy 10 to Policy 9. Some of the amendments attempt to address matters that are already adequately covered by extant provisions or PC1 as notified. Some of the amendments undermine the more detailed content of PC1.	Disallow	Reject
S147.085	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS30.254	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.254	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in	Disallow: That the submission be disallowed with the exception of 147.007	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S165.093	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)			S165.093	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support in part	The absence of a date means that the policies may never be implemented, if it is not reasonably practicable to do so.	Include a requirement that, in any event, the policies are implemented by the time the district plan is reviewed.	Accept
S165.093	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted or implemented is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S167.0137	Taranaki Whānui			S167.0137	Taranaki Whānui	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports this method and in particular the requirement for regional plans to be amended 'as soon as reasonably practicable' so as to begin the implementation of much needed environmental protections.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S168.0137	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc			S168.0137	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa strongly support providing policies, rules and methods to protect tangata whenua and communities from adverse health and amenity impacts from the discharges identified, as well as the phase-out of coal as a fuel source domestically and commercially. Rangitāne o Wairarapa support providing policies, rules and methods to support industry to reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Amend policy to support reductions in industrial GHG emissions that are consistent with national GHG emissions targets.	Accept in part
S168.0137	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	FS31.065	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	FS31.065	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	Method 2: Regional plan implementation	Support	Kia ora koutou, My name is Ian Gunn, Secretary Sustainable Wairarapa inc.	Not stated	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

							<p>contact # 021567134, address 4B McKay Street, Paraparaumu Beach 5032. Firstly we'd like to state the time frame provided to peruse over 900 pages of submissions is in our opinion an abuse of process. The benefit of further submissions is for you the council to listen and hear the views of its ratepayers. The timeframe in our case does not allow a rigorous review of the original submissions to council. On top of this we are a week before Christmas- a very busy and chaotic time for most members of the community. It is highly likely that the majority of staff will take leave over the Christmas break so analysis of any further submissions will not occur until late January 2023-so why the short period to respond. While there is due process there is also good practise your management of the further submissions fails the good practise model. As a consequence we would like you to note Sustainable Wairarapa's strong support of the original submissions lodged with council by the two Wairarapa Iwi-Ngati Kahungunu and Rangitane. Its clear that there is a poor understanding of nature based solutions this term needs further explanation. Sustainable Wairarapa acknowledges that while</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								nature based solutions offer a wide variety of options its not the only solution. We are heartened by the widespread support for the original document. Thanks for an opportunity to make a further submission. Nga mihi nui lan Gun		
S115.092	Hutt City Council			S115.092	Hutt City Council	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified	Accept
S129.031	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency			S129.031	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support	Supports to be involved in advance to enable early collaboration.	Retain as notified.	Accept
S140.093	Wellington City Council (WCC)			S140.093	Wellington City Council (WCC)	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.	Accept
S165.094	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)			S165.094	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support		Retain	Accept
S165.094	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted or implemented is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S167.0138	Taranaki Whānui			S167.0138	Taranaki Whānui	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Oppose in part	Taranaki Whānui support the intent and direction of Objective 22 including the provision at (h) to enable Māori to express their cultural and traditional norms by providing mana whenua / tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. We support the intention to direct 'planning decisions relating to urban environments'. Taranaki Whānui understand from GWRC officers that the specific urban development provisions that reflect NPS-UD Objective 5 and Policy 9 are provided by Policies UD1 and UD2. What is lacking in these provisions is specific reference to respective Treaty relationships with mana	Re-draft Method 3	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								whenua partners across the region. This is a concern for Taranaki Whānui as treaty partners and in relation to the proposed provisions. Taranaki Whānui note new policy EIW.1 focusses on providing direction to the Regional Land Transport Plan and by order of hierarchy (including Objective 22) mana whenua / tangata whenua involvement will be required. It is not explicit for treaty partners. As with decision sought on Objective 22, we require explicit direction for treaty partner involvement.		
S168.0185	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc			S168.0185	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support	The specified timetable for commencing the process to amend the RLTP is supported.	Retain as notified	Accept
S168.0185	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	FS31.115	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	FS31.115	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	Method 3: Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan implementation	Support	Kia ora koutou, My name is Ian Gunn, Secretary Sustainable Wairarapa inc. contact # 021567134, address 4B McKay Street, Paraparaumu Beach 5032. Firstly we'd like to state the time frame provided to peruse over 900 pages of submissions is in our opinion an abuse of process. The benefit of further submissions is for you the council to listen and hear the views of its ratepayers. The timeframe in our case does not allow a rigorous review of the original submissions to council. On top of this we are a week before Christmas- a very busy and chaotic time for most	Not stated	Accept

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								members of the community. It is highly likely that the majority of staff will take leave over the Christmas break so analysis of any further submissions will not occur until late January 2023-so why the short period to respond. While there is due process there is also good practise your management of the further submissions fails the good practise model. As a consequence we would like you to note Sustainable Wairarapa's strong support of the original submissions lodged with council by the two Wairarapa Iwi-Ngati Kahungunu and Rangitane. Its clear that there is a poor understanding of nature based solutions this term needs further explanation. Sustainable Wairarapa acknowledges that while nature based solutions offer a wide variety of options its not the only solution. We are heartened by the widespread support for the original document. Thanks for an opportunity to make a further submission. Nga mihi nui lan Gun		
S11.010	Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett			S11.010	Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or	Support in part	Let community be part of the solutions	Amend as follows: Method 4 Implementation: Wellington Regional Council and city and district Councils and community	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

						reviewing plans				
S30.089	Porirua City Council			S30.089	Porirua City Council	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	Amend method to make consequential amendments in line with relief sought to restrict the application of policies to resource consents and notices of requirement	Amend method to make consequential amendments in line with relief sought to restrict the application of policies to resource consents and notices of requirement	Accept in part
S30.089	Porirua City Council	FS25.122	Peka Peka Farm Limited	FS25.122	Peka Peka Farm Limited		Support	The submission provides a comprehensive analysis of the proposed change including in relation to matters of scope and jurisdiction. It is supported without prejudice to the specific relief sought in the primary submission or this further submission by Peka Peka Farm Ltd.	Allow	Accept in part
S102.070	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.070	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S102.084	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.084	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S115.093	Hutt City Council			S115.093	Hutt City Council	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents,	Support in part	The method is appropriate if the policies listed are modified so that they are less prescriptive and less	Consequential amendment to the list of policies to reflect policies where we seek deletion.	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

						notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans		complicated, and repeated statements of higher order requirements of the RMA and national policy statements are removed or, modified to have a clear relevance from a regional perspective or interpretation		
S129.032	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency			S129.032	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Supports this method as it provides clear direction to District and City Councils.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S140.094	Wellington City Council (WCC)			S140.094	Wellington City Council (WCC)	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Update to reflect other relief sought by WCC.	Any relevant amendments to the list of policies to reflect policies where we seek deletion.	Accept in part
S147.086	Wellington Fish and Game Council			S147.086	Wellington Fish and Game Council	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support in part	In general supports the amendment of district plans to implement policies after the policies listed here have been amended or agreed on.	Amend the following policies prior to integrating into district plans: Policy 40, Policy 47, Policy 52, Policy IE.1.	Accept in part
S147.086	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS19.150	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	FS19.150	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing,	Oppose	It is unnecessary and redundant to recreate NPSFM policies within the RPS. Most of the amendments sought do not in any event properly reflect the NPSFM. In particular, they do not	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

						varying or reviewing plans		accurately reflect the proviso to Policy 7, the requirements of clause 3.22, the limitation of Policy 10 to trout and salmon only, and the subservience of Policy 10 to Policy 9. Some of the amendments attempt to address matters that are already adequately covered by extant provisions or PC1 as notified. Some of the amendments undermine the more detailed content of PC1.		
S147.086	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS30.255	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.255	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted is	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S158.032	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities			S158.032	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Considers that reference to resource consents and notices of requirement is moot within this method given that the implementation within a regional or district plan would then filter through to resource consents and notices of requirement.	Amend method as follows: Method 4: Consideration- resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans Policies 35 to 60, IM.1, IM.2, CC.9, CC.10, CC.11, CC.12, CC.13, CC.14, FW.5, IE.2, UD.2 and UD.3 will be implemented, where relevant, when considering a resource consent, notice of requirement, or when changing, varying or reviewing a district or regional plan. AND Undertake any consequential changes as requires to reflect the amendment to the title of this method. Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Reject
S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review.	That the amendments to Method 4 be deleted. Delete the FW icon.	Reject
S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS7.131	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	FS7.131	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	Method 4: Consideration - resource	Oppose	It is completely appropriate to include climate change, biodiversity and freshwater	Disallow whole submission	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

			Society (Forest & Bird)		Society (Forest & Bird)	consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans		provisions in the plan change. This plan change creates efficiency by considering multiple policy directives from central government. The amendments sought by Federated Farmers fail to give effect to the NPSFM, the NPS for Indigenous Biodiversity, for which there is an exposure draft and the final version is due out this month, and do not achieve the purpose of the RMA or the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Act 2019.		
S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS20.253	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	FS20.253	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	Ātiawa oppose the entire submission by Wairarapa Federated Farmers. The relief sought by Federated Farmers is to effectively delete the entire proposed plan change (except for submission points S163.083, S163.084). The basis for deleting the proposed plan change is to delay decision-making. Ātiawa do not accept that delaying responding to national direction is an appropriate course of action, and will further compound environmental and resource management issues.	Disallow the entire submission by Wairarapa Federated Farmers.	Accept in part
S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS29.104	Ngā Hapu o Otaki	FS29.104	Ngā Hapu o Otaki	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	Section 18, page 4: General Comments - OPPOSESection 25, Page 5 Going Forward - OPPOSEIt is disheartening to see that Wairarapa Federated Farmers aren't capable of recognizing the obligations GWRC must maintain with Treaty Partners. It must be	Not stated	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								understood that Manawhenua are not simply 'groups of people' but a representation of the signatories that signed the Treaty of Waitangi and the original kaitiaki and custodians of the taonga in question when considering how these plan changes are implemented. Wairarapa Federated Farmers indicate a lack of awareness to the value of manawhenua engagement. Their stated 'aspirations of delivering environmental improvements alongside a thriving bio-economy' aren't feasible without considering the intergenerational insight and technical direction that only Mātauranga Māori can offer.		
S163.088	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS30.160	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.160	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	B+LNZ agree that the scope of RPS PC1 should be restricted to those changes necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. Where alternative relief is provided, B+LNZ generally support this relief.	Allow	Reject
S165.095	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			S165.095	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement	Support		Retain	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

	(Forest & Bird)					and when changing, varying or reviewing plans				
S165.095	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted or implemented is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.	Disallow	Reject
S167.0139	Taranaki Whānui			S167.0139	Taranaki Whānui	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports the inclusion of this method.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

						and when changing, varying or reviewing plans				
S168.0186	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc			S168.0186	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Key policies relating to issues important to mana whenua / tangata whenua are explicitly covered in the method. The requirement to implement the range of policies is supported.	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
S168.0186	Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	FS31.116	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	FS31.116	Sustainable Wairarapa inc	Method 4: Consideration - resource consents, notices of requirement and when changing, varying or reviewing plans	Support	Kia ora koutou, My name is Ian Gunn, Secretary Sustainable Wairarapa inc. contact # 021567134, address 4B McKay Street, Paraparaumu Beach 5032. Firstly we'd like to state the time frame provided to peruse over 900 pages of submissions is in our opinion an abuse of process. The benefit of further submissions is for you the council to listen and hear the views of its ratepayers. The timeframe in our case does not allow a rigorous review of the original submissions to council. On top of this we are a week before Christmas- a very busy and chaotic time for most members of the community. It is highly likely that the majority of staff will take leave over the Christmas break so analysis of any further submissions will not occur until late January 2023-so why the short period to respond. While there is due process there is also	Not stated	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								good practise your management of the further submissions fails the good practise model. As a consequence we would like you to note Sustainable Wairarapa's strong support of the original submissions lodged with council by the two Wairarapa Iwi-Ngati Kahungunu and Rangitane. Its clear that there is a poor understanding of nature based solutions this term needs further explanation. Sustainable Wairarapa acknowledges that while nature based solutions offer a wide variety of options its not the only solution. We are heartened by the widespread support for the original document. Thanks for an opportunity to make a further submission. Nga mihi nui Ian Gun		
S30.090	Porirua City Council			S30.090	Porirua City Council	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Oppose	Delete method.	This method does not make sense. It does not allocate responsibilities and is unnecessary.	Reject
S30.090	Porirua City Council	FS25.123	Peka Peka Farm Limited	FS25.123	Peka Peka Farm Limited	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Support	The submission provides a comprehensive analysis of the proposed change including in relation to matters of scope and jurisdiction. It is supported without prejudice to the specific relief sought in the primary submission or this further submission by Peka Peka Farm Ltd.	Allow	Reject
S102.073	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee			S102.073	Te Tumu Paeroa Office of the Māori Trustee	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.	Accept

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

S147.087	Wellington Fish and Game Council			S147.087	Wellington Fish and Game Council	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.	Accept
S147.087	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS19.151	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	FS19.151	Wellington Water Ltd ("Wellington Water")	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Oppose	It is unnecessary and redundant to recreate NPSFM policies within the RPS. Most of the amendments sought do not in any event properly reflect the NPSFM. In particular, they do not accurately reflect the proviso to Policy 7, the requirements of clause 3.22, the limitation of Policy 10 to trout and salmon only, and the subservience of Policy 10 to Policy 9. Some of the amendments attempt to address matters that are already adequately covered by extant provisions or PC1 as notified. Some of the amendments undermine the more detailed content of PC1.	Disallow	Reject
S147.087	Wellington Fish and Game Council	FS30.256	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.256	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the	Disallow That the submission be disallowed with the exception of 147.007	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S165.096	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)			S165.096	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Support		Retain	Accept
S165.096	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	FS30.319	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Oppose	B+LNZ generally oppose the submission on the grounds that's B+LNZ are seeking changes of the plan change are restricted to those necessary to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development and that any other matters should be subject to proper review in the Schedule full review of the RPS in 2024 and in the scheduled reviews of the Natural Resources Plan in 2023 and 2024. This is because the changes materially impact on communities, including rural communities and we do not consider that the necessary engagement has been undertaken to adequately inform these provisions or to meet the	Disallow	Reject

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								requirements of Part 3.2 of the NPS-FM. Furthermore, there is a risk that including matters relating to climate change and indigenous biodiversity before key national legislation is gazetted or implemented is premature and will lead to the inefficient implementation and confusion amongst those who it impacts materially.		
S167.0140	Taranaki Whānui			S167.0140	Taranaki Whānui	Method 5: Allocation of responsibilities	Support	Taranaki Whānui notes the intent of Policy FW.6 outlining the allocation of responsibilities for land use and development controls for freshwater between Wellington Regional Council and territorial authorities. We have provided a draft Freshwater Vision and Te Mana o te Wai statement that will explicitly assist in establishing the policy framework for Taranaki Whānui involvement in through the freshwater planning instrument and therefore implementation.	Retain as notified.	Accept
S11.023	Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett			S11.023	Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett	General comments - overall	Support in part	Can we remove all the words information, promote, support and encourage to an action. We have been doing this for years and now is time for action. Still too passive. My local Council have been ignoring your information, promotion, support and encouragement to date. The document is far too passive.	Use stronger language throughout the document: Replace "information", "promote", "support" and "encourage" with "implement" or "incentivize" (or better word), Replace "consideration" with "essential". Replace "non-regulatory" with "regulatory".	Accept in part
S16.0102	Kāpiti Coast District Council			S16.0102	Kāpiti Coast District Council	General comments - overall	Oppose	Use of 'and/or' throughout RPS Change 1: We note the use of and/or generally	All instances of and/or are reviewed and 'and' or 'or'	Accept in part

S42A Appendix 2 - HS7 - Consequential Amendments - Summary Recommendations Table

								means a choice can be made. This is an issue across RPS Change 1 where it appears there is uncertainty as to whether there should be a choice or not. We request all instances of 'and / or' are reviewed and 'and' or 'or' are specifically used where appropriate.	are specifically used where appropriate.	
S34.0118	Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council			S34.0118	Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council	General comments - overall	Oppose	Inadequacy of Section 32 Assessment: Council is concerned that the Section 32 assessment is not sufficiently evidenced and does not fully evaluate whether many of the regulatory provisions are practical / can be achieved and are the best method of achieving the outcomes sought.	These provisions should be deleted and considered in a later plan change.	Reject
S34.0120	Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council			S34.0120	Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council	General comments - overall	Oppose	Council considers that there are fundamental issues with the proposed provisions that require significant revision or deletion to ensure the RPSPC1 is legally robust and practical to implement. Thus, Council seeks that GWRC undertake a full legal and planning review of the proposed provisions and amend the RPSPC1 to address these concerns, including detailed submission points on individual provisions included in Table 1.	Council also seeks any other consequential amendments to remedy errors and address relief sought.	Accept in part