Section 42A Hearing Report Hearing Stream 1

Topic: Air Quality

Process: Schedule 1, Part 1 Process

Prepared by: Samuel O'Brien Report Date: 3 October 2024

Hearing Date: 4 November 2024

Contents

E	xecutive	Summary	2
lı	nterpreta	ation	3
	1.0	Introduction	4
	1.1	Purpose	4
	1.2	Scope of this Report	4
	1.3	Author	4
	1.4	Key Issues	5
	1.5	Pre-hearing Meetings	5
	2.0	Statutory Considerations	5
	2.1	Resource Management Act 1991	5
	2.2	National Direction	6
	2.3	Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative)	6
	2.4	Approach to identifying the freshwater planning instrument	6
	2.5	Section 32AA	6
	2.6	Trade Competition	7
	3.0	Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions	
	3.1	Report Structure	7
	3.2	Issue 1: Rules with only supportive, neutral submissions, or out of scope	_
		ssions	
	3.2.1	Analysis Recommendations	
	3.2.2		
	3.3	Issue 2: Functional or operational need for activities in the Coastal Marine Area	
	3.3.1	Analysis	
		Recommendations	
	3.4	Issue 3: Agrichemical Rules	
	3.4.1 3.4.2	Analysis	
	3.5	Issue 4: Water and wastewater process amendments	
	3.5.1 3.5.2	Recommendations	
	3.6	Issue 5: Climate Change	
		Analysis	11
	3.6.1	Analysis	
	3.6. <i>1</i> 3.6. <i>2</i> 4.0	Analysis	12

Executive Summary

- 1. This report considers submissions received by Greater Wellington Regional Council ('the Council') in relation to the provisions of Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region ('PC1') as they apply to Air Quality.
- 2. The provisions in this topic are all following the Schedule 1, Part 1 Process of the Resource Management Act 1991 ('the RMA').
- 3. A total of 115 submissions points and 139 further submissions points were received on this topic. The submissions on this topic were narrow in focus, addressing the miscellaneous amendments to the Air Quality Chapter. The following key issues are raised in submissions and are covered by this report. The details of the issues and who the submitters are is set out in Appendix 2:
 - Rules with only supportive, neutral submissions, or out of scope submissions
 - The functional or operational need for activities to occur in the Coastal Marine Area (CMA);
 - Agrichemical Rules;
 - Water, wastewater, and gas process amendments; and
 - Climate Change.
- 4. Other issues raised by submitters in relation to this topic are also covered in the report, along with a range of consequential amendments that have arisen in responding to submissions.
- 5. As a result of analysing the submissions, I have recommended a number of amendments to the PC1 provisions to address issues raised. These amendments can be summarised as follows:
 - Reinstating the coastal icon allowing permitted activity for activities in the coastal marine area where there is a clear functional or operational requirement for to occur; and
 - Minor amendments to agrichemical rules.
- 6. These recommended changes are set out in Appendix 4 of this report.
- 7. I have also undertaken a section 32AA evaluation for the amendments I have recommended, and this is attached to this report as Appendix 5.

For the reasons outlined in the section 32AA evaluation in Appendix 5 and outlined in this report, I consider that the proposed rules, with my recommended amendments, are the most appropriate provisions.

Officer's Report: Air Quality

Interpretation

8. This report utilises a number of abbreviations and should be read in conjunction with the document 'Plan Change 1 to the Natural resources Plan – List of Abbreviations of Terms and Submitter Names' available on the Plan Change 1 website.

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

- 9. This report is prepared under section 42A of the RMA. The purpose of this report is to provide the Schedule 1 Hearings Panel ('the Hearing Panel') with an analysis of submissions received by the Council in relation to the Air Quality topic of PC1. I make recommendations as to whether or not those submissions should be accepted/accepted in part or rejected/rejected in part the relief sought by submitters, or where I make no recommendation, and where appropriate, provide recommendations for amendments to the PC1 provisions.
- 10. This report should be read in conjunction with the section 42A report 'Overarching' which provides the background to PC1, the statutory context, scope of the plan change, the approach to the categorisation of provisions, and administrative matters relating to PC1.

1.2 Scope of this Report

- 11. PC1 has been notified via two plan-making processes under Schedule 1 of the RMA:
 - The Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) under Part 4, Schedule 1 for the provisions that form the Freshwater Planning Instrument. These provisions are marked in the PC1 document with the freshwater icon.
 - The standard plan-making process in Part 1, Schedule 1 ('P1S1').
- 12. This report covers submissions on provisions that have been notified entirely within the Part 1, Schedule 1 process.
- 13. The provisions of PC1 that are addressed by this report are set out in Appendix 1. That table also includes the relevant page number in the notified plan change document, the relevant plan change process for each provision (P1S1 for this topic), and the number of submissions received on each provision.

1.3 Author

- 14. My name is Samuel Nicholas O'Brien, and I am employed by the Council as an Environmental Policy Advisor in the Environmental Policy team. I hold a Master of Planning and Bachelor of Applied Science from the University of Otago. I am a Graduate member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
- 15. I have 1 year of experience in resource management and planning, working in local government. During this time, I have specialised in policy planning working across a range of resource management issues.
- 16. I have been involved in the development of the provisions for PC1 and also contributed to the Section 32 evaluation report.
- 17. I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in the Practice Note 2023 issued by the Environment Court. I have complied with that Code when preparing this evidence and I agree to comply with it when I give any oral evidence.

Hearing Steam: 1

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- 18. The scope of my evidence relates to Air Quality. Other than when I state that I am relying on the evidence of another person, I confirm that the issues addressed in this statement of evidence are within my area of expertise.
- 19. Any data, information, facts and assumptions I have considered in forming my opinions are set out. Where I have set out opinions in my evidence, I have given reasons for those opinions.
- 20. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.

1.4 Key Issues

- 21. A number of submitters raised issues with the provisions relating to Air Quality. A total of 115 submission points and 139 further submission points were received on the provisions relating to this topic.
- 22. The following are considered to be the key issues in contention. They are also outlined in Appendix 2:
 - Rules with only supportive, neutral submissions, or out of scope submissions
 - The functional or operational need for activities to occur in the Coastal Marine Area (CMA);
 - Agrichemical Rules;
 - Water, wastewater, and gas process amendments; and
 - Climate Change.
- 23. This report addresses each of these key issues, as well as any other issues raised by submissions.

1.5 Pre-hearing Meetings

24. At the time of writing this report there has not been any pre-hearing meetings, Schedule 1, clause 8AA meetings or expert witness conferencing in relation to submissions on this topic.

2.0 Statutory Considerations

2.1 Resource Management Act 1991

- 25. PC1 has been prepared in accordance with the RMA and in particular, the requirements of:
 - Part 2 Purpose and principles
 - Section 30 Functions of regional councils
 - Section 32 Requirements for preparing and publishing evaluation reports
 - Section 32AA Requirements for undertaking and publishing further evaluations
 - Section 63 Purpose of regional plans
 - Section 64 Preparation and change of regional coastal plans
 - Section 65 Preparation and change of other regional plans
 - Section 66 Matters to be considered by regional council (plans)
 - Section 67 Contents of regional plans
 - Section 68 Regional rules
 - Section 69 Rules relating to water quality
 - Section 70 Rules about discharges

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- Section 80A Freshwater planning process
- Part 1 and Part 4 of Schedule 1

2.2 National Direction

- 26. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) sets the national policy framework for the management of activities in the coastal environment and CMA. This statement is relevant to this topic of PC1. In particular Policy 6(2) relating to activities in the coastal environment. The policy set out several clauses relevant to this topic including that activities "recognise the need to maintain and enhance the public open space and recreation qualities and values of the coastal marine area", "recognise that there are activities that have a functional need to be located in the coastal marine area, and provide for those activities in appropriate places", and "recognise that activities that do not have a functional need for location in the coastal marine area generally should not be located there".
- 27. The National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NES-AQ) set minimum standards to provide protections for humans and the environment. These standards are relevant to the Air Quality chapter covered in this topic.
- 28. The national environmental standards for greenhouse gases from industrial process heat they set out nationally consistent rules for specific greenhouse gas emitting activities from industrial process heat. They also set out requirements for granting resource consents and setting resource consent conditions. These standards are the relevant to the Air Quality chapter covered in this topic.

2.3 Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative)

29. The RPS sets out the framework and priorities for resource management in the Wellington Region. The RMA requires regional councils to produce an RPS for their region and review it every 10 years. The RPS was made operative on 24 April 2013. The RPS identifies the regionally significant issues around the management of the region's natural and physical resources and sets out what needs to be achieved (objectives) and the way in which the objectives will be achieved (policies and methods). *Policy 2: Reducing adverse effects of the discharge of odour, smoke, dust and fine particulate matter – regional plans* sets out that regional plans shall include policies or rules to *protect or enhance the amenity values of neighbouring areas from discharges of odour, smoke and dust* and *protect people's health from discharges of dust, smoke and fine particulate matter*.

2.4 Approach to identifying the freshwater planning instrument

30. The amendments to air rules with the coastal icon or that propose the removal of the coastal icon are proceeding as part of the Part 1, Schedule 1 process. The proposed rules all have the coastal icon and therefore form part of the Regional Coastal Plan. The new air discharge rule R35A is part of the Part 1, Schedule 1 process as although it is not part of the Regional Coastal Plan, it does not relate to freshwater.

2.5 Section 32AA

31. I have undertaken an evaluation of my recommended amendments to provisions since the initial section 32 evaluation was undertaken, in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA.

Hearing Steam: 1

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- 32. The required section 32AA evaluation for changes proposed as a result of consideration of submissions with respect to this topic is set out in Appendix 5.
- 33. The section 32AA further evaluation contains a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the anticipated effects of the amendments that have been recommended in this report. Recommendations on editorial, minor and consequential changes that improve the effectiveness of provisions without changing the policy approach or intent are not re-evaluated.

2.6 Trade Competition

- 34. Trade competition is not considered relevant to this topic within PC1.
- 35. There are no known trade competition issues raised within the submissions.

3.0 Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions

3.1 Report Structure

- 36. The issues raised in submissions are addressed by sub-topics within this report. Some submissions cross several sub-issues and are therefore addressed under more than one sub-issue heading. Appendix 2 provides a description of the matters raised for each issue in table format, along with the relevant submission point references.
- 37. The RMA allows the Hearings Panel to address submissions by grouping them either by the provisions to which they relate, or the matters to which they relate. On this basis, I have undertaken my analysis and evaluation on an issues and provisions-based approach, rather than a submission-by-submission approach.
- 38. Appendix 4 sets out the amendments I am recommending to PC1 as a result of my analysis of submissions. These recommended amendments are supported by an evaluation in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA, which is also provided in Appendix 5.
- 39. This report should be read in conjunction with the submissions and the summary of those submissions as published on the Council's website². Appendix 6 includes a table setting out all submission points relevant to this hearing topic. In that table I have identified whether I recommend accepting/accepting in part or rejecting/rejecting in part the relief sought by submitters, or make no recommendation. My reasons for these recommendations are explained in the body of this report.

3.2 Issue 1: Rules with only supportive, neutral submissions, or out of scope submissions

3.2.1 Analysis

- 40. A significant number of rules were changed within this chapter, and the majority had no submissions requesting any changes to the provisions. These submissions have been grouped together in Issue 1. The out of scope submission points are considered in Issue 6.
- 41. Support for amending air quality permitted rules to give effect to the NZCPS

¹ Clause 10(3) of Schedule 1, Part 1 of the RMA for the P1S1 Hearings Panel.

² Greater Wellington — Proposed Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan Submissions (gw.govt.nz)

Hearing Steam: 1

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- 42. Amendments to Rule R1, R3, and R28 to give effect to the NZCPS by inserting protections for the coastal marine area are supported by submitters and I therefore recommend no amendments.
- 43. Support for removing the coastal icon from air quality permitted activity rules
- 44. Amendments to remove the coastal icon from air quality permitted activity Rules R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, R12, R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R29, R30, R31, R36 are supported by submitters, and I therefore recommend no amendments.
- 45. Support for amendments to Rule R42 All other discharges
- 46. Amendments to Rule R42 which manages all other discharges are supported by submitters, and I therefore recommend no amendments.
- 47. Neutral Submissions
- 48. Neutral submissions on Rules R9, R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R28, R29, R30, R31, R36, R40, and R42 are noted, and I recommend no amendments.
- 49. Other supportive submissions
- 50. Other supportive submissions on Rule R10, R12, and 5.1.8 are noted, and I recommend no amendments.

3.2.2 Recommendations

- 51. I do not recommend any changes to R1, R3, R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, R12, R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R28, R29, R30, R31, R36.
- 52. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

3.3 Issue 2: Functional or operational need for activities in the Coastal Marine Area

3.3.1 Analysis

- 53. Removing the coastal icon from Rule R26 Abrasive blasting outside of a enclosed area
- 54. Submitters are split on the amendment to remove the coastal icon from Rule R26 Abrasive blasting outside of an enclosed area, removing this rule being applicable in the coastal marine area. CentrePort Limited [S93.001] opposes the amendments considering that abrasive blasting of coastal structures is commonplace for maintenance and it requests the coastal icon is reinstated so that the rule continues to apply in the coastal marine area. I agree that abrasive blasting associated with maintenance of coastal structures has a functional need to occur in the coastal marine area and therefore the rule should remain a permitted activity within the CMA. I also agree with other submitters that the rule must give effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) which sets out the need to maintain and enhance the public open space and recreation qualities and values of the coastal marine area. I therefore recommend the coastal icon is reinstated to this rule and minor amendments are included to ensure that the conditions are worded so they apply to the coastal marine area, not just property.
- 55. Removing the coastal icon from Rule R27 Handling of bulk solid materials

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- 56. Submitters support removing the coastal icon from Rule R27 Handling of bulk solid materials. I agree with submitters that there no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area. With respect to the to the request by Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.105] to consider whether it is necessary to amend this rule to apply to the coastal marine area within the Commercial Port Area only, I do not consider this is required as I am not aware of the need for the handling of bulk solid materials to be a permitted activity in the coastal marine area. I therefore do not recommend amendments to this rule.
- 57. <u>Inserting protections for the coastal marine area in Rule R33 Petroleum storage or transfer facilities</u>
- 58. Submitters support the amendment that inserts protections for the coastal marine area. Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.106] supports the amendments in condition (a) to ensure management of adverse effects is not solely reliant on the property boundary but seeks that the rule is amended to include the same changes in condition (b). I agree that the amendments to manage discharges in the coastal marine area in condition (a) should also be applied to condition (b). I therefore recommend the reference to the coastal marine area is included in condition (b).
- 59. Removing the coastal icon from Rule R34 Mobile source emissions
- 60. Submitters are split on the amendment to remove the coastal icon from Rule R34 Mobile source emissions, removing this rule from being applicable in the coastal marine area. The New Zealand Transport Agency [S275.006] considers marine transport operates within the coastal environment and there is therefore demand for this as a permitted activity. It requests the coastal icon is reinstated and that further consequential relief may be required. I agree that mobile source emissions have a functional need to occur in the coastal marine area given the importance of marine transport for commercial and recreational purposes. I therefore consider Rule R34 should remain a permitted activity in the coastal marine area. I therefore recommend the coastal icon is reinstated to this rule.

3.3.2 Recommendations

- 61. I recommend that Rules R26, R33, and R34 are amended as shown in Appendix 4. I recommend no amendments to Rule R27.
- 62. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

3.4 Issue 3: Agrichemical Rules

3.4.1 Analysis

- 63. While proposed amendments in PC1 to the agrichemicals rules and General Conditions were limited to updating references new version of the New Zealand Standards, and the addition of reference to the coastal marine area. Submitters have raised general concerns with the appropriateness of the Agrichemical Rules and Conditions.
- 64. Appropriateness of Agrichemicals Rules and General Conditions
- 65. Submitters are generally supportive of the amendments to the suite of agrichemical rules and General Conditions in the Air Quality Chapter that includes *General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals and Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals, and Rule R38 Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals*.

Officer's Report: Air Quality

- 66. New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust raise several issues with these rules. The submitter raises that not all agrichemicals are approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), only those with hazardous properties. Therefore, making non-hazardous agrichemicals not permitted. I disagree with this interpretation of the rule, the EPA regulates agrichemicals broadly and therefore permits a range of non-hazardous agrichemicals. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 67. The submitter also considers that there are situations where users of agrichemicals may not follow the label. I consider this condition is required to limit the inappropriate use of agrichemicals. Further, the condition allows for the discharge to be undertaken in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and safety data sheets, or as specifically approved by the EPA. However, I consider an amendment to include "or" in the General Conditions should provide clarity that there are circumstances in which other directions and instructions can be used in the application of agrichemicals. I recommend this change.
- 68. The submitter seeks that the agrichemicals rules and conditions are restructured, including amendments to provide greater oversight for handheld application on commercial and public properties. I consider the current framework that provides General Conditions for the management of the effects of discharges, provides a clear permitted activity pathway for handheld discharges, and ensures a more restrictive framework for motorised and aerial discharges. I consider this is the most efficient and effective. I consider that adding further restrictions for handheld discharges adds an unnecessary regulatory burden. The effects are suitably managed under the general conditions in my opinion. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 69. The submitter also seeks Rule R38(e)(ii) covering notification requirements for discharge adjacent to sensitive activities is amended to reference Appendix G3. I consider that the spray plan is required to be in accordance with Appendix G as stated in Rule R38(f) and that the notification requirements within the condition are appropriate for sensitive activities. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 70. Taumata Arowai [S116.017] seeks that provisions are amended to reflect legislative changes to what constitutes a drinking water supply. This submission point goes well beyond updating the references to NZ standards, which is what PC1 was focussed on and which was the scope of the PC1 changes. I therefore consider there may well be a scope issue with these submissions. In the event the Hearing Panel takes a different view I consider that amendments to reflect legislative changes would need to be undertaken in the definition's sections, changes to the Air Quality chapter would not be appropriate.
- 71. Pat van Berkel [S282.009] seek that a rule number is added for the general conditions for discharge of agrichemicals. I consider that general conditions are distinct from rules and do not require a rule number. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

3.4.2 Recommendations

- 72. I recommend that the General Conditions for discharge of agrichemicals are amended as shown in Appendix 4. I recommend no other amendments to the agrichemical rules and General Conditions.
- 73. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, accepted in part, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

3.5 Issue 4: Water and wastewater process amendments

3.5.1 Analysis

- 74. The proposed amendments in PC1 to Rule R35 Water and wastewater processes and introduction of new Rule R35A Gas processes sought to separate discharges into air from natural gas flaring and venting and the discharges of gases and odours from wastewater conveyance systems into separate rules to provide clarity for plan users.
- 75. Clarity of Rule R35 Water and wastewater processes
- 76. Submitters are generally supportive of the amendments to Rule R35 Water and wastewater processes and Rule 35A Gas processes.
- 77. Wellington Water Ltd [S151.046] seeks amendments to make more specific reference to 'drinking water' processes rather than 'water' processes within Rule R35 Water and wastewater processes. Horticulture NZ [FS.017] opposes that submission and does not agree that the rule needs to be more specific.
- 78. I consider that the proposed Rule R35 appropriately covers 'drinking water' and there is no additional benefit provided from making more specific reference to 'drinking water' within the rule.

3.5.2 Recommendations

- 79. I recommend no amendments to Rule R35.
- 80. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

3.6 Issue 5: Climate Change

3.6.1 Analysis

- 81. Proposed amendments in PC1 to Rule R7 Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas, Rule R8 Diesel or kerosene blends, and Rule R11 Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity sought to remove the coastal icon from these activities so they no longer apply in the coastal marine area. Submitters have requested other changes to these rules.
- 82. Yvonne Weeber [S183.102, S183.103, S183.106] and Guardians of the Bay Inc [S186.048, S186.049, S186.052] seek interim measurable milestones to phase out the following activities, *Rule R7 Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas, Rule R8 Diesel or kerosene blends, and Rule R11 Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity given their climate impacts.* These submissions go well beyond the suitability of the provisions applying to the coastal marine area, which is what PC1 was focussed on and which was the scope of the PC1 changes. I therefore consider there may well be a scope issue with these submissions. In the event the Hearing Panel takes a different view, I consider that these activities are appropriately managed through the National environmental standards for greenhouse gases for industrial process heat. The Environmental Regulation team at GWRC is proactively working with sites to ensure the implementation of the NES by the required timelines. I consider that this proactive educational approach is efficient in the interim to manage these activities. I consider that rules covering these activities could be amended in a future plan change to achieve consistency with the NES and provide clarity to plan users.

Hearing Steam: 1

Officer's Report: Air Quality

3.6.2 Recommendations

- 83. I do not recommend any changes to Rule R7, R8, and R11.
- 84. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be rejected as detailed in Appendix 6.

4.0 Conclusions

- 85. A range of submissions have been received in support of, and in opposition to the provisions relating to Air Quality in PC1.
- 86. After considering all the submissions and reviewing all relevant statutory I recommend that PC1 should be amended as set out in Appendix 4 of this report.
- 87. I consider that the amended provisions will be the most appropriate in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of PC1 and other relevant statutory documents, for the reasons set out in the Section 32AA evaluations undertaken.

Recommendations:

I recommend that:

- 1. PC1 is amended in accordance with the changes recommended in Appendix 4 of this report; and
- 2. The Hearing Panel accepts/accepts in part, or rejects/rejects in part submissions (and associated further submissions) as outlined in Appendix 6 of this report.

Appendix 1: Table of Provisions within Air Quality topic and supporting information

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity	25	P1S1	7
Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity	25	P1S1	6
Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity	26	P1S1	8
Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity	26	P1S1	8
Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity	27	P1S1	7
Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity	28	P1S1	8
Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity	28	P1S1	8
Rule R12: Emergency power generators – permitted activity	29	P1S1	2
Rule R14: Spray coating within an	30	P1S1	7

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
enclosed space – permitted activity			
Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity	30	P1S1	7
Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity	31	P1S1	7
Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity	31	P1S1	7
Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity	32	P1S1	7
Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity	32	P1S1	7
Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity	32	P1S1	7
Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity	33	P1S1	7
Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity	33	P1S1	7
Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted	34	P1S1	9

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
Activity			
Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity	34	P1S1	10
Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity	35	P1S1	6
Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity	35	P1S1	7
Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity	35	P1S1	7
Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity	35	P1S1	7
Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity	36	P1S1	4
Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity	36	P1S1	9
Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity	36	P1S1	13
Rule R35A: Gas processes – permitted activity	37	P1S1	4

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity	37	P1S1	7
General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals	38	P1S1	19
Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity	39	P1S1	8
Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted	39	P1S1	10
Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity	40	P1S1	4
Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity	41	P1S1	4
Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity	41	P1S1	6

Appendix 2: Description of matters raised by Submitters (by issue)

Issue 1: Rules with only supportive, neutral submissions, or out of scope submissions

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Support for amending air quality	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bay Inc both supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated	Yvonne Weeber [S183.100], [S183.101]
permitted rules to give effect to	support Rules R1 and R3.	Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.046], [S186.047]
the NZCPS		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki on Rule R1] support Rules R1, R3, and	Incorporated [FS27.100-FS27.101, FS27.469-
	R28	FS27.470]
		Tama Potaka Minister of Conservation [S245.077],
		[S245.078], [S245.079]
		Forest & Bird [FS23.539-FS23.541]
		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.010]
Support for removing the coastal	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support Rules R7, R8, R9, R10, R11,	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.081-
icon from air quality permitted	R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R29, R30, R31, and R36.	S245.094, S245.097-S245.099, S245.102]
activity rules		Forest & Bird [FS23.543-FS23.556, FS23.559-
		FS23.561, FS23.563-FS23.566]
		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.011-FS37.015, FS37.016-
		FS37.023, FS37.094, FS37.027-FS37.029, FS37.032]
Support for amendments to Rule R42	Higgins Contractors Limited supports changes to improve clarity of the rule	Higgins Contractors Limited [S226.003]
Neutral submissions	Yvonne Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated is neutral on Rule R9, R14, R15,	Yvonne Weeber [S183.104, S183.108-S183.116,
	R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R28, R29, R30, R31, R36, R40, and R42.	S183.119-S183.122, S183.126, and S183.132]
		Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.050-S186.051,
	Guardians of the Bay Inc is neutral on Rule R9, R10, R14, R15, R16, R17, R18, R19, R20, R21, R25, R28, R29, R30, R31, R36, R40,	S186.053-S186.060, S186.061, S186.0064-S186.067,
	and R42.	S186.072, S186.078]
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Enviro NZ Services Ltd is neutral on Rule R42.	Incorporated [FS27.104- FS27.105, FS27.108-
		FS27.116, FS27.119- FS27.122, FS27.131- FS27.132,
		FS27.473- FS27.474, FS27.476- FS27.484, FS27.487-
		FS27.490, FS27.495, FS27.500- FS27.501]
		Enviro NZ Services Ltd [S209.004]
Other supportive submissions	Sofia Holloway supports Rule R10 and 5.1.8 as they align with central government direction.	Sofia Holloway [S13.003-S13.004]
		Yvonne Weeber [S183.107]
	Yvone Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated supports Rule R12	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.107]

Issue 2: Functional or operational need for activities in the Coastal Marine Area (CMA)

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Removing the coastal icon from	CentrePort Limited supported by Waka Kotahi oppose the amendments considering that abrasive blasting of coastal structures	CentrePort Limited [S93.001]
Rule R26	is commonplace for maintenance and request the coastal icon is reinstated so that the rule applies in the coastal marine area.	Waka Kotahi [FS28.002]
		Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.095]
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support the amendment considering	Forest & Bird [FS23.557]
	there to be no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area and that the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.025]
	Policy 6(2).	Yvonne Weeber [S183.117]
		Guardians of the Bay Inc [S1186.062]
	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bay Inc both supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	are neutral.	Incorporated [FS27.117], [FS27.485]

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Rule R27	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support the amendment considering	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.096],
	there to be no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area and that the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS	[S245.105]
	Policy 6(2). They also request that it is considered whether it is necessary for this rule to apply to the coastal marine area within	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.026]
	the Commercial Port Area only.	Forest & Bird [FS23.558]
		CentrePort Limited [S93.002]
	CentrePort Limited support the amendment to exclude the activity as a coastal activity, seeking it is retained as proposed.	Yvonne Weeber [S183.119]
	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bay Inc both supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated	Guardians of the Bay Inc [S1186.063]
	are neutral.	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.118], [FS27.486]
Rule R33	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation supported by Forest & Bird support the amendments in condition (a) to management is	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.106]
	not solely reliant on the property boundary but seek that the rule is amended to include the same changes in condition (b).	Forest & Bird [FS23.568]
		Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.068]
	Guardians of the Bays Inc supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated are neutral.	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.491]
Rule R34	The New Zealand Transport Agency opposed by Forest & Bird consider marine transport operates within the coastal	New Zealand Transport Agency [S275.006]
	environment and there is therefore demand for this as a permitted activity, they request the coastal icon is reinstated and that	Forest & Bird [FS23.700]
	further consequential relief may be required.	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.100]
		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.030]
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support the amendment considering	Forest & Bird [FS23.562]
	there to be no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area and that the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS	Yvonne Weeber [S183.123]
	Policy 6(2).	Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.069]
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bay Inc both supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated are neutral.	Incorporated [FS27.123], [FS27.492]

Issue 3: Agrichemical Rules

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Appropriateness of suite of	New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust [supported by NZ Agricultural Aviation Association and Horticulture New Zealand]	New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust [S227.001
Agrichemical rules and	considers that only agrichemicals with hazardous properties are approved by the EPA and therefore the requirement in clause	- S227.007]
conditions	(d) is inefficient.	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association [FS6.1-FS6.4]
		Horticulture New Zealand [FS1.019]
	New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust supported by NZ Agricultural Aviation Association considers there are situations in	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.080],
	which users may not follow the label and seek amendments to reorganize the rule framework for agrichemicals.	[S245.103], [S245.104]
		Forest and Bird [FS23.542]
	New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust supported by NZ Agricultural Aviation Association also seeks Rule R38(e)(ii)	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.033 – FS37.034]
	covering notification requirements for discharge adjacent to sensitive activities is amended to reference Appendix G3.	Yvonne Weeber [S183.127-S183.130]
		Guardians of the Bay Inc [S186.073-S186.076]
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation supported by Forest & Bird supports the amendment to the General conditions for the	Forest & Bird [S261.033]
	discharge of agrichemicals that apply protections to the coastal marine area.	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.360]
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support the amendment to Rule R37 and R38	Incorporated [FS27.496, FS27.652, FS27.126-
	considering there to be no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area and that the amendment gives effect to the	FS27.130, FS27.497-FS.499]
	NZCPS Policy 6(2).	Pat van Berkel [282.009]
		Taumata Arowai [S116.017]
	Taumata Arowai [Supported by Forest & Bird] seeks that provisions are amended to reflect legislative changes to what constitutes a drinking water supply.	Forest & Bird [FS23.585]

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
	Yvonne Weeber, Guardians of the Bay Inc, and Forest and Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	
	Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] support the amendment to the General Conditions for	
	the discharge of agrichemicals.	
	Pat van Berkel seeks that a rule number is added to Section 5.1.13 – General conditions for discharge of agrichemicals.	
	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bay Inc support Rules R37, R38, and R39.	

Issue 4: Water, wastewater, and gas process amendments

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Clarity of Rule R35 Water and	Wellington Water Ltd [supported by Forest & Bird and opposed by Horticulture NZ] seek amendments to make more specific	Wellington Water Ltd [S151.046]
wastewater processes	reference to 'drinking water processes' within Rule R35.	Forest & Bird [FS23.1373]
		Horticulture New Zealand [FS.017]
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [supported by Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] support the amendment to Rule R35 considering there	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation [S245.100]
	to be no functional need for the activity in the coastal marine area and that the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.031]
	6(2).	Yvonne Weeber [S183.125],[S183.124]
		Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.071] [S186.070]
	Yvonne Weeber and Guardians of the Bays Inc both supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	Waste Management NZ Limited [S256.013]
	Incorporated are neutral on Rule R35 and Rule R35A.	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) [FS21.118]
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Waste Management NZ Limited [with Manor Park Golf Club and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated	Incorporated [FS27.1058, FS27.124, FS27.493,
	neutral in response] supports Rule R35.	FS27.125, FS27.494]

Issue 5: Climate Change

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Climate Change	Yvonne Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated oppose several activities on the	Yvonne Weeber [S183.102], [S183.103], [S183.106]
	basis of their climate impacts. The activities include Rule R7 Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas, Rule R8 Diesel or kerosene	Guardians of the Bays Inc [S186.048], [S186.049],
	blends, and Rule R11 Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity. Submitters request that interim measurable	[S186.052],
	milestones of phasing out these activities are included.	Forest and Bird [FS23.341-FS23.343] Manor Park
		and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated
	Guardians of the Bays Inc [supported by Forest and Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] seek	[FS27.471, FS27.472, FS27.475, FS27.102,
	amendments to several activities on the basis of their climate impacts. The activities include Rule R7 Natural gas and liquefied	FS27.103, FS27.106]
	petroleum gas, Rule R8 Diesel or kerosene blends, and Rule R11 Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity.	
	Submitters request that interim measurable milestones of phasing out these activities are included.	

Appendix 3: Assessment of the categorisation of provisions in the Freshwater Planning Instrument component of PC1

Provision in FPI	S32 report justification	S42A author assessment on
		categorisation of notified
		provision
No provisions	No provisions categorised to Freshwater	I agree with the S32 report
categorised to	Planning Process.	justification
Freshwater		
Planning Process.		

Appendix 4: Recommended Amendments to Provisions

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are underlined and deletions are struck through.

Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in red text. Additions are underlined and deletions are struck through.

Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity



The discharge of contaminants into air from dry or wet abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:

- (a) the discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust, particulate, smoke, vapours, droplets or ash beyond the boundary of the **property** or in the coastal marine area, and
- (b) the operation of a mobile abrasive blasting unit used at one property or in the coastal marine area is no more than 10 days in any 12 month period (except for abrasive blasting of the National Grid), and
- (c) abrasive blasting shall only be undertaken when it is impracticable to remove or dismantle or transport a fixed object or structure to be cleaned in an abrasive blasting booth, and
- (d) if the blasting is dry abrasive blasting, the blasting materials shall only be garnet, sodium bicarbonate, crushed glass, or agricultural materials including crushed corn cobs or walnuts, and
- (e) if the blasting is wet abrasive blasting, the blasting shall only use water, and
- <u>(f)</u> the free silica content of a sample of the blasting material shall not exceed 5% by weight, and
- (g) all work areas and surrounding areas are kept clean and substantially free of accumulations of deposited material and other debris.

Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity



The discharge of contaminants into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products including but not limited to, volatile organic compounds, solvent vapours, ventilation of solvents and displacement of solvents is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:

- (a) the discharge does not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust, particulate, smoke, vapours, droplets or ash beyond the boundary of the **property** or in the coastal marine area, and
- (b) there is no emission of hazardous air pollutants as identified in Schedule L2 (air pollutants) beyond the boundary of the property or in the coastal marine area that does, or is likely to, cause adverse effects on human health, ecosystems, or property or the coastal marine area.

Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity



The discharge of contaminants into air from a **mobile source** is a permitted activity.

General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals

General conditions for the discharge of **agrichemicals** into air, or onto or into land where it may enter water, or into water are that:

- (a) the discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust, particulate, smoke, vapours, droplets or ash beyond the boundary of the **property** or in the coastal marine area, and
- (b) there is no discharge directly into the coastal marine area or a surface water body, unless the agrichemical is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency for use into water, and
- (c) there is no discharge into water:
 - (i) in a surface water **community drinking water supply protection area** as shown on Map 39, or
 - (ii) two kilometres upstream of a surface water intake for a **group** drinking water supply, and
 - (iii) the applicator shall notify every person taking water for potable supply within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge 12 hours before the discharge begins, and
- (d) the **agrichemical** is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, and
- (e) the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the directions on the **agrichemical** product label, <u>or</u> the manufacturer's instructions and safety data sheets, or as specifically approved by the Environmental Protection Authority, and
- (f) in public places, including alongside roadways,

Section 42A Report - Hearing Stream 1 - Air Quality - 3 October 2024

- the discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust, particulate, smoke, vapours, droplets or ash on any **property** adjacent to where the discharge originates, and
- (ii) the applicator must display prominent signage advising that **agrichemical** spraying is taking place.

Note

Some substances require that a permission be sought from the Environmental Protection Authority under section 95A of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.

Appendix 5: Recommended Amendments to Provisions and Section 32AA Evaluation

This document sets out only the provisions of the notified version of Proposed Plan Change 1 for which submissions were specifically received.

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <u>struck through</u>. Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in <u>red text</u>. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <u>struck through</u>. Recommended amendments from other S42A reports are shown in <u>orange text</u>. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <u>struck through</u>.

The section 32AA assessment follows alongside for each of the provisions where amendments have been recommended by the officer.

Amendment no./Submission no.	Chapter	Provision	Text of provision with any recommended amendments	Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)
S93.001	5.1	Rule R26 Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity The discharge of contaminants into air from dry or wet abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:	Effectiveness and efficiency The recommended amendments to Rule R26 provide for the functional need for abrasive blasting to occur in the coastal marine area while ensuring the necessary conditions are in place to give effect to NZCPS. Costs and Benefits There are no additional costs associated with this change.

	<u>(a)</u>	the discharge shall not	
	<u>1≈1</u>	cause noxious, dangerous,	Risk of acting or not acting
		offensive or objectionable	There is sufficient information on the
		odour, dust, particulate,	costs to the environment, and benefits
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ŕ
		smoke, vapours, droplets or	to people and communities to justify the
		ash beyond the boundary of	amendment to the policy.
		the property or in the	
		<u>coastal marine area</u> , and	Recommendation about most
	(1,1	the constant of a scaling	appropriate option
	<u>(b)</u>	the operation of a mobile	The recommended amendments to
		abrasive blasting unit used	Rule R126 provide for the functional
		at one property or in the	need for abrasive blasting to occur in
		<u>coastal marine area</u> is no	the coastal marine area while ensuring
		more than 10 days in any 12	the necessary conditions are in place to
		month period (except for	give effect to NZCPS.
		abrasive blasting of the	
		National Grid), and	
	<u>(c)</u>	abrasive blasting shall only	
		be undertaken when it is	
		impracticable to remove or	
		dismantle or transport a	
		fixed object or structure to	
		be cleaned in an abrasive	
		blasting booth, and	
		0 1111 / 1	
	<u>(d)</u>	if the blasting is dry abrasive	
		blasting, the blasting	
		materials shall only be	
		garnet, sodium bicarbonate,	
		crushed glass, or	
		crusticu glass, Ul	

			(<u>e)</u> i	agricultural materials including crushed corn cobs or walnuts, and if the blasting is wet abrasive blasting, the blasting shall only use water, and	
			all work areas and kept clean and sul	the free silica content of a sample of the blasting material shall not exceed 5% by weight, and surrounding areas are bstantially free of deposited material and	
S245.106	5.1	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity	Rule R33 transfer activity The dischair from petroleur not limi compoun ventilatio displacen permitted	·	Effectiveness and efficiency The recommended amendments to Rule R33 give effect to the NZCPS by ensuring the appropriate protections for the coastal marine area are applied throughout the conditions. Costs and Benefits There are no additional costs associated with this change. Risk of acting or not acting

			cause offension odour, smoke, ash bey the processed odentific pollutary boundary in the that do cause human	coastal marine area oes, or is likely to, adverse effects on health, ecosystems, perty or the coastal	There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits to people and communities to justify the amendment to the policy. Recommendation about most appropriate option The recommended amendments to Rule R33 are the most appropriate in ensuring protections for the coastal marine area.
S275.006	5.1	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity	Rule R34: Mob emissions – pe The discharge of contam a mobile source is a perr	rmitted activity CASTAL inants into air from	Effectiveness and efficiency The recommended amendments to Rule R34 ensure the effective operation of activities that have a functional need to occur in the coastal marine area. Costs and Benefits
					There are no additional costs associated with this change.

				Risk of acting or not acting There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits to people and communities to justify the amendment to the policy.
				Recommendation about most appropriate option The recommended amendments to Rule R34 are the most appropriate option.
S227.002	5.1	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals	General conditions for the discharg of agrichemicals General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals into air, or onto or into lan where it may enter water, or into water are	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals provide clarity to plan users, increasing the effectiveness and
			that: (a) the discharge shall no cause noxious, dangerous offensive or objectionals odour, dust, particularly smoke, vapours, droplets ash beyond the boundary the property or in to coastal marine area, and	associated with this change. Risk of acting or not acting There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits
			(b) there is no dischar directly into the coas	

		water agrichei the	area or a surface body, unless the mical is approved by Environmental on Agency for use ter, and	Recommendation about most appropriate option The recommended amendments to the General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals are the most appropriate option.
	(c)	there is water:	no discharge into	
		(i)	in a surface water community drinking water supply protection area as shown on Map 39, or	
		(ii)	two kilometres upstream of a surface water intake for a group drinking water supply, and	
		(iii)	the applicator shall notify every person taking water for potable supply within 1km downstream of the proposed	

discharge 12 hours before the discharge begins,
and
(d) the agrichemical is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, and
(e) the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the directions on the agrichemical product label, or the manufacturer's instructions and safety data sheets, or as specifically approved by the Environmental Protection Authority, and
(f) in public places, including alongside roadways,
(i) the discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust,
particulate, smoke,

vapours, droplets
or ash on any
property adjacent
to where the
discharge
originates, and
(ii) the applicator must
display prominent
signage advising
that agrichemical
spraying is taking
place.
Note
Some substances require that a
permission be sought from the
Environmental Protection Authority under
section 95A of the Hazardous Substances
and New Organisms Act 1996.

Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Taumata Arowai (S116)	S116.017			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes that the WSA framework for identification, monitoring and management of risks to water sources is intended to work together with resource management legislation. Notes that changes are made to rules that recognise proximity to source water intakes but that no change has been made to the reference to drinking water supplies (community drinking water supply) and these references are out of date due to the repeal of the Health (Drinking Water Amendment Act) 2007 and enactment of the WSA.	Amend provisions as appropriate to reflect legislative changes to what constitutes a drinking water supply.		Reject
Taumata Arowai (S116)		Forest & Bird	FS23.585	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Submission points will assist with plan clarity and help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Sofia Holloway (S13)	S13.003			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Support		Aligns with central government direction.	Retain as notified		Accept
Sofia Holloway (S13)	S13.004			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.8 Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing.	Support		Aligns with central government direction.	Retain as notified		Accept
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.046			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Amend		Question whether the reference to water processes should be more specific, such as 'drinking water processes	Consider referring to 'drinking water processes'. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.017	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Oppose	This rule does not need to be more specific.	Disallow	Retain reference to "water". Do not amend to "drinking water processes".	Accept
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1373	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber	S183.100			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor	Support		Firefighting training on	Not stated		Accept
(S183)					burning - permitted			Wellington Airport could			
					activity.			occur in the coastal			
								marine area.			
vonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.100	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Accept
(S183)		Haywards Residents			burning – permitted			should be protected or			
		Community			activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
			i .	1	i	İ	1	development, let alone	i .	1	
								being considered			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.101			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		Firefighting training for Wellington airport could occur in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.101	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.102			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied	Oppose		appropriate. Considers large scale burning of natural gas and	Requested interim measurable milestones for phasing out large scale natural gas and		Reject
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.102	5.1 Air quality rules	petroleum gas – permitted activity. Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	liquefied petroleum gas should not be occurring Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character	liquefied petroleum gas generators. Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and ecological values,			recommendation
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.103			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or	Oppose		Considers the burning of	Seeks the inclusion of interim measurable		Reject
(S183)	0100.100			0.1 All quality futes	kerosene blends –	Оррозс		diesel and kerosene	milestones for phasing out large scale		Hojout
(3100)					permitted activity.			alooct and Rotoscile	diesel or kerosene blend generators.		
					permitted activity.				ulcaet of keroaette blettu getteratura.		

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								blends should not be			recommendation
								occurring.			
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.103	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Reject
(S183)		Haywards Residents			kerosene blends –		''	should be protected or			,
		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
		1						land uses. MPHRCI does			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber	\$183.104			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.104	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.105			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.105	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.106			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light	Oppose		Considers that the	Seeks the inclusion of interim measurable		Reject
(S183)				quanty rates	fuel oil, and			burning of coal, light fuel	milestones for phasing out large scale		
					petroleum distillates			oil, and petroleum	coal, light fuel oil and petroleum distillates		
					of higher viscosity –			distillates of higher	of high viscosity generators.		
					permitted activity.			viscosity should not be			
								occurring			
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.106	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	Reject
(S183)		Haywards Residents			fuel oil, and			should be protected or			<u> </u>

Interestants by production conditioners of the photographic control of the photographic conditions of the photographic control of the photogra	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Triple processors of contract streets of contr			Community			netroleum distillates			improved where it is			recommendation
properties of the common and contains. Section and Contains and Section and S												
recording on the case of the 15 Case All Case Al			("MPHRCI")									
above on diel dicember on con- Cachiner and Co. Cachiner			(Till Till OI)			permitted detivity.						
discrete the Controlled parts and Ministry appared to the Controlled parts and Ministry appared to the Controlled parts and Ministry appared to the Controlled parts and th												
Collection will make the collection of the colle												
with making year. In the process of												
Firminity appropries provided to the control of the												
restores and security of the control												
Lare data conveniented; etch and lare data pather, the state of the st												
The state of the grade particular control of the state of												
audicine, the first final translation of the continents of the con												
provision of the centre of the												
he biol particles or will for succession. So the succession of the												
Transactors the could control to the country of the												
Remons Street has, just it was experiently and an activities of the control of th												
very recombly, rechanged in the control of the cont												
tilgh nethant chaoscler and evolute indicate, and annexity values. Everen sateriard values. Everen sateriard since and annexity values. Everen sateriard since and the sateriard since and sateria												
and coolegical visions, copen place wholes, and copen place wholes, and copen place wholes, and copen place who are a copen and country an												
open reporce veillure, outdoor day and all articles report of the control of the												
nual amenty volunts. Necestrate instantants and secretary												
Records accordinately weighted cited are recorded in the best detailed and cited and c												
vegetation cheanine and numbers and care through a control of the												
authoris activates on the size have resulted in continuemental effects which about the results are size of the siz												
the sile have resulted in significant advoired continuemental effects of the continuemental effe												
significant advence environmental effects which should be every any and a second of the second of th												
environmental effects which should be temedied and miligrated. The intermedied and miligrated to the state of												
winch should be entended and ormitigated. There is subdisability. There is subdisability of the should be the property of the prop												
remedied and minispace. There is substantial to community dejection to so the control of the con									environmental effects			
There is substantial community objection to this land being rearried with Waste Management New Zeoland Limited that "planning for the girt to be used for a resource scorer; pank is well experted assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is sustable and environmental effects of environmenta									which should be			
There is substantials community objection to this land being rezoned with an objective present of the land being rezoned with the land being rezoned with the land being rezoned with the land being recorded as a properties for industrial and waste management land und wa									remedied and mitigated.			
this land belay rezoned from mural to utual. MPHECI does not agree with Woster Munagement New Zasiand Lumbed that New Zasiand Lumbed												
this land belay rezoned from mural to utual. MPHECI does not agree with Woster Munagement New Zasiand Lumbed that New Zasiand Lumbed									community objection to			
from mural to urban. MirHiCl close not agree with Wasse Management New Zooland Limited that were a second to the s												
with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several advanced, with several advanced, with several advanced, with several advanced and the several advanced an												
with Waste Management New Zealand unlimed that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several and the several a												
New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advianced, with several coxert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and continuated and defects and defects and defects and defects and defects considered part of the "planned desting usban area". This is a dislingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urane defecting and defecting and arrogant statement on formulating considered as a potentially appropriate site for urane defection, and defecting appropriate for industrial, and was for management land uses. MPHECI does not agree with the relier sought by those submitters seeking to												
"planning for the site to be used for a resource if covery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undorstance in the site of the site												
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is sustable and environmental effects and assuch a should be commond? visiting unbin area." This is disingenuous and aragen." This is disingenuous and aragen statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable on street being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, it is alone being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development and urban development development development development deve												
recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as suitable and environmental effects and as suitable and environmental effects in the considered part of the 'planmed / existing urban area". This is a dissipenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community conciera about, and opposition to, 30 Benniore Sizete being opportunity appropriate she for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate she for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHCCl does not agree with the reitel sought to those submitters seeking to												
advanced, with several oxpert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered a a progrant and development, let alone being considered a part of the development, let alone being considered and and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters secking to												
expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as sucht should be considered part of the 'planned' closting urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bermore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relatef sought by those submitters seeking to												
undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planmed / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrognat statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and apposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCG does not agee with the reiler sought by those submitters seeking to												
demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenous and airogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Berninors Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as not agreement and uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought to those such the relief sought ty those sught ty those sught ty those sught ty those sught ty those submitters seeking to												
suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate sile for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmor Sutee being considered as a potentiality appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
and as such it should be considered part of the "planned f existing urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Beamore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered a a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waster imanagement land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area"'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relier sought by those submitters seeking to												
area". This is a dislingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered and propriate for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
arogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industriat and waste management land uses. MPHRC does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by hose submitters seeking to												
concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with re relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to												
not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to									and waste management			
sought by those submitters seeking to												
sought by those submitters seeking to												
submitters seeking to									sought by those			
									submitters seeking to			
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I									facilitate the rezoning of			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.107			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R12: Emergency power generators – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.107	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R12: Emergency power generators – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.108			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.108	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should be			
								considered part of the 'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
				i	1			'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber	\$183.109			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Sprav	Neutral		to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.109			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an	Neutral		to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is	Not stated		No recommendation
	S183.109			5.1 Air quality rules	coating not within an	Neutral		to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Not stated		No recommendation
	S183.109			5.1 Air quality rules	coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.109	Manor Park and	FS27.109	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	coating not within an enclosed space –	Neutral	Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Not stated Allow	Not stated	No recommendation No recommendation
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.109	Haywards Residents	FS27.109		coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral	Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated		Not stated	
Yvonne Weeber (S183) Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.109	Haywards Residents Community	FS27.109		coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity. Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space –	Neutral	Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is		Not stated	
(S183) Yvonne Weeber	\$183.109	Haywards Residents Community Incorporate	FS27.109		coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity. Rule R15: Spray coating not within an	Neutral	Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being		Not stated	
S183) /vonne Weeber	S183.109	Haywards Residents Community	FS27.109		coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity. Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space –	Neutral	Support	to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is		Not stated	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								areas and all streams and			recommendation
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.110			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.110	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents			processes -			should be protected or			
		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area"". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
		1						arrogant statement to			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.111			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.111	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.112			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.112	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								(and plan amendments)			recommendation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								ʻplanned urban'. A			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land			recommendation
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.113			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					ventilation – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.113	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents Community			ventilation – permitted activity.			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted detivity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area"". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.114			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals - permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.114	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								New Zealand Limited that			recommendation
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a		1	
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban		1	
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
l								prohibited activity status		1	
								to prevent urban land		1	
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is		1	
								appropriate.		1	
Yvonne Weeber	S183.115			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					metal spraying – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.115	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents			metal spraying –			should be protected or			
		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being		1	
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our		1	
								remaining native bush		1	
	1	1	l					areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek			
						1	I	Tivers in the Dry Creek			1
								Catchment and			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								frustrate this outcome. 30			recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
		1	1			1	I	uiscilaiges to Water Hoff		1	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								activities on this site, is			recommendation
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.116			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					blasting within an						
					enclosed booth – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.116	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents		o.i.i quality ratios	blasting within an		Саррон	should be protected or		- Tot otatou	
		Community			enclosed booth -			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area"". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban development, let alone			
	1	1	1	1	1		1	uevelopment, let alone	1	1	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.117			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.117	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.118			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.118	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amentify values. Recent substantial vegatation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and miligated. There is substantial community objection to this lated being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRIG does not agree with Waste Management Neve Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects	recommendation
high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery parks well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the sist have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this tand being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is sutlable and the site of the site o	
vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
remedied and mitigated. There is substantial Community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree Mith Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is swell advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advancer assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
demonstrate the use is suitable and	
suitable and	
i lenvironmenial energia	
and as such it should be	
considered part of the	
'planned / existing urban	
area"". This is a	
disingenuous and	
arrogant statement to	
make. There is	
considerable community	
concern about, and	
opposition to, 30	
Benmore Street being	
considered as a	
potentially appropriate site for whom	
site for urban	
development, let alone being considered	
appropriate for industrial	
and waste management	
land uses. MPHRCI does	
not agree with the relief	
sought by those	
submitters seeking to	
facilitate the rezoning of	
30 Benmore Street to an	
urban zone. Similarly,	
MPHRCI does not agree	
with the submitters	
reasoning. 30 Benmore	
Street is rural land zoned	
for rural purposes and in	
no way should it be	
considered as urban or as	
'planned urban'. A	
prohibited activity status to prevent urban land	
uses on this site, or	
discharges to water from	
activities on this site, is	
appropriate.	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber	S183.119			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					storage – permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.119	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents			storage – permitted			should be protected or			
		Community Incorporate			activity.			improved where it is			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded or risks being degraded, especially our			
		(Till Till Of)						remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
	1		1	l	l			and waste management			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.120			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.120	5.1 Air quality rules	- permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production - permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.121			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.121	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Recent substantial			recommendation
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.122			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food,	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					animal or plant						
					matter						
					manufacturing and						
					processing -						
					permitted activity.						

State of the field specified and specified a	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Common company (Per or old/Y)			FS27.122	5.1 Air quality rules			Support	1	Allow	Not stated		
Interpretate of property of the property of th	(S183)											
(1991) Interespect of the property of the prop												
permitted scienty ment in the Dr. Orck Cardiners and Anne in the Dr. Orck Cardiners a												
serve and of licherent and of control and			(Pil TillOI)									
street an like Gry Cycles Control of the Cycles Service of the Cyc						pomition dominy.						
Conferment and symmetric contents and symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted and seminorized in the restriction of personnel in the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted and seminorized in the symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents and symmetric contents are restricted by the symmetric contents are restricted and symmetric contents are restricted by the												
Membrane control of the control of t												
election of a concentral control of a concentral contr												
land disconstructions of the control												
the containing and opening and containing and conta												
outcome, and appeace; provision in the valid or finate active surfaces. 30 decreases active strongers. 30 decreases active s												
provision that will tent the high actions or sell. Bernow Gener Not until the control												
International to continue to the continue to t												
technome States change. So described to the state of the												
deconance States that all, well very executive, relatively water acconganity counts, oper space veilules, and and acconganity column, oper space veilules, and and another veilules, another veilules, another veilules, and another veilules, another veilules, another veilules, and another veilules, another veilules, and another veilules, another veilules, another veilules, and another veilules, another veilules, another veilules, and another veilules,												
very recently, relatably right and autinic chancers over space-values, and rust an entirelly very part Revert subquardia and subdiction on the site have resulted in displication and subdiction on the site have resulted in displication and reverse which should be remedied and orning part very subdiction on the site have resulted in displication and orning part very subdiction on the site have resulted in displication and orning part very subdiction on the late of an entire subdiction on the late of an entire subdiction on the late of an entire subdiction on marks which, and the subdiction of the late of an entire subdiction and the subdiction of the late of an entire subdiction and the subdiction of the late of an entire subdiction and the subdiction of the late of an entire subdiction and the subdiction of the late of an entire subdiction on marks which and the subdiction of the subdiction of the subdiction of the subdiction on one of the subdiction one of the subdict												
Inspirational characters and excelopidal values, a read an aminity values. Perent udulational Research udulational												
open quarts values, and road at membry values, registration of consorter and of earthware set existed in earthware set existed earthware set												
cual amenity values. Recent anotationals are and earthonous activities on the earthonous activities on the earthonous activities on the state has overeign in significant solvers earthonous activities on the state of the earthonous												
Recent substantial vegetation class area on an experience of class area on an experience of class area on an experience of class area of the property of the class area of the												
vegetation clear ance and contrivens on the side leves resulted in the side leves resulted in the side leves resulted in the side of the side leves resulted in the side of th												
centivovoria accivities on the site late year estudied in significant extension which should be elemented and mitigated. There is subtractual community objection to the community obje									1			
the site lew resulted in significant abovese environmental effects without amount of the state of the significant aboves environmental effects without amount of the significant or into intention being promed from mall to under the state of the significant or into intention being promed from mall to under the state of the significant or without water Amagement New Zealand Limited that "planning for the side to be used from resource recovery park is well as a significant or the side of the side												
significant adverses endingmental effects which should be which should be granted. There is bacterially adjusted in the state of the st									1			
environmental effects which should be very reviewed and inflighted. community objection to this land being rezeroed from runs of ourban. MYHRICI does not agree with Western the Western to the best of the to be used for a resource recovery pask is well advanced, with sheerest demonstrate the use is surfable and environmental effects and is exactly in sheet the surfable and environmental effects and is exactly in sheeten demonstrate the use is surfable and environmental effects and is exactly in sheeten demonstrate the use is surfable and environmental effects and is exactly in sheeten demonstrate and are south in sheeten demonstrate and are south in sheeten demonstrate and are south in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and are south in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and are south in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and are south in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and demonstrate on use is surfable and demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in second in second in second in sheeten demonstrate on use is surfable and in second in se									1			
which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community videoclam to a community videoclam that a community videoclam to a communit												
remedies and mingland. There is substantial community objection to this land bodies recorded MPHCI decorated spread New Zestland Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resonance recovery parts swell advanzed, with severeal advanzed, with severeal understand that demonstrate the uses is suitable and emotionnematal effects and as such it should be considered part of the par												
There is substantial community objection to this indiciseing parsoned from mark to urban. MPTICA close not agree from the control of the con												
this land being rezoned from rurul to urban. MPHRGI does not agree with Waste Management New Zoalmed Limited that the second of the resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is successful advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is successful and assessments are considered as a disingenuous and arrow. This is a disingenuous and arrow, and assessment assessment assessment as a disingenuous and arrow, and assessment assessment as a disingenuous and arrow, and assessment assessment as a disingenuous and arrow, and assessment as a disingenuous and assessment as a disingenuous and arrow, and assessment as a disingenuous and as												
trom murat to urban. MPHRICI does not agee with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to De used for a resource and the site of the site to De used for a resource and the site of the									community objection to			
MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource receivery parts is well advanced, with several seper assessments undertiken that demonstrate the use is environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planned pa												
with Waser Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to the used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several understaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planned / existing urban area". This is a disingeneous and or disingeneous and or disingeneous and or o												
New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert a seesments understate the use is suitable and demonstrate the use is suitable and expert a sea such it should be considered part or the 'planned' existing urban area." This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmors Street being considered as a potentially appropriate development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI dobe not agree with the relete												
"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / oxisting urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered part of the 'planned / oxisting urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered here is considered by a considerable of the 'planned / oxisting urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable or the 'planned / oxisting urban area" is a considerable or the 'planned / oxisting urban area". The is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable or the planned oxisting urban area of the planned oxisting urban area of the planned oxisting urban area. The planned oxisting urban area of the planned oxisting urban area oxistin												
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered part about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered a parpopriate for industrial and wase. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is sultable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area' This is a disingenuous and aroignat statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste ment and uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned d'existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bennore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate string the string of the s												
expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' existing turban area" This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern aboud, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considerad and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief									advanced, with several			
demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and a such it should be considered part of the "planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemones Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the planmed / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemores Street being considerable as potentially appropriate site for urban development, let atone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let atone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition (a) 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief									demonstrate the use is			
and as such it should be considered part of the "planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate of industrial and waste management land waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the releif												
considered part of the 'planned' existing urbana area'''. This is a disingenuous atmement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bernmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
"planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered des appropriate or industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief									pranned / existing urban			
arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses mAPHRC ldoes not agree with the relief												
considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bennes Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief									opposition to, 30			
potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief												
not agree with the relief												
1 author to those									sought by those			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.123			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.123	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
								be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone of street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.124			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.124	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the 'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.125			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					processes -						
					permitted activity.						
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.125	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
(S183)		Haywards Residents			processes –			should be protected or			
		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate						degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")		I			<u>I</u>	degraded, especially our	1	L	1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								remaining native bush			recommendation
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			1
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
L	1	1	1		i .	1			i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	ı

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.126			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.126	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.127			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.127	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.128			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.128	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Internal contents on the contents of the conte	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
Membrane of programment Bender of the plan of the membrane Bender of the plan of the membrane Bender of the plan of the membrane Bender of the plan of									MPHRCI sunnorts			recommendation
In control of the con												
In contract the production bills of the production of the pr												
Support of the control of the contro												
production than will all can be appropriated to the control of the												
Institute the sociation. Call Secretary and the sociation of the sociation												
demonstrated to desire the control of the control o												
very recently, clother, and a contract of the												
inglicentural for low-case and controlled colored colo												
and economic couliers. In the country of the count												
open source values, and control contro												
In the district of the control of th												
Revert successful and another of the control of the												
vegetation of castomore, and catherine or ca												
commonwealth of the control of the c												
the diet have manufact in opgenier stores stored to opgenier stored to opgenier stored to which should be invented and maligned. I which should be invented and maligned. I community delection to this list of being recorded from used to entire the stored from used												
significant abarras devicemental entitles reserved and mitigated. There is solvationally the solvation of th												
antificamental affects with the control of the cont												
which should be exemented and enrigated. communaty application to this land obeing exceed from rural to outsile. communaty opportunities that a communaty application to this land obeing exceed from rural to outsile. while the communaty application to the community applicati												
remedical and minigrated. Harm is no sundanishal control and surface of the control form run and our brain. Herwitzl done, man agent with Wester Management secony pands we will decony pands decon												
There is autocativated community objection to this familiar being scales and the familiar scales and the scales and the familiar scales and the familiar scales and the scales and the familiar scales and the scales and the scales and the familiar scales and the scales an												
community opticities to in this land delining recorded the state of the land opticities and the land opticities and the land of the land opticities and land opticitie												
this land deline; rezoned from mural transplance with the control of the control												
from must to what. HIPHECL does not agree with Washan Managament "planning for the set to be used for a resource recovery park well as the set of the set												
with Wooste Management New Zostand Limitot that Tparaming for the size to the paraming for the paraming to the pa									from rural to urban.			
New Zeasand Lomitect that Tplanning for the sile to the selection of memorars advanced, with secretal cooper assossments understance that demonstrated the use is environmental articles and as such it should be considered after that and as such it should be considered after that planner seeding untare disagraphic and or the planner seeding untare disagraphic and and arrogant statement to make. These is considered and commany opposition or, 30 8 ommore Street being considered a a potentially appropriate a potentially appropriate development, let alone development, let alone development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and associate means and appropriate for industrial and associate means a potentially appropriate development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and associate meansagement in alone of industrial and associate meansagement in alone									MPHRCI does not agree			
"planning for the site to be used for a resource in accovery park its will in accovery park its will in accovery park its will in account processor that it is a consistent that it demonstrate the use is multiples and end officers of account o												
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several under skeach that under skeach that demonstrate the save is suitable and emorroomental effects and as such a should be considered part of the considered as a potentially appropriate site for under the part of the considered part of the part of the considered part of the considered as a potentially appropriate site for under the part of the considered part of the con												
recovery parks awell advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that authorities the state of the s												
advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that domensistation the use to sundake and and and as such it affects are in the property of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disrigeneous and anrogant statement to make. There is considered as a considered as a considered as a poperating to n, o, o seemone Street being considered as a potentially appropriate and overlopment, let alone being considered agargament, let alone being considered agargament is an												
expert assessments undertaken that domnestrate the use is sublished and an inference of the control of the cont												
undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be environmental effects and as such it should be environmental effects and as such it should be environmental effects and such it should be environmental effects and experimental experimental effects and experimental effects and experimental effects and experimental experimental experimental effects and experimental experi												
demonstrate the use is suitable and crivinomental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "parment" setting untain steps." This is a discription of the statement to make. There is considered be committed committed committed concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate at least of the statement												
suitable and as such it should be considered part of the planmed / existing urban as such it should be considered part of the planmed / existing urban area." This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considered community concerns about, and so plant area of the plant area of th												
environmental effects and as such It should be considered part of the 'planned' varisting uban area". This is a dislingenous and arrigant statement to considerable community concern about, and opopstion to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered os a potentially appropriate sist for urban development, let alone being considered and waste management land waste management land waste management land waste management than development, let enlied sought by those submitters seeking to toolities the relief sought by those submitters seeking to toolities the relief sought by those submitters seeking to toolities the reconing of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MMHCI ches na agree enlied one, Similarly, MMHCI ches na agree enlied one one of the property												
and as such it should be considered part of the 'plannod / existing urban ere". This is a disingenuous and arroys. This is a disingenuous and arroysm statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 bornows and opposition to, 30 bornows are provided and opposition to a consideration of the provided and opposition to a consideration of the provided and opposition to a consideration of the provided and the provided appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those summitters seeking to facilitate the recroning of 30 bornows Street to an opposition to the provided and t												
considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree out green with the relief so and agree with the relief and so and												
'planned' existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bermore Street being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considerade appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seekeing to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bermore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters ere reasoning, 30 Bermore Street boll and order the rezoning of 30 Bermore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does hot agree with the submitters ere reasoning, 30 Bermore Street sural land zoned for rural land zoned for rural land zoned												
area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and apposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and vaste management land uses. MPHRCI dobes not agree with the relef sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the relef sought by those submitters reaconing of domers Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bemmore Street to an order to the submitters reasoning, 30 Bemmore Street to purposes and in												
disingenuous and aropan statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and vaste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to tacilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning agree with the submitters for some gree with the submitters of the submitters agree with the submitters of the submitters agree with the submitters for some gree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zone Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bernore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bernore Street is not agree with the submitters with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bernore Street for any waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning 30 Bernore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bernore Street for any urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bernore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street is not agree with the submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street is rare land to submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street is rare land and pose of the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rare land zoned for rural purposes and in												
considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bermore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate six for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bermore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bermore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bermore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bermore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
concern about, and oposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate she reconing of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Simily, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters seeking to facilitate she reconing of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Simily, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reaching of 31 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Simily, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reaching 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for urban plane pose and in												
opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management Land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relier sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is urual land zoned for urual purposes and in									concern about, and			
Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let atlone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters easing so the submitters are seen of the submitters of the submitters of the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in									opposition to, 30			
potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly with submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street streat and zoned for rural purposes and in												
site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the rellet sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agee with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Bemmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
and waste management land uses MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in									peing considered			
land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is real land zoned for rural purposes and in									landuses MDHDCI door			
sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does mot agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in									Submitters seeking to			
30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in									with the submitters			
Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in												
for rural purposes and in												
no way chauld it ha									for rural purposes and in			
I III WAY SIIOUU IL DE									no way should it be			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.129			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.129	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183) Yvonne Weeber	S183.130	Manor Park and	FS27.130	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity. Rule R39:	Support	Support	Not stated Our natural environment	Not stated Allow	Not stated	Accept
(S183)		Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.			should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	\$183.131			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.131	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								(and plan amendments)			recommendation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								ʻplanned urban'. A			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status			recommendation
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Yvonne Weeber	S183.132			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
(S183)					discharges –						
					discretionary activity.						
vonne Weeber		Manor Park and	FS27.132	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
183)		Haywards Residents			discharges -			should be protected or			
		Community			discretionary			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and surrounding area.			1
								MPHRCI supports			1
								retention of provisions			1
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			1
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								considerable community concern about, and			
		i .	l	I	1		i	CONCERN ADOUL, and	i	1	1
					1			opposition to, 30			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.046			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.	Support		appropriate. Firefighting training on Wellington Airport could occur in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.469	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.047			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		appropriate. Airport fire service training at Wellington Airport could be done in the coastal marine area.	Not stated		Accept
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.470	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Allow	Not stated	Accept

provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to	recommendation
hetp achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site hattled in significant ardverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	1
remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	
There is substantial	
this land being rezoned	
from rural to urban.	
MPHRCI does not agree	
with Waste Management	
New Zealand Limited that	
"planning for the site to	
be used for a resource	
recovery park is well	
advanced, with several	
expert assessments	
undertaken that	
demonstrate the use is	
suitable and	
environmental effects	
and as such it should be	
considered part of the	
'planned / existing urban	
area'''. This is a disingenuous and	
arrogant statement to	
make. There is	
considerable community	
concern about, and	
opposition to, 30	
Benmore Street being	
considered as a	
potentially appropriate	
site for urban	
development, let alone	
being considered	
appropriate for industrial	
and waste management	
land uses. MPHRCI does	
not agree with the relief	
sought by those	
submitters seeking to	
facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an	
urban zone. Similarly,	
MPHRCI does not agree	
with the submitters	
reasoning. 30 Benmore	
Street is rural land zoned	
for rural purposes and in	
no way should it be	
considered as urban or as	
'planned urban'. A	
prohibited activity status	
to prevent urban land	
uses on this site, or	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.048			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.	Amend		Large scale natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas should not be burnt in the climate emergency we are in.	Include interim measurable milestones of phasing out large scale natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas generators.		Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Forest & Bird	FS23.341	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Whole submission point	Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.471	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.049			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.	Amend		Diesel and kerosene blends should not be burnt in the climate emergency we are in.	Include interim measurable milestones of phasing out large scale diesel or kerosene blend generators.		Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Forest & Bird	FS23.342	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Whole submission point	Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.472	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								frustrate this outcome. 30			recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
		1	1			1	I	uiscilaiges to Water Hoff		1	

Separation (1974) Separation (1	ginal Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
March Palls And March Pall									activities on this site, is			recommendation
Segrence (1984) The min Power of the Country of th									appropriate.			
Countries (NYA) When the hall be a proper of the country and		S186.050			5.1 Air quality rules		Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Women's program of the control of th				FS27.473	5.1 Air quality rules			Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Incorporate (PMWICC) (Organish of mysell policy (organish) organish organish (organish) organish (organis	s Inc (S186)					permitted activity.						
companded, excessed your moreousness and the control c												
remaining after build control and familiar and the control and the contro			Incorporate									
series and old storents and more than the Dy Good series and Configuration series and the Dy Good series and Configuration series and the Configuration configuration that will be parameter the configuration and an advantage of the configuration and an advantage of the configuration and the Configuration that the parameter of the configuration and the Configuration that the configuration and the Configuration that the configuration and the Configuration the configuration and the Configuration that the configuration and the Configuration and the Configuration that the configuration and the Co			("MPHRCI")									
recent and the Devices. Continents and Memberical supports. Intelligent on promotions (and plan memberical supports). Intelligent on promotions (and plan memberical supports). Intelligent of the promotion of th												
Catronomia gard. Periodical gard. Period									I			
surrounding elements whether the proposes of comparison continues and an element of the surrounding continues and elements and attention and elements and attention and elements and attention and elements instantion betwo continues. 20 discommon alterno that out and instantion betwo continues. 20 discommon alterno that out and instantion betwo continues. 20 discommon alterno that out and instantion betwo continues. 20 discommon alterno that out and instantion and color and and instantion and color and and instantion and color and instantion and color and instantion and and instantion and color and instantion and instantion and color and instantion and in												
MP-RICE (supports) interaction of provisions in interaction of provisions in interaction of provisions in interaction of provisions that will likely according in disposate provisions that will likely according to oppose provisions that will likely according to the provision of									•			
reservicion di provisionis i indici glaza marcialitario di indici glaza marcialitario di indici												
Island Spalan amendiformatics that will filled be active to little grand-scores that via last help active or mut. It suggisted this occurred. Spalan this provides on the control of the c												
that will be be an Chine to the control of the cont												
outcome, and opposes previous that will not be generally and the process of the p												
porcessions that Avail mont help a positions or a few procession of the position of the positi												
Independence or will Institute this color of the Color of												
financies in automos. 30 Bennive Silver tal, quistit wery recording, relatively wery recording, relatively and cestingsian values, open square values, and rarial amenity values. Wegetation collarative and contributors contributes on the silve lover resulted in significant advice are which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substitutible There is substituti												
wey recently, relatively nith natural chance de and accological values, or control of the chance de and accological values, or control of the chance de and the chance de and the chance de greatman deleance and earthworks activities on the hall believe extended in earthworks activities on the sand defects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is activities the international that is and being rezoice from rural to unitar. MPHRCI does not agree with Water Endangement New Zordannol inmited that the used for a travource recovery park is well advanced, with several to expert a travource recovery park is well advanced, with several capter a seconderett and control of the secondered and control of the secondered and environmental effects and as a search a debauld the capter a seconderett and environmental effects and as a search and activity in the glamment of referring pulms area. The is on delinegrousce and arrogant statement to make. There is a delinegrousce and arrogant statement to make. There is a considered as a specifically also a specifically and on ordered as a specifically also a specifically and ordered and only and ordered and a specifically also development, it at alone development, it at alone development, it alone development, it alone development and ordered and a specifically also development and and also also a specifically also development and and also a specifically also development and and also also a specifically also development and and and also a specifically also development and and also a specifica												
high natural character and ecological values, and rever amending values, and rever amending values, used a state of the st									Benmore Street had, until			
and ecological values, open space values, and construction of the state has expected to electronic control at arrently values. In the state of the												
open space values, and rural amening values. Record substantival experience of the process of th												
nural amenity values. Recents distributional vergetation clearance and enhances activities on enhances activities of enhances and minigated. Thore is substantial of the enhances and minigated. Thore is substantial of the enhances are enhanced to the enhances of the enhan												
Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the set have resulted in significant observed. Sophificant observed. Which should be removed the substantial community objection to this time do being rezoned than the substantial community objection to this time do being rezoned than time and the substantial community objection to this time do being rezoned than time at the substantial community objection to be used for a resource recovery park is well adhenced, with several expert assessments of the substantial community objection to substantial community objection to substantial community objection to substantial community objection to substantial community objects and one substantial substantial community objects and objects of the substantial community objects of t												
wegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects when should be an adverse environmental effects of the should be adversed to the should be adverse												
carthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse or the site have resulted in significant adverse or discommental effects or community objection to the site of the												
the sife have resulted in significant owners conviousment of flocts which should be semeded and misgated, and the significant of the significant o												
significant andverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land their granted from the land of the state of the st												
eminomental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being recorned from rural to urban. MPHECI does not agree with Waste Management New Zedianel unified that pushed for a resource recovery six well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the twa is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the pushed									I			
which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community opjection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHICI does not agree with Waste Management New Zeoland Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with swerzal expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such at should be considered part of the planning urban and advanced, with swerzal expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such at should be considered part of the planning urban and all singuinous and all anguests and all singuinous and all sin												
remedied and militigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not argee with Wasse Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be isself for a resource and the standard of the site to be isself for a resource and the standard of the site to demonstrate the use is advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such its should be considered part of the "planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenous and argan statement to make. There is considerable community copportation to, 30 Bennoner Street being considerable as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHICI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental affects and as such is should be considered part of the programment of the												
this land being rezoned from mural to unban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and as such it should be considered part of the "planned" resking urban area." This is a disringenuous and arogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone development development, let alone development, let alone development, let alone development, let alone development												
from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planned" existing urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
MPHRC does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is surfable and environmental effects and as such alshould be considered part of the "planmed / existing urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that denonstrate the use is suttable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' resisting urban are."". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is weil advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the "planned" Austing urban area"". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benomes Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let atione												
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' existing urban area"'. This is a disingenuous and arogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and oposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is Suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bemmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considerad as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' existing urban area"'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opoposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned' residual												
considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Bennore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and oposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make there is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site or urban development, let alone												
arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmers the being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone												
Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone									opposition to, 30			
considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone									Benmore Street being			
potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone									considered as a			
development, let alone									potentially appropriate			
I haine annaidanad												
peing considered appropriate for industrial									being considered			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			recommendation
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.051			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.474	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.052			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.	Amend		activities on this site, is appropriate. Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity should not be burnt in the climate emergency we are in.	Include interim measurable milestones of phasing out large scale coal, light fuel oil and petroleum distillates of high viscosity generators.		Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186)		Forest & Bird	FS23.343	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Whole submission point	Reject
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.475	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								surrounding area.			recommendation
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
L	L	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L	L	ior rarat parposes and in	1	l	1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.053			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.476	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.054			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.477	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.055			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.478	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments)	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								that will help achieve this			recommendation
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
	L	1	I		I	l .	l	p. Simplica activity status	I	l	I

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to prevent urban land			recommendation
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.056			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					cleaning – permitted						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.479	E 4 Air gualiturulaa	activity.		Cummont	Our notural anticomment	Allow	Not stated	Novecementalism
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents	F327.479	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
bays inc (0100)		Community			activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			uotivity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
		, ,						remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
	i	i	I	1	1	I	1	considered as a	I		1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the	S186.057			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)	3100.037			3.1 All quality rules	cupboards – permitted activity.	Neutrat		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.480	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186)	S186.058			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.481	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amentify values. Recent substantial vegatation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and miligated. There is substantial community objection to this lated being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRIG does not agree with Waste Management Neve Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects	recommendation
high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery parks well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the sist have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this tand being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is sutlable and the site of the site o	
vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
remedied and mitigated. There is substantial Community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree Mith Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is swell advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advancer assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	
demonstrate the use is suitable and	
suitable and	
i lenvironmenial energia	
and as such it should be	
considered part of the	
'planned / existing urban	
area"". This is a	
disingenuous and	
arrogant statement to	
make. There is	
considerable community	
concern about, and	
opposition to, 30	
Benmore Street being	
considered as a	
potentially appropriate site for whom	
site for urban	
development, let alone being considered	
appropriate for industrial	
and waste management	
land uses. MPHRCI does	
not agree with the relief	
sought by those	
submitters seeking to	
facilitate the rezoning of	
30 Benmore Street to an	
urban zone. Similarly,	
MPHRCI does not agree	
with the submitters	
reasoning. 30 Benmore	
Street is rural land zoned	
for rural purposes and in	
no way should it be	
considered as urban or as	
'planned urban'. A	
prohibited activity status to prevent urban land	
uses on this site, or	
discharges to water from	
activities on this site, is	
appropriate.	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the	S186.059			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20:	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					Mechanical						
					processing of metals - permitted activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.482	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20:		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents	. 6271.62	diamity rates	Mechanical		Саррон	should be protected or		. Tot otatou	- Tro roommonaanon
		Community			processing of metals			improved where it is			
		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")			- permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		(MPRKCI)						degraded, especially our remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not			
Í								help achieve or will			
ĺ								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
1								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
1								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
ĺ								expert assessments			
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
ĺ								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
1								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
1								potentially appropriate site for urban			
1								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.060			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)	3100.000			J.1 All quality fules	metal spraying –	Neutiat		NOT STATED	Not stated		INO TECONINIENIUALIUN
Days inc (0100)					permitted activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.483	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents		, , , , , , , , ,	metal spraying –			should be protected or			
(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		Community			permitted activity.			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			,			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well advanced, with several			
	L	L	1	1	l	1	L	expert assessments			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.061			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.484	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								open space values, and			- Commonauton
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.062			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					blasting outside an						
					enclosed area –						
					permitted activity.						

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.485	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents			blasting outside an			should be protected or			
		Community			enclosed area –			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								1			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
				1		1		considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
				i	i	1	i .	sought by those	i e	İ.	i .

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.063			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.486	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.064			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.487	5.1 Air quality rules	activity. Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								earthworks activities on			recommendation
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.065			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	0200.000				beverage production						
	0100.000										
Bays Inc (S186)					- permitted activity.						
Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the	02001000	Manor Park and	FS27.488	5.1 Air quality rules	– permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the	0.500.000	Haywards Residents	FS27.488	5.1 Air quality rules	– permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production		Support	should be protected or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the	0.00000	Haywards Residents Community	FS27.488	5.1 Air quality rules	– permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic		Support	should be protected or improved where it is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	0.00000	Haywards Residents	FS27.488	5.1 Air quality rules	– permitted activity. Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production		Support	should be protected or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								remaining native bush			recommendation
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			1
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
L	1	1	1		i .	1			i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	ı

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.066			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.489	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the	S186.067			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food,	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)	3100.007				animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.	. Tourist			TO Stated		
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.490	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Bays Inc (S186) Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity. Storage or transfer improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush	Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
remode de de directured. The control of the control									environmental effects			recommendation
The content of the co									1			
Soundard of Prince Sounda												
Part for the my count of special control of special												
To the control of the												
with Protein Prosperiment New Statement Committee Protein Statement Statemen												
Per de destanci unite de la participa de la composition del la composition de la composition del la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition del la composition de la composition del la composition del la composition del la composition del la composition del la composition del la composition d												
Typicrate (or the six to the six												
Be greater a responsed with passability of the control of the cont									1			
Tailorities of the Commentation for the commentatio									1			
Guardiaccord file System Giff Bill Commanding Service College Service									1			
Count Section 18 to 18 t												
Understand the security of the												
demonstrate the cost is a significant to the cost in the cost of t												
Substance of the common and fine the common and fine the common and fine the common and fine the common and fine the common and the common an												
Substance of the second state of the second st												
Counterward Prof. S 1865 888 Supplied S 1865 199									1			
Sparmer Pectring uithout and another Pectring uithout an	İ								1			
eres** This is a dispipation and and substituted in the second of the se												
discognitudes and a arrogant attemptive to consistencial community concern about, and opposition in July Concern about and opposition in July Concern about and opposition in July Concern about a July Concern about a July C	İ											
angoint statement on multi- There is not a considered as a con												
make. For one considerable community Cocker about, and Bernoro Street bring considered as a patient of the Cocker about, and Bernoro Street bring considered as a patiential paperportal to design and control of the Cocker and the Co									1 -			
Considerable community Concern about, and opposition to 3, 30 Considerable as a potentially appropriate and opposition to 3, 30 Considerable as a potentially appropriate and opposition to 1, 30 Considerable as a potentially appropriate and opposition to 1, 30 Considerable as a potentially appropriate and opposition to 1, a												
concern about, and opposition to a Color Street Deling Color Deling Color Street Deling Color									1			
appealsion to, 20 Berman Server being careliblered as a Berman Server being carelibred as a Berman Server being carellered as a Berman Berman development being carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Berman development carelibred as a Berman Ber												
Coardians of the Bays inc (State) S185.088 S									1			
potentially appropriate selfs for than development, let alone being considerate and waster management includes. MHRPCI does not agree with the release sought by those submitters seeking to facilities the recoming of potentially sought by those sought by												
siste for unband development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial cord vacce management agree with the submitted sought by those summers a seeking to facilitate the reposing of 30 Perminer Sirest to an unban zone. Similarly, PMPHICI class not agree with the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the flower than the consideration of the flower than the consideration of the flower than the consideration of the	İ											
development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and vaside management and authority of industrial and vaside management and appropriate for industrial and vaside management and agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Support agree with the rule! **Street is rural agree and in row way should it be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be causing and in row way should be preferred as unknown and in row way should be preferred as unknown and in row way should be preferred as unknown and in row way should be preferred as unknown and in row way should be preferred as a support and in row way should be preferred as a support and in row way should be preferred as a support and in row way should be preferred as a support and in row way should be preferred as a support as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support and row way should be preferred as a support as a support and row way should be preferred as a support as a support as a support and row way should be preferred as a support as a support as a support												
being considered appropriate for industrial and waster management land uses, PMFRC does not agree with the relief submitters asseting to facilitate the reconsing of 30 Remone Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MMFRC does not agree with the relief submitters asseting to facilitate the reconsing of 30 Remone Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MMFRC does not agree with the automatives resourcing, SD Bembore reconsidered as urban or as 'planned urban.' A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or activities on this site, or activi									1			
appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to a 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters seeking to a 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters of the street is read into zone of the considered as urban or as planned urban. The similarly is seeking to a 30 Bemmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bemmore Street is run land zone of the street is run land zone of the street is run land zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of discharges to water from a zone of the site. Of the site of the site. Of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site. Of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the site of the s												
and waste management tand uses. MFREG close not agree with the relief sought ty those submitters seeking to facilitate the terroting of 30 Bennore Street to an unanance. Smithall, MFREG does not agree with the relief sought ty those submitters seeking to facilitate the terroting of 30 Bennore Street to an unanance. Smithall, MFREG does not agree with the relief sought ty those submitters seeking to facilitate the terroting of 30 Bennore Street to an unanance. Smithall, MFREG does not agree with the relief sought ty those submitters seeking to demand and unanance. Smithall the considered as unanance of the relief sought ty those submitters seeking to demand and unanance. Smithall the considered as unanance of the site of discharges to water from activities on this site, of discharges to water from activities on this site, of discharges to water from activities on this site, of discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Guardians of the Beys Inc. (\$386) Hander Park and												
Sumition with the relief Sugget by Those Summitter seeking to												
Second Second												
Sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Bennore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bennore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bennore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as '(planned urban'; A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses only status to prevent urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land urban land												
Submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Benmore Street surfail and zone of four rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'plananed urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone as 'plananed urban'. A prohibited activity, and uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Not stated Not stated Not stated Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Allow Not stated Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation Allow Nor ecommendation												
Support Sup												
Size Considered as unbanded Size Considered as unbande												
MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning, 30 Benmore Street is rural and zoned for rural purposes and in no way should fibe considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from a christien on this list, or discharges to water from a christien on this list, or a popopriate. S186.068 Bays Inc. (S186) When Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")												
with the submitters reasoning, 30 Bemore Street is rural tand zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'glanned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Fig. 7.491 Annor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Fig. 7.491 S.1 Air quality rules activity. Fig. 7.491 S.1 Air quality rules activity. Support Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or fixes being degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
Figure 1 and 2 and a surban or as planned unbard. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on the site of activities or discharges to water from activities on this site, or discharges to water from activities on the site of activities or discharges to water from activities on the site of activities or discharges to water from activities or discharges to water from activities or discharges to water from activities or discharges to water f	i											
Street is rural land zoned for rusal purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate. Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (5186) Anot Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") FS27.491 Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Wanor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Commun												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardi												
Courrillans of the Bays Inc (\$186) Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Want Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Not stated No recommendation No recommendation No recommendation Support Our natural environment should be protected or isks being degraded or risks being degraded or risks being degraded or risks being degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Not stated Not stated Not stated No recommendation Norecommendation Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Norecommendation Norecommendation Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Norecommendation Norecommendation Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Norecommendation Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Bays Inc (S186) Bays Inc (S186) Allow Not stated Norecommendation Norecommendation Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Allow Norecommendation Support									'planned urban'. A			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Guardians of the Stated Not stated No recommendation Not stated No recommendation Support Support Support Support Support Support Guardians of the Bays Inc (\$186) Incorporate ("MPHRCI")												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") FS27.491 S.1 Air quality rules Support Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) FS27.491 Support Support Support Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especialty our remaining native bush												
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) S186.068 Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") S186.068 Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") S186.068 S186.068 S186.068 Not stated No												
Bays Inc (S186) Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Bays Inc (S186) Community Community Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Bays Inc (S186) Bays Inc (S186) Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity. Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity. Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush	Guardians of the	\$186,068			5 1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroloum	Neutral			Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Manor Park and Haywards Residents Sound Bays Inc (S186) Manor Park and Haywards Residents Sound Bays Inc (S18		3100.000			0.1 All quality fules		Noutiat		Hot stated	Hot stated		140 ICCOMMENIATION
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186) Wannor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Wannor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Wannor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Wannor Park and Haywards Residents Storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity. Support Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush	., (5255)					facilities – permitted						
Bays Inc (S186) Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI") Storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity. degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush						activity.						
Community facilities – permitted improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush	Guardians of the			FS27.491	5.1 Air quality rules			Support		Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Incorporate ("MPHRCI") activity. degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush	Bays Inc (S186)											
("MPHRCI") degraded, especially our remaining native bush												
remaining native bush			("MDHDC!")			activity.						
areas and all streams and			(PIFTINGI)						remaining native huch			
00 23 000 00 30 C003 000 1									areas and all streams and			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rivers in the Dry Creek			recommendation
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
	<u> </u>					1	<u> </u>	reasoning. 30 Benmore			J

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the	S186.069			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					source emissions – permitted activity.						
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.492	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.070			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.493	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.071			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35A: Gas processes –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.494	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R35A: Gas processes – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								(and plan amendments)			recommendation
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								ʻplanned urban'. A			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from			recommendation
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.072			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.495	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents Community Incorporate			heating of minerals – permitted activity.			should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area"". This is a			
								disingenuous and arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	\$186.073			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.496	5.1 Air quality rules	agrichemicals. General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Altow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.074			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.497	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								frustrate this outcome. 30			recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or discharges to water from			
		1	1			1	I	uiscilaiges to Water Hoff		1	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								activities on this site, is			recommendation
								appropriate.			
Guardians of the	S186.075			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					and aerial discharge						
					of agrichemicals –						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.498	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R38: Motorised		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents	1027.400	0.17th quality rates	and aerial discharge		Опрот	should be protected or	Attow	Not stated	No recommendation
		Community			of agrichemicals –			improved where it is			
		Incorporate			permitted activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek			
								Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments)			
								that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30			
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the 'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.076			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.	Support		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.499	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R39: Agrichemicals not permitted – restricted discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is			
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)	S186.077			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R40: Fumigation –	Neutral		appropriate. Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.500	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R40: Fumigation – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			Toommonaation
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban			
								development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore			
								Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
1								discharges to water from			
								activities on this site, is			
								appropriate.			
(1	1	I		I	1	l	арргорнате.			1

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Guardians of the	S186.078			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)					discharges – discretionary						
					activity.						
Guardians of the		Manor Park and	FS27.501	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other		Support	Our natural environment	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Bays Inc (S186)		Haywards Residents Community			discharges – discretionary			should be protected or improved where it is			
		Incorporate			activity.			degraded or risks being			
		("MPHRCI")						degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush			
								areas and all streams and			
								rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area.			
								MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions			
								(and plan amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes			
								provisions that will not			
								help achieve or will			
								frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and			
								earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management			
								New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the 'planned / existing urban			
								area". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate site for urban			
								development, let alone			
1								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ) (S209)	S209.004			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Higgins Contractors Limited (S226)	S226.003			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R42: All other discharges – discretionary activity.	Support		Supports Rule R42 as it provides more clarity than existing rule	No relief sought.		Accept
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.001			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes only agrichemicals with hazardous properties are approved by the EPA and this rule effectively makes non-hazardous agrichemicals not permitted in the region. Notes that non-hazardous substances are already covered under the HSNO Act and associated EPA notices.	Delete "(d) the agrichemical is approved by the Enivronmental Protection Agency"		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.019	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Non-hazardous substances are already regulated under the HSNO Act and EPA and do not require further regional rules.	Allow	Allow. Delete "(d) the agrichemical is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency"	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.2	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	The use of non-hazardous agrichemicals should be a permitted activity.	Allow	Only agrichemicals with hazardous properties are approved by the EPA therefore non-hazardous agrichemicals would not be permitted	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.002			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Notes that 5.1.13 (e) requires the user to follow the label but there are multiple situations where users of agrichemicals may not follow the label including crops where the product has not been formally approved. />Considers it is reasonable to limit the application to the label	Move clause (e) to amended R37 to make it a requirement for domestic users of agrichemicals only. Add an equivalent statement to R38 - see separate submission point.		Accept in part

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Air Quality – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								requirements for domestic users of agrichemicals.			
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.3	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	There are many situations where agrichemicals may be applied off label for primary production and weed and pest control.	Allow	5.1.13 (e) requires the user to follow the label but there are situations where users of agrichemicals may not follow the label including crops where the product has not been formally approved.	Accept in part
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.003			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that handheld application on commercial/public properties should be subject to greater oversight than proposed. brandheld application is not covered by requirements of R38(F) R38(G) and so no spray plan or notification is required.	Rename R37 to Handheld discharge of agrichemicals on a residential property		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.004			5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Considers that requiring exact compliance with label conditions is restrictive for commercial agrichemical users. />Notes the New Zealand Standard for the Management of Agrichemicals, and that requirements have, through the Standards NZ process, been confirmed as reasonable minimum requirements for agrichemical use in workplaces but they retain flexibility for offlabel use where it is appropriate.	Replace 5.1.13 (e) with the following and move out of General Conditions and into R38: the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the good practice requirements set out in NZS 8409:2021 Section 5.2.		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		Horticulture New Zealand	FS1.018	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	This is an appropriate amendment.	Allow	Allow replacement of 5.1.13 (e) with "the discharge shall be undertaken in accordance with the good practice requirements set out in NZS 8409:2021 Section 5.2.".	
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.1	5.1 Air quality rules	5.1.13 Discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	The New Zealand Standard for the Management of Agrichemicals, and that requirements have, through the Standards NZ process, been confirmed as br/>reasonable minimum requirements for agrichemical use in workplaces but they retain flexibility for off- label use where it is appropriate.	Allow	NZAAA agrees that exact compliance with label conditions is restrictive for commercial agrichemical users.	Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	\$227.005			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers notification requirements are too vague except for public spraying (g) and that appendix G3 requirements balances applicators and neighbour's needs. Suggests the deletion of R38(g) as Appendix G3 and G4 covers this content.	Amend R38(e)(ii) to reference NZS 8409: 2021 Appendix G3		Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)		NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	FS6.4	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	NZAAA agrees that notification requirements are too vague except for public spraying (g) />and that appendix G3 requirements balances applicators and neighbour's needs	Allow	Amend R38(e)(ii) to reference NZS 8409: 2021 Appendix G3 to provide clarity.	Reject
New Zealand Agrichemical Education Trust (NZAET) (S227)	S227.006			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers if R37 is renamed to cover residential handheld application of agrichemicals, this rule must be extended to cover handheld application in nonresidential application sites.	Amend name of Rule to All other agrichemical application		Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.077			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.539	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.010	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R1: Outdoor burning – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that the proposed amendments increase the rule's efficacy in the CMA, and will provide greater protection for te taiao and people from discharges to air from outdoor burning by ensuring that the same level of protection is applied to the CMA as on land/property.	Allow	Allow the submission point.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.078			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.			recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.540	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R3: Outdoor burning for firefighter training – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.079			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.541	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R28: Cement storage – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.080			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Support		Considers this amendment protects the public from noxious, dangerous, offensive, and objectionable effects in the coastal marine area by applying the same level of protection that already exists on land.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.542	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.081			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.543	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	Accept
					permitted activity.			waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are consistent with higher		points are inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		submission points and specific relief.	
								the RMA (including s6).			
ama Potaka, inister of		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.011	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R7: Natural gas and liquefied		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
onservation (S245)					petroleum gas –			functional need to be		Submission points.	
					permitted activity.			located in the CMA and therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
ma Potaka, nister of	S245.082			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends –	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this	Retain as notified		Accept
onservation (S245)					permitted activity.			activity to occur in the			
								coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly considered.			
ma Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.544	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
nister of onservation (S245)					kerosene blends – permitted activity.			help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous		the submission and all relief sought	
(32.3)								biodiversity and		unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout Wellington and are		stated or where points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		submission points and specific relief.	
		_						the RMA (including s6).			
nma Potaka, inister of		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.012	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R8: Diesel or kerosene blends –		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
onservation (S245)					permitted activity.			functional need to be		Jubinission points.	
								located in the CMA and			
								therefore should not be enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.083			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. />cbr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.545	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.013	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R9: Biogas – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
											recommendation
								appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka,	S245.084			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of	0240.004			0.17 iii quality rateo	wood – permitted	Сирроп		functional need for this	Tiotain do fiotinod		7,000pt
Conservation (S245)					activity.			activity to occur in the			
								coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
T D		F . 0 B: 1	5000 5 40	5441 19 1	D D40			considered.	A.I.	0 111 1 1	
Tama Potaka, Minister of		Forest & Bird	FS23.546	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated wood – permitted		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and	Accept
Conservation (S245)					activity.			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
Conscivation (6240)					detivity.			biodiversity and		unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		submission points and specific relief.	
								the RMA (including s6).		and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.014	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R10: Untreated		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Accept
Minister of					wood – permitted			activities do not have a		submission points.	
Conservation (S245)					activity.			functional need to be			
								located in the CMA and			
								therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The			
								CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
	S245.085			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of					fuel oil, and			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)					petroleum distillates			activity to occur in the			
					of higher viscosity – permitted activity.			coastal marine area. Considers the			
					pormitted detivity.			amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
								Liconsidered			The state of the s
Tama Potaka		Forest & Bird	FS23.547	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11; Coal, light		Support	considered. Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of		Forest & Bird	FS23.547	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					of higher viscosity – permitted activity.			biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.015	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R11: Coal, light fuel oil, and petroleum distillates of higher viscosity – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.086			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.548	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.016	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R14: Spray coating within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.087			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. />cbr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.549	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.017	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R15: Spray coating not within an enclosed space – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.088			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.550	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.018	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R16: Printing processes – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.089			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.551	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	F\$37.019	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R17: Dry cleaning – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.090			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. />cbr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	F\$23.552	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.020	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R18: Fume cupboards – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								to require resource			recommendation
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka,	S245.091			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of					ventilation –			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			activity to occur in the			
								coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
								considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.553	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of					ventilation –		''	help maintain, protect,		the submission and	'
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
								biodiversity and		unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.021	E 1 Air quality rules	Dula D10: Warksland		Cunnort	the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Allow the	Accept
Minister of		Nga Hapu o Otaki	F537.021	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R19: Workplace ventilation –		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a	Allow	submission points.	Accept
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			functional need to be		Subillission points.	
00113617411011 (0240)					permitted detivity.			located in the CMA and			
								therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection			
								with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka,	S245.092			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20:	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of					Mechanical			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)								activity to occur in the			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					processing of metals – permitted activity.			coastal marine area. /> />considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.554	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.022	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R20: Mechanical processing of metals – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.093			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> /	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.555	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents,	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngã Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.023	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R21: Thermal metal spraying – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.094			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> /> Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.556	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.024	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R25: Abrasive blasting within an enclosed booth – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.095			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> br /> Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.557	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.025	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Reject
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.096			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />considers the amendment gives effect	Retain as notified		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.			recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.558	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.026	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.097			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.559	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Air Quality – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.027	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R29: Alcoholic beverage production – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.098			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. />cbr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.560	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.028	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R30: Coffee roasting – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.099			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> 	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.561	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.029	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R31: Food, animal or plant matter manufacturing and processing – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.100			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. />cbr />Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the	Retain as notified		Reject

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								activity's location and			recommendation
								effects on public access			
								to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.562	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Reject
Minister of					source emissions –			help maintain, protect,		the submission and	
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			and restore indigenous biodiversity and		all relief sought unless otherwise	
								waterways throughout		stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher order documents,		inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.030	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Reject
Minister of				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	source emissions -			activities do not have a		submission points.	,,,,
Conservation (S245)					permitted activity.			functional need to be			
								located in the CMA and therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting			
								provides no mechanism to require resource			
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The			
								CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
								through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of	S245.101			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater	Support		Considers there to be no functional need for this	Retain as notified		Accept
Conservation (S245)					processes -			activity to occur in the			
					permitted activity.			coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.563	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and		Support	considered. Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of					wastewater			help maintain, protect,		the submission and	
Conservation (S245)					processes -			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
					permitted activity.			biodiversity and waterways throughout		unless otherwise stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		Forest & Bird's submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
				<u> </u>			1	the RMA (including s6).			<u> </u>
Tama Potaka, Minister of		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.031	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Conservation (S245)					- Nasiowaldi			functional need to be		Submission points.	

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					processes – permitted activity.			located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.102			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.	Support		through the regional plan. Considers there to be no functional need for this activity to occur in the coastal marine area. /> /br/> Considers the amendment gives effect to the NZCPS Policy 6(2) as the consent requirements enable the activity's location and effects on public access to be properly considered.	Retain as notified		Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.564	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngã Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.032	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R36: Drying and heating of minerals – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								practices, values and			recommendation
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka,	S245.103			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of	0240.100			0.17th quality rates	discharge of	Опрот		functional need for this	netali as notinea		Лосорг
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals –			activity to occur in the			
					permitted activity.			coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
								/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			
								to be properly			
								considered.			
Tama Potaka,		Forest & Bird	FS23.565	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld		Support	Submission points will	Allow	Support the whole of	Accept
Minister of					discharge of			help maintain, protect,		the submission and	
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals –			and restore indigenous		all relief sought	
					permitted activity.			biodiversity and waterways throughout		unless otherwise stated or where	
								Wellington and are		points are	
								consistent with higher		inconsistent with	
								order documents,		Forest & Bird's	
								including the NPS-FM, the		submission points	
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and		and specific relief.	
								the RMA (including s6).			
Tama Potaka,		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.033	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R37: Handheld		Support	NHoŌ agrees that these	Allow	Allow the	Accept
Minister of					discharge of			activities do not have a functional need to be		submission points.	
Conservation (S245)					agrichemicals – permitted activity.			located in the CMA and			
					permitted detivity.			therefore should not be			
								enabled as a permitted			
								activity. While the			
								likelihood of these			
								activities occurring in the			
								CMA is small, the			
								operative drafting provides no mechanism			
								to require resource			
								consent to consider any			
								potential effects on the			
								environment. The CMA is			
								a culturally significant			
								area to Ngā Hapū. The			
								CMA is an area where we			
								maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural			
								practices, values and			
								wāhi tapu. It is of the			
								utmost importance that			
								the CMA is provided the			
								appropriate protection			
	00.45.45							through the regional plan.			
Tama Potaka,	S245.104			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised	Support		Considers there to be no	Retain as notified		Accept
Minister of Conservation (S245)					and aerial discharge			functional need for this			
Conservation (S245)					of agrichemicals – permitted activity.			activity to occur in the coastal marine area. <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>			
					permitted activity.			/> Considers the			
								amendment gives effect			
								to the NZCPS Policy 6(2)			
								as the consent			
								requirements enable the			
								activity's location and			
								effects on public access			

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to be properly considered.			
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.566	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.034	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R38: Motorised and aerial discharge of agrichemicals – permitted activity.		Support	the RMA (including s6). NHoŌ agrees that these activities do not have a functional need to be located in the CMA and therefore should not be enabled as a permitted activity. While the likelihood of these activities occurring in the CMA is small, the operative drafting provides no mechanism to require resource consent to consider any potential effects on the environment. The CMA is a culturally significant area to Ngā Hapū. The CMA is an area where we maintain our connection with mahinga kai, cultural practices, values and wāhi tapu. It is of the utmost importance that the CMA is provided the appropriate protection through the regional plan.	Allow	Allow the submission points.	Accept
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245) Tama Potaka,	S245.105	Forest & Bird	FS23.567	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Neutral	Support	Considers this permitted activity rule should not have general application to the coastal marine area. />Considers it may be appropriate to apply it to the coastal marine area within the Commercial Port Area, consistent with NZCPS Policy 9 (Ports).	Consider whether it is necessary for this rule to apply to the coastal marine area within the Commercial Port Area only. Allow	Support the whole of	Reject
Minister of Conservation (S245)	COAF 400			E 4 8 in	of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Amand		help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)	S245.106			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity.	Amend		Supports the proposed amendments to condition (a) as it is ineffective to rely on a property boundary as the point beyond which effects of permitted activities are	Amend condition (b) wording as follows: (b) there is no emission of hazardous air pollutants as identified in Schedule L2 (air pollutants) beyond the boundary of the property or in the coastal marine area that does, or is likely to,		Accept

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								controlled. />Considers a similar amendment is required for condition (b) as concerned that the effects on human health and ecosystems from these pollutants is uncontrolled.	cause adverse effects on human health, ecosystems, or property.		
Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)		Forest & Bird	FS23.568	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R33: Petroleum storage or transfer facilities – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)	S256.013			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes –	Support		Supports Rule R35	Retain as notified.		Accept
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.118	5.1 Air quality rules	permitted activity. Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Neutral	Neutral	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Waste Management NZ Limited (S256)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1058	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R35: Water and wastewater processes – permitted activity.		Neutral	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.033			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Support		Supports consistency with the NZCPS and NPSFM.	Retain as proposed.		Accept in part
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.360	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	FS27.652	5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer
								frustrate this outcome. 30			recommendation
								Benmore Street had, until			
								very recently, relatively			
								high natural character			
								and ecological values,			
								open space values, and			
								rural amenity values.			
								Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on			
								the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse			
								environmental effects			
								which should be			
								remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial			
								community objection to			
								this land being rezoned			
								from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to			
								be used for a resource			
								recovery park is well			
								advanced, with several			
								expert assessments			
								undertaken that			
								demonstrate the use is			
								suitable and			
								environmental effects			
								and as such it should be			
								considered part of the			
								'planned / existing urban area'". This is a			
								disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to			
								make. There is			
								considerable community			
								concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30			
								Benmore Street being			
								considered as a			
								potentially appropriate			
								site for urban development, let alone			
								being considered			
								appropriate for industrial			
								and waste management			
								land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief			
								sought by those			
								submitters seeking to			
								facilitate the rezoning of			
								30 Benmore Street to an			
								urban zone. Similarly,			
								MPHRCI does not agree			
								with the submitters			
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned			
								for rural purposes and in			
								no way should it be			
								considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A			
								prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land			
								uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from			ĺ

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Air Quality – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Submission Point	FS name	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Support/Oppose	FS support/oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)	\$275.006			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes the s32 assessment indicates that this rule along with others are permitted activities within the coastal marine area but are inappropriate and there is no precedent or demand. br/>Notes that marine transport operates within the coastal environment and is a 'mobile discharge' and there is a 'demand' for this as a permitted activity.	Reinstate the 'coastal icon' to Rule 5.1.10 Any further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought.		Accept
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S275)		Forest & Bird	FS23.700	5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R34: Mobile source emissions – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Pat van Berkel (S282)	S282.009			5.1 Air quality rules	General conditions for the discharge of agrichemicals.	Amend		Section 5.1.13 - General conditions for discharge of agrichemicals does not have a rule number	Add a rule number to Section 5.1.13 - General conditions for discharge of agrichemicals		Reject
CentrePort Limited (\$93) CentrePort Limited	\$93.001	Waka Kotahi NZ	FS28.002	5.1 Air quality rules 5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R26: Abrasive blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.	Oppose	Support	Does not support the amendment of the rule to no longer apply in the coastal marine area. Disagrees there is "no precedent or demand" for such activities, particularly within the Commercial Port Area. Notes abrasive blasting of coastal structures is commonplace for maintenance. Considers existing permitted activity standards provide suitable controls and where they cannot be met that a different activity status should apply. Waka Kotahi has	Reinstate the 'coastal' icon so that the rule applies in the coastal marine area.	Not stated	Accept
(S93)		Transport Agency	1 323.002	3.1 All quality rules	blasting outside an enclosed area – permitted activity.		Зирроге	infrastructure in the coastal environment.	Allow	Not stated	Ассерг
CentrePort Limited (S93)	S93.002			5.1 Air quality rules	Rule R27: Handling of bulk solid materials – permitted activity.	Not Stated		Supports the amendment to exclude the activity as a coastal activity.	Retain as proposed.		Accept