# Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region

# Section 42A Hearing Report Hearing Stream 1

Topic: Beds of Lakes and Rivers Process: Freshwater Planning Process and Schedule 1, Part 1 Process Prepared by: Samuel O'Brien Report Date: 3 October 2024 Hearing Date: 4 November 2024

# Contents

Executive	e Summary1
Interpret	ation2
1.0	Introduction3
1.1	Purpose3
1.2	Scope of this Report3
1.3	Author3
1.4	Key Issues4
1.5	Pre-hearing Meetings4
2.0	Statutory Considerations4
2.1	Resource Management Act 19914
2.2	National Direction5
2.3	Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative)5
2.4	Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region6
2.5	Approach to identifying the freshwater planning instrument6
2.6	Section 32AA6
2.7	Trade Competition6
3.0	Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions7
3.1	Report Structure7
3.2	Issue 1: Amending General Conditions 5.4.47
3.2.1	Analysis7
3.2.2	Recommendations8
3.3	Issue 2: Amendments to Rule R128 New Structures (permitted) and associated
-	es to Rule R145 All other uses of the beds of lakes and rivers (discretionary)9
3.3.1	Analysis9
3.3.2	Recommendations11
3.4	Issue 3: Amendments to Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction (permitted)12
3.4.1	Analysis12
3.4.2	Recommendations12
3.5 (discre	Issue 4: Amendments to Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood purposes etionary)
3.5.1	Analysis13
3.5.2	Recommendations14
3.6	Issue 5: Ongoing diversion of a river (permitted)14
3.6.1	Analysis14
3.6.2	Recommendations14

3.7	Issue 6: National Environment Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities	
Regulat	tions 2009	.15
3.7.1	Analysis	.15
3.7.2	Recommendations	.15
4.0	Conclusions	.15

# **Executive Summary**

- 1. This report considers submissions received by Greater Wellington Regional Council ('the Council') in relation to the provisions of Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region ('PC1') as they apply to Beds of Lakes and Rivers.
- 2. The provisions in this topic are following the Freshwater Planning Process and Schedule 1, Part 1 Process of the Resource Management Act 1991 ('the RMA').
- 3. A total of 52 submission points and 73 further submission points were received on this topic. The submissions on this topic were narrow in focus, addressing the miscellaneous amendments to the Beds of Lakes and Rivers Chapter. The following key issues are raised in submissions and are covered by this report. The details of the issues and who the submitters are is set out in Appendix 2:
  - Amending General Conditions 5.4.4
  - Amendments to Rule R128: New structures (permitted) and associated changes to Rule R145: All other uses of river and lakes beds (discretionary)
  - Amendments to Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction (permitted)
  - Amendments to Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes (discretionary)
  - Amendments to Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river (permitted)
  - Adding references to National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009
- 4. Other issues raised by submitters in relation to this topic are also covered in the report.
- 5. As a result of analysing the submissions, I have recommended a number of amendments to the PC1 provisions to address issues raised. These amendments can be summarised as follows:
  - Minor clarity amendments
  - Assisting plan users by updating references to other legislation
- 6. These recommended changes are set out in Appendix 3 of this report.
- 7. I have also undertaken a section 32AA evaluation for the amendments I have recommended, and this is attached to this report as Appendix 4.
- 8. For the reasons outlined in the section 32AA evaluation in Appendix 5 and outlined in this report, I consider that the proposed rules with the recommended amendments, are the most appropriate.

# Interpretation

9. This report utilises a number of abbreviations and should be read in conjunction with the document 'Plan Change 1 to the Natural resources Plan – List of Abbreviations of Terms and Submitter Names' available on the Plan Change 1 website.

# 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose

- 10. This report is prepared under section 42A of the RMA. The purpose of this report is to provide the Freshwater Hearings Panel and the Part 1, Schedule 1 Hearings Panel ('the Hearing Panels') with an analysis of submissions received by the Council in relation to the Beds of Lakes and Rivers topic of PC1. I make recommendations as to whether or not those submissions should be accepted/accepted in part or rejected/rejected in part or where I make no recommendation and where appropriate, provide recommendations for amendments to the PC1 provisions.
- 11. This report should be read in conjunction with the section 42A report 'Overarching' which provides the background to PC1, the statutory context, scope of the plan change, the approach to the categorisation of provisions, and administrative matters relating to PC1.

### **1.2** Scope of this Report

- 12. PC1 has been notified via two plan-making processes under Schedule 1 of the RMA:
  - The Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) under Part 4, Schedule 1 for the provisions that form the Freshwater Planning Instrument. These provisions are marked in the PC1 document with the freshwater icon.
  - The standard plan-making process in Part 1, Schedule 1 ('P1S1').
- 13. This report addresses submission points and provisions under both the FPP and P1S1 processes.
- 14. The provisions of PC1 that are addressed by this report are set out in Appendix 1. That table also includes the relevant page number in the notified plan change document, the relevant plan change process for each provision (FPP or P1S1), and the number of submissions received for each provision.

### 1.3 Author

- 15. My name is Samuel Nicholas O'Brien, and I am employed by the Council as an Environmental Policy Advisor in the Environmental Policy team. I hold a Master of Planning and Bachelor of Applied Science from the University of Otago. I am a Graduate member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
- 16. I have one year of experience in resource management and planning, working in local government. During this time, I have specialised in policy planning working across a range of resource management issues.
- 17. I have been involved in the development of the provisions for PC1 and also contributed to the Section 32 evaluation report.
- 18. I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in the Practice Note 2023 issued by the Environment Court. I have complied with that Code when preparing this evidence and I agree to comply with it when I give any oral evidence.

- 19. The scope of my evidence relates to Beds of Lakes and Rivers. Other than when I state that I am relying on the evidence of another person, I confirm that the issues addressed in this statement of evidence are within my area of expertise.
- 20. Any data, information, facts and assumptions I have considered in forming my opinions are set out. Where I have set out opinions in my evidence, I have given reasons for those opinions.
- 21. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.

### 1.4 Key Issues

- 22. A number of submitters raised issues with the provisions relating to Beds of Lakes and Rivers. A total of 52 submission points and 73 further submission points were received on the provisions relating to this topic.
- 23. The following are considered to be the key issues in contention:
  - Amending General Conditions 5.4.4
  - Amendments to Rule R128: New structures (permitted) and associated changes to Rule R145: All other uses of river and lakes beds (discretionary)
  - Amendments to Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction (permitted)
  - Amendments to Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes (discretionary)
  - Amendments to Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river (permitted)
  - Adding references to National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009
- 24. This report addresses each of these key issues, as well as any other issues raised by submissions.
- 25. The following issues in relation to this topic are being addressed in other reports:
  - Target Attribute States in Hearing Stream 2 which covers the relevant objectives.

### 1.5 Pre-hearing Meetings

26. At the time of writing this report there has not been any pre-hearing meetings, Schedule 1, clause 8AA meetings or expert witness conferencing in relation to submissions on this topic.

# 2.0 Statutory Considerations

### 2.1 Resource Management Act 1991

- 27. PC1 has been prepared in accordance with the RMA and in particular, the requirements of:
  - Part 2 Purpose and principles
  - Section 30 Functions of regional councils
  - Section 32 Requirements for preparing and publishing evaluation reports
  - Section 32AA Requirements for undertaking and publishing further evaluations
  - Section 63 Purpose of regional plans
  - Section 64 Preparation and change of regional coastal plans

Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region Hearing Steam: Hearing Stream 1 Officer's Report: Beds of Lakes and Rivers

- Section 65 Preparation and change of other regional plans
- Section 66 Matters to be considered by regional council (plans)
- Section 67 Contents of regional plans
- Section 68 Regional rules
- Section 69 Rules relating to water quality
- Section 70 Rules about discharges
- Section 80A Freshwater planning process
- Part 1 and Part 4 of Schedule 1

### 2.2 National Direction

- 28. The Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 (NES-F) are regulations made under the RMA and which regulate certain activities that pose risks to freshwater and freshwater ecosystems. Anyone carrying out these activities will need to comply with the standards. Each of New Zealand's regional councils are responsible for the consenting and consent monitoring associated with these regulations. The latest version of the NES-F came into effect on 21 September 2023. Reference to these regulations is made within the PC1 provisions *Rule R128 New Structures* and *Rule R125: All other uses of river and lake beds* of the Beds of Lakes and Rivers Chapter.
- 29. The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) sets the direction for management of natural and physical resources to achieve healthy waterbodies and freshwater ecosystems. In doing so, it seeks to improve the management of freshwater quality and quantity in New Zealand. The NPS-FM is relevant to the PC1 provisions in the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter
- 30. The Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Commercial Forestry) Regulations 2017 (NES-CF) were subject to amendments which took effect on 03 November 2023. These included renaming the Regulations from the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations (NES-PF). The NES-CF regulates commercial forestry activities for both carbon and timber production (plantation) forests. Reference to these regulations is made within the PC1 provisions *Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions, Rule R128 New Structures,* and *Rule R132 Minor sand and gravel extraction* of the Beds of Lakes and Rivers Chapter.

### 2.3 Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region (Operative)

31. The RPS sets out the framework and priorities for resource management in the Wellington Region. The RMA requires regional councils to produce an RPS for their region and review it every 10 years. The RPS was made operative on 24 April 2013. The RPS identifies the regionally significant issues around the management of the region's natural and physical resources and sets out what needs to be achieved (objectives) and the way in which the objectives will be achieved (policies and methods). The RPS is relevant to the PC1 provisions in the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter including *Policy 12: Management purposes for surface water bodies* and *Policy 18: Protecting aquatic ecological function of water bodies – regional plans* 

### 2.4 Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region

- 32. Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement (RPS Change 1) was notified on 19 August 2022. RPS Change 1 makes changes to the operative RPS primarily in anticipation of significant increases in urban development activity as the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and the medium density residential standards (MDRS) take effect in the Region. The Council sought to take an integrated approach to managing resource management issues associated with this increase in urban development activity and RPS Change 1 includes a number of amended and new policies relating to climate change adaptation and resilience, freshwater management, and indigenous biodiversity.
- 33. As a proposed regional policy statement, section 66(1)(a) of the RMA requires regard to be had to it.
- 34. The PC1 provisions in the Beds of Lakes and Rivers Chapter have regard to Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region in particular Policy 18 Protecting and restoring ecological health of waterbodies – regional plans.

### 2.5 Approach to identifying the freshwater planning instrument

- 35. The process the Council followed in determining which provisions should be notified as part of the FPI and which provisions should be part of the P1S1 process is set out in section 6.1 of the Section 32 report that was prepared in support of PC1<sup>3</sup>.
- 36. I have assessed each provision addressed by this report according to the tests that were applied to categorise each provision in PC1 to either the FPP or to the P1S1 process at the time of notification, consistent with the Council's understanding of section 80A at the time.
- 37. The result of my assessment is provided in Appendix 3. In summary,
- 38. Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions and Rule R145 have the coastal icon, are part of the Regional Coastal Plan and therefore, were categorised as P1S1 provisions. Rules R128, R132, R133 and R151A all relate to freshwater, and the Council has decided that they should form part of the FPI.

### 2.6 Section 32AA

- 39. I have undertaken an evaluation of my recommended amendments to provisions since the initial section 32 evaluation was undertaken in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA.
- 40. The required section 32AA evaluation for changes proposed as a result of consideration of submissions with respect to this topic is set out in Appendix 5.
- 41. The section 32AA further evaluation contains a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the anticipated effects of the amendments that have been recommended in this report. Recommendations on editorial, minor and consequential changes that improve the effectiveness of provisions without changing the policy approach or intent are not re-evaluated.

### 2.7 Trade Competition

- 42. Trade competition is not considered relevant to this topic within PC1.
- 43. There are no known trade competition issues raised within the submissions.

6

# 3.0 Consideration of Submissions and Further Submissions

### 3.1 Report Structure

- 44. The issues raised in submissions are addressed by sub-topics within this report. Some submissions cross several sub-issues and are therefore addressed under more than one sub-topic heading. Appendix 2 provides a description of the matters raised for each issue in table format, along with the relevant submission point references.
- 45. The RMA allows Hearing Panels to address submissions by grouping them either by the provisions to which they relate, or the matters to which they relate.<sup>1</sup> On this basis, I have undertaken my analysis and evaluation on an issues and provisions-based approach, rather than a submission-by-submission approach.
- 46. Appendix 4 sets out the amendments I am recommending to PC1 as a result of my analysis of submissions. These recommended amendments are supported by an evaluation in accordance with section 32AA of the RMA, which is also provided in Appendix 4.
- 47. This report should be read in conjunction with the submissions and the summary of those submissions as published on the Council's website<sup>2</sup>. Appendix 6 includes a table setting out all submission points relevant to this hearing topic. In that table I have identified whether I recommend accepting/accepting in part or rejecting/rejecting in part the relief sought by submitters, or make no recommendation. My reasons for these recommendations are explained in the body of this report.

### 3.2 Issue 1: Amending General Conditions 5.4.4

### 3.2.1 Analysis

PC1 seeks to amend the beds of lakes and rivers general condition 5.4.4(n) to also protect birds identified in Schedule F2a and F2b that don't have a critical period for nesting, roosting, and foraging. The only change proposed in PC1 to the general conditions was the wording of this condition (n).

### Appropriateness of General Condition (n)

- 48. Submitters are split on the amendments to 5.4.4(n) that broaden the scope of general condition (n) to all nesting, roosting and foraging birds listed in Schedule F2a and F2b, protecting birds without a critical period. This amendment is supported by 3 submitters and opposed by 4 submitters. Submitters opposing the amendment seek clarity regarding the operation of condition (n), and requirements for plan users under this condition. They raise concerns with the potential for the amendment to impact the ability to undertake works.
- 49. I agree with submitters that this amendment will limit permitted activity status in situations where the named birds are identified at the work site. I consider this is appropriate to ensure an adequate assessment can be done by an ecologist to determine the effect of the activity on these birds and consider the necessary protection and mitigation measures. That some birds do not have critical periods was an oversight in the drafting of the original wording of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Clause 49(4)(c) of Schedule 1, Part 4 of the RMA for the Freshwater Hearings Panel and Clause 10(3) of Schedule 1, Part 1 of the RMA for the P1S1 Hearings Panel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>Greater Wellington — Proposed Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan Submissions (gw.govt.nz)</u>

this condition. The proposed PC1 provision seeks to clarify the existing safeguards for significant habitats for indigenous birds. These provisions only apply to a limited number of scheduled river and lake areas. These safeguards give effect to Objective O28, Policy P30, and Policy P42 of the NRP. I also note that many of the structures and disturbances that are likely to contravene this condition are activities that will require resource consent for other matters under the current rule framework.

- 50. With respect to specific concerns raised by submitters around the potential of the amendments to restrict emergency works, section 330 of the RMA provides for emergency works regardless of the wording of this general condition. As such, emergency works will still be able to be undertaken in accordance with the emergency works provisions of the RMA.
- 51. I consider that the condition could be improved by amending the wording to clarify that birds may be identified as nesting, roosting, 'or' foraging rather than the status quo 'and' which implies the birds would be undertaking all three of those behaviours at the same time in any location. I recommend that 'and' is replaced with 'or' in condition general condition 5.4.4(n).
- 52. PF Olsen Ltd [S18.013] considers that there are sizeable financial implications of the provision and seeks that forestry activities are excluded from general condition (n). I disagree that forestry activities should be excluded, as the potential effects of these activities on indigenous birds is high. As noted above, the condition only applies to a limited number of scheduled river and lake areas. No changes are recommended as a result of this submission point.

### General Submissions on the General Conditions

- 53. Water New Zealand [S246.024] seeks that the general conditions are re-written to allow ponding above an intake to encourage a 'making room for the rivers approach'. I consider that the relief and wording sought by the submitter are unclear. I also consider this approach is likely not appropriate to be achieved through the general conditions. No changes are recommended as a result of this submission point.
- 54. I note the submission point from Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [S188.010] states that restoring silted streams should be a priority and restoration/monitoring should show this restoration over time but no relief was sought in the submission. No changes are recommended as a result of this submission point.

### <u>Schedule C</u>

55. With respect to the requests from Rangitāne o Wairarapa [S85.003] for protection for Schedule C sites and consultation with mana whenua, I consider that the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter provides adequate protection, with many activities required to be located outside of Schedule C sites to achieve permitted activity status. For restricted discretionary activities, the effects on Schedule C sites is listed as a matter of discretion. In addition, consultation with mana whenua for Schedule C sites is required by Policy P19 and Policy P48 of the NRP. In particular, Policy P48 requires any more than minor adverse effects of activities on the significant mana whenua values of a Schedule C site to be evaluated through a cultural impact assessment undertaken by the relevant mana whenua. No changes are recommended as a result of this submission point.

### 3.2.2 Recommendations

56. I recommend that the Beds of Lakes and Rivers general condition 5.4.4(n) is amended as shown in Appendix 4.

57. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation, as detailed in Appendix 6.

# 3.3 Issue 2: Amendments to Rule R128 New Structures (permitted) and associated changes to Rule R145 All other uses of the beds of lakes and rivers (discretionary)

### 3.3.1 Analysis

PC1 proposed amendments to *R128: New Structures* to limit the extent of the listed permitted structures and remove references to erosion protection structures from the permitted activity rule, instead being managed through a consent under Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds.

### Permitted Structures

- 58. Submitters raise concerns that some new structures may not have permitted activity status under the amendments to the rule. They have stated in submissions the structures that they seek should remain as permitted. Other submitters seek that some structures that remain permitted are excluded from this rule. I respond individually to each of the structures raised in individual and across several submissions below.
- 59. Rule R128 intends to provide a permitted activity pathway for structures that will have few adverse effects on natural character, form, and function consistent with Objective O14 "*The natural character of the coastal marine area, natural wetlands, and rivers, lakes and their margins is preserved and protected from inappropriate use and development.*".
- 60. The majority of inlet and outfall structures are provided for as an ancillary culvert structure under *Rule R126: Culverts and ancillary culvert structures* and have permitted status through this rule if the conditions are met. Other inlet and outfall structures that are not covered by Rule R126 would be a discretionary activity. Rule R126 anticipates the placement of these structures that have known effect appropriate to be considered as permitted activities. Other structures may have unknown adverse effects on Beds of Lakes and Rivers that need to be considered through a resource consent process and therefore discretionary activity status is appropriate. I do not recommend changes in response to these submission points.
- 61. Sediment retention weirs are provided for in *Rule R128* and weirs are provided for in *Rule R125: River crossing structures* and have permitted activity status through this rule. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 62. I consider that fish passage screens and fish passage devices can have adverse effects if not designed appropriately. I therefore consider they should be a discretionary activity to ensure the structure is designed and installed appropriately, limiting any adverse effects. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 63. I consider that navigational aid structures should be assessed as a discretionary activity to ensure their appropriateness and consider any effects. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 64. I disagree that temporary structures should be provided for as a permitted activity. Temporary structures cover a broad range of structures that could have adverse effects on beds of lakes and rivers which should be managed through a resource consent. If temporary

structures were permitted in Rule R128 additional conditions would be required to manage the effects. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

- 65. Submitters question the changes to Rule R128 that make 'pipelines' rather than 'pipes' a permitted structure. While I agree with the submitters that 'pipes' and 'pipelines' have different definitions, I disagree that both structures should be provided for as a permitted activity. The rule intends to permit smaller pipelines while excluding pipes that require management through a resource consent. In addition, culverts are provided for as permitted activity under Rule R126 and the inclusion of pipes in Rule R128 could cause confusion as to which rule applies. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 66. With respect to submissions seeking that debris arrestor structures do not have permitted activity status, I consider that the effects on natural character and habitat of rivers are limited. The rule framework provides for the removal of accumulated debris to manage any effects of this process in the *Beds of Lakes and Rivers general conditions* and Rule R122: Maintenance, repair, replacement, upgrade or use of existing structures (excluding the Barrage Gates). Debris arrestor structures ensure downstream structures and habitat are protected from damage. I consider that maintaining permitted activity status with the associated conditions constitutes the most effective and efficient option. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 67. I consider that the permitted activity pathway currently in place is appropriate for structures associated with vegetative edge protection. I consider that the effect of these structures on natural form and character is low relative to hard engineering erosion protection structure. Structures typically used to fix vegetative elements in place are typically minor and are usually placed on the bank rather than in the river. Structures associated with vegetative bank edge protection also enhance habitat diversity by shading, woody inputs to the stream, and niche instream habitat provided by tree roots. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 68. Transpower New Zealand Ltd [S177.017] considers that reference to 'cable' in Rule R128 is not sufficient to provide for national grid transmission lines as a permitted activity. I consider that the structures necessary for the operation of the National Grid within the Beds of Lakes and Rivers are provided for by the inclusion of "cable". National grid transmission lines that are not located within the Beds of Lakes and Rivers would not require a consent under Rule R128.
- 69. In response to Wairarapa Federated Farmers submission point to retain reference to structures permitted by Rules R125, R126, and R127 within Rule R128 I consider that this is not required as the rule structure has been amended to remove the word "including". As such, structures permitted by those rules no longer need to be excluded from Rule R128. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.
- 70. Generally, I consider that Rule R128 New Structures provides for an appropriate permitted pathway for key structures that have a functional or operational need to locate in the beds of lakes and river, while also ensuring that river and lake values are protected or maintained.

### Target Attribute States

- 71. I consider that submission points requesting the introduction of Target Attribute States for habitat, natural form and character in the NRP should be moved to Hearing Stream 2 which covers the relevant objectives.
- 72. In response to the submissions that sought to introduce a new condition of rule R128 in the general conditions that requires compliance with Target Attribute States (TAS), I do not

#### Plan Change 1 to the Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region Hearing Steam: Hearing Stream 1 Officer's Report: Beds of Lakes and Rivers

consider this appropriate. Target Attribute States are measures of freshwater ecosystem health, to be achieved over time through the cumulative management of activities which may affect water quality. This is not intended to be achieved by requiring immediate compliance with the TAS through a rule. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### <u>Schedule C</u>

73. With respect to the requests for protections for Schedule C sites and consultation with mana whenua I consider that the existing provisions of the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter provide adequate protection. Rule R128(g) states that permitted activity status is contingent on the activity not occurring within a site identified in Schedule C, excluding adding pipelines or cables to an existing structure or providing for fish refuge. In addition, consultation with mana whenua for Schedule C sites is required by Policy P19 and Policy P48 of the NRP. In particular, Policy P48 requires any more than minor adverse effects of activities on the significant mana whenua values of a Schedule C site to be evaluated through a cultural impact assessment undertaken by the relevant mana whenua. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### National Environmental Standard

74. China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd [S288.032] seek that the current reference to the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017 National Environmental standard be amended to reference the latest legislation. I note that any reference to the NES-PF in the NRP or PC1 is already required to be read as a reference to the updated the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Commercial Forestry) Amendment Regulations 2023 (NES-CF, Schedule 1, Part 2, Clause 1). I have also assessed the Beds of Lakes and Rivers rules and consider that they remain appropriate given that the changes to the National Environmental Standards do not pertain to activities managed in this chapter. Consequently, to avoid confusion for plan users I do not recommend that the reference to the NES is updated in this provision, unless all references in the NRP are also updated, following analysis for any potential implications of doing so. If there are no potential implications, this is something the Council can do as a clause 16 change as this legislation is referenced in other chapters of the plan including in the definition section.

### Rule R145: All other uses of rivers and lake beds

75. Forest & Bird [S261.038] seek to change the activity status of passive flaps gates from noncomplying to prohibited. Rule R145 includes a note that makes plan users aware that passive flap gates are non-complying activity regulated by the Resource Management (National Environment Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020. I consider that the noncomplying status consistent with the NES-FW provides suitable protections for the Beds of Lakes and rivers. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### 3.3.2 Recommendations

- 76. I recommend that Rule R128 is amended as shown in Appendix 4. I recommend no changes to Rule R145.
- 77. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

# 3.4 Issue 3: Amendments to Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction (permitted)

### 3.4.1 Analysis

78. The proposed amendments in PC1 to Rule R132 remove reference to deposition on the bed of a lake from Rule R132, which clarifies the wording of the rule and makes the interpretation and function of the rule more certain.

### Target Attribute States

- 79. I consider that submission points requesting the introduction of Target Attribute States for habitat, natural form and character into Rule R132 should be moved to Hearing Stream 2 that covers the relevant objectives.
- 80. As stated above, I do not consider it appropriate to introduce a condition that requires compliance with Target Attribute States. Target Attribute States are measures of freshwater ecosystem health, to be achieved over time through the cumulative management of activities which may affect water quality. This is not intended to be achieved by requiring immediate compliance with the TAS through a rule. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### <u>Schedule C</u>

81. With respect to the requests for protections for Schedule C sites and consultation with mana whenua I consider that the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter provides adequate protections. Rule R132(j) states that permitted status is contingent on the activity not occurring within a site identified in Schedule C excluding adding pipelines or cables to an existing structure or providing for fish refuge. In addition, consultation with mana whenua for Schedule C sites is required by Policy P19 and Policy P48 of the NRP. In particular, Policy P48 requires any more than minor adverse effects of activities on the significant mana whenua values of a Schedule C site to be evaluated through a cultural impact assessment undertaken by the relevant mana whenua. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### National Environmental Standard

82. I agree with the submitter that the current reference to the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017 National Environmental standard should be amended to reference the latest legislation. I note that any reference to the NES-PF in the NRP or PC1 is already required to be read as a reference to the updated the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Commercial Forestry) Amendment Regulations 2023 (NES-CF, Schedule 1, Part 2, Clause 1). I have also assessed the Beds of Lakes and Rivers rules and consider that they remain appropriate given that the changes to the National Environmental Standards do not pertain to activities managed in this chapter. Consequently, to avoid confusion for plan users I do not recommend that the reference to the NES is updated in this provision, unless all references in the NRP are also updated, following analysis for any potential implications of doing so. If there are no potential implications, this is something the Council can do as a clause 16 change as this legislation is referenced in other chapters of the plan including in the definition section.

### 3.4.2 Recommendations

83. I do not recommend any changes to Rule R132.

84. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 5.

# 3.5 Issue 4: Amendments to Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood purposes (discretionary)

### 3.5.1 Analysis

85. The amendments to the Rule R133 in PC1 change the wording 'destruction, damage' to 'excavation, deposition' to make the wording of Rule R133 more consistent with the activities controlled by s13 (Restriction on certain uses of beds of lakes and rivers) of the RMA.

### Activity Status

86. I disagree with Wairarapa Federated Farmers [S193.046] and Fulton Hogan Ltd [S43.005] that the activity status of Rule R133 should be changed to controlled or restricted discretionary respectively. The benefits of gravel extraction for flood management are already provided for through this Rule R133 that provides discretionary activity status, relative to other gravel extraction that is a non-complying activity under Rule R93. I therefore do not recommend any changes to this rule.

### Hard Infrastructure

87. I do not consider it appropriate to consider adding hard infrastructure improvements in Rule R133 as requested by Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne [S113.004]. This rule relates to the extraction of gravel. The placement of hard infrastructure which does not meet the permitted activity conditions of Rule R128 is appropriately dealt with as a discretionary activity for the reasons stated in Issue 2. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### <u>Schedule A</u>

88. Submitters seek that reference to Schedules A1-A3 are included in the Rule R133 to give effect to the NPSFM. Gravel extraction for flood protection or erosion mitigation in the Outstanding Schedules A1, A2, and A3 where there is discharge of sediment to water is not subject to this rule and is instead subject to rule R145 and non-complying Rule R93 in relation to the associated discharge of sediment. This provides for the protection of waterbodies in these scheduled areas that is requested by submitters. Adding Schedule A into Rule R133 would make gravel extraction in these areas more permissive. I therefore do not recommend any changes to this rule.

### Schedule C

89. With respect to the requests for protections for Schedule C sites and consultation with mana whenua I consider that the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter provides adequate protections. Rule R133 is a discretionary activity specifically providing oversight through the consent process for gravel extraction in Schedule C sites. In addition, consultation with mana whenua for Schedule C sites is required by Policy P19 and Policy P48 of the NRP. In particular, Policy P48 requires any more than minor adverse effects of activities on the significant mana whenua values of a Schedule C site to be evaluated through a cultural impact assessment undertaken by the relevant mana whenua. I do not recommend changes in response to this submission point.

### 3.5.2 Recommendations

- 90. I do not recommend any changes to Rule R133.
- 91. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

### 3.6 Issue 5: Ongoing diversion of a river (permitted)

### 3.6.1 Analysis

The proposed new rule *R151A*: Ongoing Diversion of Water allows for surface water to continue to be diverted once the permanent diversion has been lawfully established by a resource consent.

New Rule R151A

- 92. I disagree with the concern of submitters that this permitted rule will lead to inappropriate structures with adverse effects. The scope of the rule is limited to existing consented permanent diversions (e.g. via a naturalised, relocated stream channel) and does not relate to diversions associated with existing structures. In addition, only consented diversions that comply with all of the conditions of their resource consent are able to meet the conditions of this rule. I consider that this provides appropriate controls for managing any adverse effects. After the 10-year period set out in the rule, the stream is likely to have naturalised, and any new resource management issues should be managed through the plan. I therefore do not recommend any amendments in response to these submissions.
- 93. Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.019] considers the rule is overly complicated and does not see the reason for differentiating between existing diversions associated with a structure and existing diversions not associated with a structure. Rule R122 which relates to the maintenance, repair, replacement, upgrade or use of existing structures already provides for any associated diversion of water as a permitted activity subject to meeting the conditions. Consequently, it is proposed that Rule R151A only relates to diversions that are not related to structures and the purpose of this rule is to provide a similar permitted pathway as provided for by Rule R122. The current rules require a consent holder to reapply for a water permit to divert, once their existing consent has expired, even though the stream diversion may have become naturalised and part of the existing environment. Given this, I disagree with the submitter on this point. I do however agree with the submitter that the rule would benefit from the inclusion of the specific date the rule becomes operative to provide clarity for plan users going forward. I therefore recommend an amendment to include the operative date in Rule R151A. I note that this date is unknown, so I have inserted a placeholder text in the recommended amendments in Appendix 4.

### 3.6.2 Recommendations

- 94. I recommend that Rule R151A is amended as shown in Appendix 4.
- 95. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, accepted in part, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

### 3.7 Issue 6: National Environment Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities Regulations 2009

### 3.7.1 Analysis

### **NESETA**

96. Transpower New Zealand Limited [S177.016] seek reference to the National Environment Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities Regulations 2009 in a note in the interpretations section to highlight to plan users that these regulations apply for particular activities. I agree with Transpower that the interpretation section of the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter would benefit from having reference to NESETA to assist plan users in understanding the interaction between the plan and NESETA. I recommend it is included as a clause 16 amendment given that these regulations already apply to the Beds of Lakes and Rivers chapter and the statement serves as an information note.

### 3.7.2 Recommendations

- 97. I recommend that the Interpretation section of the Bed of Lakes and Rivers chapter is amended as shown in Appendix 4.
- 98. I recommend that the submissions and further submissions be accepted, accepted in part, rejected, or noted as no recommendation as detailed in Appendix 6.

# 4.0 Conclusions

- 99. A range of submissions have been received in support of, and in opposition to the provisions relating to Bed of Lakes and Rivers of PC1.
- 100.After considering all the submissions and reviewing all relevant statutory documents, I recommend that PC1 is amended as set out in Appendix 4 of this report.
- 101.I consider that the amended provisions will be the most appropriate in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of PC1 and other relevant statutory documents, for the reasons set out in the Section 32AA evaluations undertaken.

### **Recommendations:**

I recommend that:

- 1. PC1 is amended in accordance with the changes recommended in Appendix 4 of this report; and
- 2. The Hearing Panels accept/accept in part, or reject/reject in part submissions (and associated further submissions) as outlined in Appendix 6 of this report.

# Appendix 1: Table of Provisions within Beds of Lakes and Rivers topic and supporting information

Provision	Page number in PC1 Document	Process (FPP or P1S1)	Number of submission points
5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions	44	P1S1	36
Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity	46	FPP	28
Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity	48	FPP	10
Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity	49	FPP	20
Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity	50	P1S1	6
Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity	50	FPP	25

# Appendix 2: Description of matters raised by Submitters (by issue)

## **Issue 1: Amending General Conditions 5.4.4**

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Appropriateness of condition (n)	Zealandia Te Mära a Täne [supported by Forest & Bird], Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [supported by Manor Park Golf Club, Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated, and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry], and Forest and Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] support the amendments. PF Olsen Ltd are concerned with the financial implications for forestry activities and seek that they are excluded from the general condition (n). Wellington Water Ltd [opposed by Forest & Bird] and Civil Contractors New Zealand [supported by Goodman Contractors Limited and Multi Civil Contractors Limited] consider the amendments to be unclear and seek clarity that a third-party assessment is not required. PCL Contracting Ltd also support Civil Contractors New Zealand and consider the amendment will impact on the ability to undertake emergency works or result in non-conformance. Upper Hutt City Council [opposed by Forest & Bird] oppose the amendments, considering that they imply that at no point are works able to be undertaken if identified birds are roosting and nesting even outside the critical period. Woodridge Holdings Ltd provided a further submission in response to Upper Hutt City Council considering that the amendment may prevent the maintenance or construction of significant infrastructure even outside the critical period. Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] is neutral.	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne [S113.003], Forest and Bird [FS23.1513] Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [S188.022] Manor Park Golf Club Incorporated [FS21.027] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incoporated [FS27.1111] New Zealand Farm Forestry [FS9.022] Forest & Bird [FS23.1178], Forest and Bird [S261.034] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate [FS27.653] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.361] PF Olsen Ltd [S18.013] Wellington Water Ltd [S151.047] Forest & Bird [FS23.1374] Civil Contractors New Zealand [S285.013] Goodman Contractors Limited [FS35.013] Multi Civil Contractors Limited [FS49.013] PCL Contracting Ltd [FS32.005] Upper Hutt City Council [S225.050], Forest & Bird [FS23.878] Woodridge Holdings Ltd [FS16.061] Yvonne Weeber [S183.157]
General Submissions on the	Water New Zealand queries the general conditions should be re-written to allow ponding above an intake encourage a making	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.157] Water New Zealand [S246.024] Wellington Fish and
General Conditions	room for the rivers approach. Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council supported by Manor Park Golf Club, Forest & Bird, Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] considers restoring silted streams should be a priority and restoration/monitoring should show this restoration over time.	Game Regional Council [S188.010] Manor Park Golf Club [FS21.015] Forest & Bird [FS23.1166] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated [FS27.1099] New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.010]
Schedule C	Rangitāne o Wairarapa [supported by Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust, Forest & Bird, and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] supports the proposed changes but are concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected and seek amendments for consultation.	Rangitāne o Wairarapa [S85.003] Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust [FS2.048] Forest & Bird [FS23.457] Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.003]

## Issue 2: Amendments to Rule R128 New Structures (permitted) and associated changes to Rule R145 R145 All other uses of the beds of lakes and rivers (discretionary)

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
Permitted Structures	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd, Transpower New Zealand Ltd [opposed by Forest & Bird], Winstone Aggregates, Rosco Ice Cream Ltd, and	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd [S2.014]
	Graeme Jain Shellard, Sarah Elizabeth Shellard, Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David Shellard, Graeme Shellard oppose the	Transpower New Zealand Ltd [S177.017], Forest &
	amendments the considering they limit the number of key structures that can be undertaken as a permitted activity without	Bird [FS23.760]
	requiring a resource consent.	Winstone Aggregates [S206.030]
		Rosco Ice Cream Ltd [220.009]
	Wellington Water Ltd [opposed by Forest & Bird] and Civil Contractors Zealand [supported by Goodman Contractors Limited, PCL	Graeme Iain Shellard , Sarah Elizabeth Shellard,
	Contracting Ltd, and Multi Civil Contractors Limited] New Zealand seek that the rule is amended to refer to both 'pipes' and	Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David Shellard,
	'pipelines' as they have different definitions.	Graeme Shellard [S202.006]
		Wellington Water Ltd [S151.048]
	Transpower New Zealand Ltd is also concerned with how National Grid Transmission lines will be managed under the amended	Forest & Bird [FS23.1375]
	rule.	Civil Contractors [S285.014]
		Goodman Contractors Limited [FS35.014]
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers [opposed by Forest & Bird] seek that the exclusion for structures permitted by rules R125, R126,	Multi Civil Contractors Limited [FS49.014]
	and R127 is retained as per the pNRP mediated agreement.	PCL Contracting Ltd [FS32.006]
		Transpower New Zealand Ltd [S177.017]
	Forest and Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate and opposed by New Zealand Farm	Wairarapa Federated Farmers [S193.045]
	Forestry Association] seek that permitted activity status is removed for "debris arrestor structures" and "structures associated	Forest & Bird [FS23.1001]
	with vegetative edge protection" to ensure these activities are managed in a way that maintains and restores physical habitat in	Forest and Bird [S261.035]
	riverbeds and natural form and character.	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.654], New Zealand Farm
	Environmental Defence Society Inc. [supported by Forest & Bird, Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated	Forestry Association [FS9.362]
	and opposed by Wellington Water Ltd and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] request that activities avoid the loss of river	Environmental Defence Society Inc. [S222.013]
		Forest & Bird [FS23.169]
	for council water infrastructure.	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.905] Wellington Water Ltd
	Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] is neutral on R128 and R145.	[FS.39.001]
		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.194]
		Yvonne Weeber [S183.158], [S183.161]
		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
		Incorporated [FS27.158, FS27.161]
Target Attribute States	Forest & Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate and opposed by New Zealand Farm	Forest and Bird [S261.035]
	Forestry Association] seek the inclusion of a condition of the rule (in the general conditions) that requires compliance with Target	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	Attributes States.	Incorporated [FS27.654]
		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.362]
	Environmental Defence Society Inc. request that activities avoid the loss of river extent and values	
Schedule C	Rangitāne o Wairarapa [supported by Forest & Bird, and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] the proposed changes but are concerned sites of	Rangitāne o Wairarapa [S85.004, S85.008]
	significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected and seek amendments for consultation.	Forest & Bird [FS23.458, FS23.462]
		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.004]
National Environmental Standard	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd [opposed by Forest & Bird] seek that the reference to the National Environmental	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd
	Standard is aligned.	[S288.032]
		Forest & Bird [FS23.056]
Rule R145: All other uses of rivers	Forest & Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Waka Kotahi NZ	Forest and Bird [S261.038]
and lake beds	Transport Agency and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek the removal of the word 'note' and that the placement of a	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community
	passive flap gate is made a prohibited activity.	Incorporated [FS27.657] Waka Kotahi NZ Transport
		Agency [FS28.066]
		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.365]

### Issue 3: Amendments to Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction (permitted)

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submiss
Target Attribute States	Forest & Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Wellington Water Ltd	Forest &
	and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek that target states for habitat and natural form and character are included.	Manor Pa
		Incorpor
	Wellington Water considers that the target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational.	Wellingto
		New Zea
	Yvonne Weeber supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated is neutral on Rule R132.	Yvonne V
Schedule C	Rangitāne o Wairarapa supported by [Forest and Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] supports the proposed changes but are concerned	Rangitān
	sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected and seek amendments for consultation.	Forest &
		Ngā Hap
National Environmental Standard	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd seek that the reference to the National Environmental Standard is aligned.	China Fo
		[S288.03

### Issue 4: Amendments to Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes (discretionary)

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submiss
Support	Donald Skerman supports the amendment considering that disturbances to the riverbed should be minimised to reduce sediment, and work should be limited around periods where recreational use is most likely.	Donald S
Activity Status	Fulton Hogan Ltd seek that the activity status is changed to restricted discretionary to recognise the benefits of gravel extraction for flood management.	Fulton H Wairarap Forest &
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers opposed by Forest & Bird consider that effects be managed through conditions as a controlled activity.	
Hard Infrastructure	Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne supported by Forest & Bird requests that the gravel extraction activity considers hard infrastructure improvements required to dechannelise riverbanks.	Zealandi [FS23.15
Schedule A Environmental Defence Society Inc [supported by Forest & Bird and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] and Forest and Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] seek that Schedules A3 are included in the rule to give effect to the NPSFM.		Environn Forest ar and Hayv [FS27.90 New Zea FS9.364]
Schedule C	Rangitāne o Wairarapa [supported by Forest & Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] supports the proposed changes but are concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected and seek amendments for consultation.	Rangitān Forest & Ngā Hap
Neutral	Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated] is neutral.	Yvonne V Manor Pa Incorpor

## ission reference(s)

& Bird [S261.036] Park and Haywards Residents Community orated [FS27.655, F27.159] gton Water Ltd [FS39.033][FS39.056] ealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.363] e Weeber [S183.159] āne o Wairarapa [S85.005] & Bird [FS23.459] apū o Ōtaki [FS37.005]

Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd 032]

ission reference(s) d Skerman [S37.002]

Hogan Ltd [S43.005] rapa Federated Farmers [S193.046] & Bird [FS23.1002]

ndia Te Māra a Tāne [113.004], Forest & Bird 1514]

nmental Defence Society Inc [S222.014] and Bird [S261.037, FS23.170], Manor Park aywards Residents Community Incorporated 906, FS27.656]

ealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.195, 64]

āne o Wairarapa [S85.006-S85.007] & Bird [FS23.460, FS23.461]

apū o Ōtaki [FS37.006]

e Weeber [S183.160]

Park and Haywards Residents Community orated [FS27.160]

Issue 5: Ongoing diversion of a river (permitted	1)
--	----

Sub-issue(s)	Description of matters raised by submitters	Submission reference(s)
New Rule R151A	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd, Fulton Hogan Ltd, Wellington Water Ltd [opposed by Forest and Bird], and Winstone Aggregates support the new rule.	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd [2.015] Fulton Hogan Ltd [S43.006] Wellington Water Ltd [S151.049],[FS39.276]
	Lynn Cadenhead and Neil Deans seek discretion to require fish passage where practical for activities which have existed for 10 years or more.	Winstone Aggregates [S206.031] Lynn Cadenhead [S22.018]
	Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet consider that the new rule should be made a discretionary activity to provide for fish passage for dams including those that have existed for more than 10 years.	Neil Deans [S29.005] Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet [S176.007] Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [supported by Manor Park Golf Club, Forest & Bird, and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Wellington Water Ltd and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association] considers that river diversions should be discretionary or restricted discretionary as permitted activity status risk resulting in inappropriate structures with adverse effect to waterbody characteristics and fish passage.	[S188.023] Forest and Bird [S261.039] Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community [FS27.658], [FS27.162], [FS27.1112], [FS27.1112] Manor Park Golf Club [FS21.028],
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council [supported by Manor Park Golf Club, Forest & Bird, and Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated and opposed by Wellington Water Ltd and New Zealand Farm Forestry Association], Forest and Bird [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community and opposed by New Zealand Farm Forestry], and Rangitāne o Wairarapa [supported by Forest and Bird and Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki] oppose the new rule considering that a diversion should be subject to assessments through consenting to manage effects. Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust oppose Rangitāne o Wairarapa but seek agree that Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it removes the mechanism to review the consent conditions over a long term (i.e. beyond 10 years) and whether the diversion remains appropriate.	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association [FS9.366], [FS9.023] Rangitāne o Wairarapa [S85.001] Forest and Bird [FS23.455, FS23.1376, FS23.1179] Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki [FS37.001] Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust [FS2.046] Woodridge Holdings Ltd [S255.019] Yvonne Weeber [S183.162]
	Woodridge Holdings Ltd consider the rule to be overly complicated and do not see the reason for differentiating between existing diversions associated with a structure and existing diversions not associated with a structure. They also seek that the specific date the rule becomes operative is included to assist plan users.	
	Yvonne Weeber [supported by Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community] is neutral.	

## Issue 6: National Environment Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities Regulations 2009

Sub-issue(s)	ssue(s) Description of matters raised by submitters	
NESTA	Transpower New Zealand Limited opposed by Forest & Bird seek reference to NESETA to highlight to plan users and assist with	Transpow
	plan interpretation.	Forest and

# ssion reference(s)

ower New Zealand Limited [S177.016] and Bird [FS23.759]

# Appendix 3: Assessment of the categorisation of provisions in the Freshwater Planning Instrument component of PC1

Provision in FPI	S32 report justification	S42A author assessment on categorisation of notified provision
Beds of lakes and	As part of Plan Change 1 amendments	I agree with the S32
rivers general	are proposed to some of the existing	justification.
conditions, Rule	beds and lakes of rivers rules. These	
R145	rules have the coastal icon and form	
	part of the Regional Coastal Plan.	
Rules R128, R132,	These rules all relate to freshwater and	I agree with the S32
R133 and R151A	the Council has decided that	justification.
	they should form part of the FPI.	

# **Appendix 4: Recommended Amendments to Provisions**

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>struck through</del>.

Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in red text. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>struck through</del>.

### 5.4 Wetlands and beds of lakes and rivers

### Interpretation

If an activity is covered by more than one rule, then the rule that applies is the rule that is more specific for the relevant activity, area or resource, rather than a more general rule. Where a proposal includes a number of activities which trigger separate specific rules, all of the relevant rules are considered when assessing the proposal. An activity needs to comply with all relevant rules in the Plan, including those in the **whaitua** Chapters 7 - 11.

For the purposes of these rules, 'water' means both fresh water and coastal water.

As noted in Section 2.1 provisions relevant to the coastal marine area are identified by this icon

Many activities relating to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of an electricity transmission line and ancillary structures that existed prior to 14 January 2010 are controlled by the *Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009* (NESETA), separate to this Plan. Where the provisions of this Plan conflict with the requirements of the NESETA, the provisions of the NESETA apply.

The following table is intended as a guide only and does not form part of the Plan. Refer to specified rules for detailed requirements.

### 5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions

### Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions

Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions for uses of the beds of lakes and rivers that apply as specified in Rules R122 to R129:

(a) except where the discharge is expressly allowed by the activity description of a rule in this chapter there shall be no discharge of contaminants (including but not limited to oil, petrol, diesel, paint, solvent, heavy metals or other toxicants) to water or the bed, except where this is the result of the disturbance of sediment and other materials already existing in the water or bed, and

- (b) no cleaning or refuelling of machinery or equipment, or storage of fuel shall take place in, or within 10m of, a river or lake bed, or at any location where fuel can enter any water body, and
- (c) all machinery, equipment and materials used for the activity shall be removed from the river or lake bed every night and on completion of the activity. This includes any excess material from the construction operation, any materials used during construction of any structure but not part of that structure, and any material removed or demolished from any structure, and
- structures are designed, installed and maintained, and activities are carried out in a manner to ensure that fish passage is maintained at all times, except:
  - (i) as required for the operation of backflow devices during heavy rainfall events, or
  - (ii) a temporary restriction of no more than 48 hours is required for construction or maintenance activities,

unless the structure is a culvert or weir, other than a customary weir, installed after 2 September 2020, then

(iii) the placement, use, alteration, extension or reconstruction of the culvert or weir in, on, over or under the bed of any river or connected area must provide for the same passage of fish upstream and downstream as would exist without the structure, except as required to carry out the construction works.

The design, installation, maintenance and use of all structures shall avoid any aggradation or scouring of the bed of the river or lake that may inhibit fish passage, and

- (e) in any part of the river bed identified as inanga spawning habitat in Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes), no bed disturbance, diversions of water or sediment discharge shall occur between 1 January and 31 May, except that material accumulated at the outlet of a **stormwater** discharge pipe may be removed between 1 January and 1 March, so long as there is no associated trimming or removal or vegetation (including weeds) on the bed or banks, and
- (f) in any part of the river or lake bed covered by water, which is identified as trout spawning waters in Schedule I (trout habitat), disturbance of the bed or diversions of water shall not take place during the spawning period of between 31 May and 31 August, and

- (g) all reasonable steps shall be taken to **minimise** the generation and release of sediment from the activity, and the discharge of any sediment to water from any activity in, on, over or under the bed of a river or lake must not, after reasonable mixing, result in any conspicuous change in the colour of water in the receiving water or change in horizontal visibility of greater than 30%, and
- (h) car bodies or demolition rubble shall not be used for any purpose on the bed of any river or lake, and
- (i) all reasonable steps shall be taken to **minimise** the duration of the diversion of water, and any diversion of water required to undertake the activity shall:
  - (i) only be temporary and for a period no longer than that required to complete the activity, and
  - (ii) must not involve a lake, and
  - (iii) any diversion channel required must have sufficient capacity to carry the same flow as the original channel, so as not to cause flooding or erosion of any neighbouring property, and
- (j) the activity shall not result in erosion or scour of the river banks or shall not result in flooding of any neighbouring **property**, and
- (k) any structure, other than a **stormwater** intake structure or debris arrestor, shall be designed so that it does not reduce the ability of the river to convey flood flows. All structures shall be maintained to manage **flood debris** accumulated against the structure and the conveyance of flood flows, and
- (I) any structure shall not alter the natural course of the river, including any diversion of water from the natural course during floods. Tree planting or vegetative bank edge protection works that are limited to the banks of the river and do not extend into the active channel are not considered to alter the course of the river for the purpose of this condition, and
- (m) the river or lake bed shall not be disturbed to a depth or an extent greater than that required to undertake the activity, and
- (n) in any part of a river or lake bed identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers) or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes), no structure shall be constructed, and no disturbance shall take place, during the critical period if the named birds identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers) or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes)-if the named birds are identified as nesting, roosting and or foraging at the work site, and
- beds of lakes and rivers general conditions (a) to (m) that apply as specified in Rule R127 to R137 do not cover any activities regulated by Sub-Part 4 – River crossings and Sub-Part 10 – General provisions in the *Resource*

Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017.

Note

Any activity that results in fish passage being impeded may require approval from the Director General of Conservation under the *Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983*.

### 5.4.5

### Damming and diverting water

# **FW** Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity

The diversion of a river as a result of:

- (a) an existing permanent diversion, that is not associated with existing structures, that was lawfully established by way of a resource consent as at the date of this rule becoming operative on (insert date), or
- (b) a permanent diversion, that is not associated with existing structures, that has been lawfully established by way of a resource consent after the operative date of this rule,
- is a permitted activity subject to the following conditions:
- (c) the permanent diversion has been in place for at least 10 years, and
- (d) all of the conditions of the resource consent to lawfully establish the diversion have been complied with.

### <u>Note</u>

Diversion of water in association with existing structures is subject to permitted activity rule R122 (Maintenance, repair, replacement, **upgrade** or use of existing structures (excluding the Barrage Gates) – permitted activity).

## Appendix 5: Recommended Amendments to Provisions and Section 32AA Evaluation

This document sets out only the provisions of the notified version of Proposed Plan Change 1 for which submissions were specifically received.

Provisions as notified are shown in black text. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>struck through</del>. Section 42A recommended amendments are shown in <u>red text</u>. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>struck through</del>. Recommended amendments from other S42A reports are shown in <u>orange text</u>. Additions are <u>underlined</u> and deletions are <del>struck through</del>.

The section 32AA assessment follows alongside for each of the provisions where amendments have been recommended by the officer.

Amendment no./Submission no.	Chapter	Provision	Text of provision with any recommended amendments	Evaluation of amendment (section 32AA assessment)
S177.016	5.4	Interpretation	<ul> <li><b>5.4 Wetlands and beds of lakes and</b></li> <li><b>rivers</b></li> <li><i>Interpretation</i></li> <li>If an activity is covered by more than one rule, then the rule that applies is the rule that is more specific for the relevant activity, area or resource, rather than a more general rule. Where a proposal includes a number of activities which trigger separate specific rules, all of the relevant rules are considered when</li> </ul>	Effectiveness and efficiency The recommended amendments to the interpretation section of the chapter provide clarity to plan users, increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the plan. Costs and Benefits There are no additional costs associated with this change.

	<ul> <li>assessing the proposal. An activity needs to comply with all relevant rules in the Plan, including those in the whaitua Chapters 7 – 11.</li> <li>For the purposes of these rules, 'water' means both fresh water and coastal water.</li> <li>As noted in Section 2.1 provisions relevant to the coastal marine area are identified by this icon an electricity transmission line and ancillary structures that existed prior to 14 January 2010 are controlled by the <i>Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009</i> (NESETA), separate to this Plan. Where the provisions of this Plan conflict with the requirements of the NESETA, the provisions of the NESETA apply.</li> <li>The following table is intended as a guide only and does not form part of the Plan. Refer to specified rules for detailed requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk of acting or not acting There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits to people and communities to justify the amendment to the policy. </li> <li>Recommendation about most appropriate option The recommended amendments to the interpretation section are the most appropriate option. </li> </ul>
--	---	--

2

S261.034	5.4	Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes	and rivers general conditions	Effectiveness and efficiency
		and rivers		-	The recommended amendments
		general		lakes and rivers general conditions for	to the Beds of Lakes and Rivers
		conditions		the beds of lakes and rivers that apply	are effective in giving effect to
			as spec	ified in Rules R122 to R129:	Objective O28, Policy P30, and
					Policy P42 of the NRP. The
			(a)	except where the discharge is	amendments strengthen the
				expressly allowed by the activity	existing safeguards for
				description of a rule in this chapter	significant habitats for
				there shall be no discharge of	indigenous birds. The
				contaminants (including but not	amendments require an
				limited to oil, petrol, diesel, paint,	assessment by an ecologist to
				solvent, heavy metals or other	determine the effect of the
				toxicants) to water or the bed,	activity on the scheduled birds,
				except where this is the result of the	improving the effectiveness of
				disturbance of sediment and other	the condition. I consider that this
				materials already existing in the	is the most efficient way to
				water or bed, and	provide the adequate
			(1.)		protections required.
			(b)	no cleaning or refuelling of	
				machinery or equipment, or storage	Costs and Benefits
				of fuel shall take place in, or within	The environmental benefits are
				10m of, a river or lake bed, or at any	high as the condition ensures the
				location where fuel can enter any	effect on the Scheduled bird
				water body, and	habitat can be determined and
			(c)	all machinery, equipment and	the necessary protection and
				materials used for the activity shall	mitigation measures can be
				be removed from the river or lake	implemented. There are costs
				bed every night and on completion	associated with resource
				of the activity. This includes any	consent required under this
				of the activity. This includes dry	

reconstruction of the
culvert or weir in, on, over
or under the bed of any
river or connected area
must provide for the same
passage of fish upstream
and downstream as would
exist without the structure,
except as required to carry
out the construction works.
The design, installation,
maintenance and use of all
structures shall avoid any
aggradation or scouring of the bed of
the river or lake that may inhibit fish
passage, and
(e) in any part of the river bed identified
as inanga spawning habitat in
Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes), no bed
disturbance, diversions of water or
sediment discharge shall occur
_
between 1 January and 31 May,
except that material accumulated at
the outlet of a <b>stormwater</b> discharge
pipe may be removed between 1
January and 1 March, so long as
there is no associated trimming or

removal or vegetation (including weeds) on the bed or banks, and
<ul> <li>(f) in any part of the river or lake bed covered by water, which is identified as trout spawning waters in Schedule I (trout habitat), disturbance of the bed or diversions of water shall not take place during the spawning period of between 31 May and 31 August, and</li> </ul>
(g) all reasonable steps shall be taken to minimise the generation and release of sediment from the activity, and the discharge of any sediment to water from any activity in, on, over or under the bed of a river or lake must not, after reasonable mixing, result in any conspicuous change in the colour of water in the receiving water or change in horizontal visibility of greater than 30%, and
<ul> <li>(h) car bodies or demolition rubble shall</li> <li>not be used for any purpose on the</li> <li>bed of any river or lake, and</li> </ul>
(i) all reasonable steps shall be taken to <b>minimise</b> the duration of the diversion of water, and any diversion

of water required to undertake the
of water required to undertake the
activity shall:
<ul> <li>(i) only be temporary and for a period no longer than that required to complete the activity, and</li> </ul>
(ii) must not involve a lake, and
(iii) any diversion channel required must have sufficient capacity to carry the same flow as the original channel, so as not to cause flooding or erosion of any neighbouring <b>property</b> , and
<ul> <li>(j) the activity shall not result in erosion or scour of the river banks or shall not result in flooding of any neighbouring <b>property</b>, and</li> </ul>
(k) any structure, other than a stormwater intake structure or debris arrestor, shall be designed so that it does not reduce the ability of the river to convey flood flows. All structures shall be maintained to manage flood debris accumulated

against the structure and the conveyance of flood flows, and
(I) any structure shall not alter the natural course of the river, including any diversion of water from the natural course during floods. Tree planting or vegetative bank edge protection works that are limited to the banks of the river and do not extend into the active channel are not considered to alter the course of the river for the purpose of this condition, and
(m) the river or lake bed shall not be disturbed to a depth or an extent greater than that required to undertake the activity, and
<ul> <li>(n) in any part of a river or lake bed identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers) or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes), no structure shall be constructed, and no disturbance shall take place, during the critical period if the named birds identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers) or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes)-if the named birds are</li> </ul>

			fora (o) bed con spec cov Part 10 <i>Res</i> <i>Env</i>	ntified as nesting, roosting and <u>or</u> aging at the work site, and ds of lakes and rivers general aditions (a) to (m) that apply as ecified in Rule R127 to R137 do not ver any activities regulated by Sub- t 4 – River crossings and Sub-Part – General provisions in the source Management (National vironmental Standards for ntation Forestry) Regulations 17.	
S255.019	5.4	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity	permitted activity	oing diversion of a river – y on of a river as a result of:	<b>Effectiveness and efficiency</b> The recommended amendments to Rule R151A provide clarity to plan users, increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the plan.
			<u>that</u> stru esta	existing permanent diversion, t is not associated with existing actures, that was lawfully ablished by way of a resource	<b>Costs and Benefits</b> There are no additional costs associated with this change.
				nsent as at the date of this rule coming operative on (insert date),	<b>Risk of acting or not acting</b> There is sufficient information on the costs to the environment, and benefits to people and

(b)a permanent diversion, that is not associated with existing structures, that has been lawfully established by way of a resource consent after the operative date of this rule,is a permitted activity subject to the following conditions:(c)the permanent diversion has been in place for at least 10 years, and(d)all of the conditions of the resource consent to lawfully establish the diversion have been complied with.	communities to justify the amendment to the policy. <b>Recommendation about most</b> <b>appropriate option</b> The recommended amendments to Rule R151A are the most appropriate option.
Note Diversion of water in association with existing structures is subject to permitted activity rule R122 (Maintenance, repair, replacement, <b>upgrade</b> or use of existing structures (excluding the Barrage Gates) – permitted activity).	

# Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS
Onginal Submitter	Folint (SF)	(F3)	number	Flair Section	5.4.4 Uses of beds of	POSICION	POSICION	Supports the protection of beds of lakes and		
Zealandia Te Māra a				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			rivers, with specific considerations for the		
Tāne (S113)	S113.003			and rivers	general conditions.	Support		protection of inanga.	Not stated	
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sta
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1513	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird
		bird	1 323.1313		general conditions.		Support	Seeks reference to NESETA to highlight to	Insert the following to the Interpretation	spe
								plan users and assist with plan	section of the chapter:	
								interpretation. Considers it relevant given the		
								potential difference in standards and activity status.	Many activities relating to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or	
								status.	removal of an electricity transmission line	
									and ancillary structures that existed prior	
									to 14 January 2010 are controlled by the	
									Resource Management (National	
									Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009	
									(NESETA), separate to this Plan. Where the	
Transpower New					5.4.4 Uses of beds of				provisions of this Plan conflict with the	
Zealand Limited				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers				requirements of the NESETA, the	
(S177)	S177.016			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		Submission points would likely result in the	provisions of the NESETA apply.	Opp
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		sub
								degradation of waterways throughout		sou
								Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		stat
Transpower New		Farrant 9			5.4.4 Uses of beds of			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		con
Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.759	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Bird spe
()					8			Concerned with financial implications of (n)		
								for forestry activities, noting that the s32		
								report states that economic impact will be		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers			low to moderate. States that the NES-CF has not been considered, namely with	Amend to exclude forestry activities of	
PF Olsen Ltd (S18)	S18.013			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		management plan requirements.	compliance with the general condition (n).	
Wellington Fish and					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			Not stated		
Game Regional				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers					
Council (S188)	S188.022	Now		and rivers	general conditions.	Support		Net stated	Not stated	
		New Zealand						Not stated		
		Farm								
Wellington Fish and		Forestry			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					
Game Regional		Associatio	F00 000	5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers		0		Diseller	
Council (S188)		n (NZFFA) Manor	FS9.022	and rivers	general conditions.		Oppose	In keeping with the sanctuary environment	Disallow	Not
		Park Golf						status that the MPGC has established and is		
		Club						looking to maintain.		
Wellington Fish and		(Incorporat			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					
Game Regional Council (S188)		ed) (MPGC)	FS21.027	5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers		Sunnart		Allow	Not
		(MPGC)	r321.02/	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain,		Not Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
Wellington Fish and		Easter 9			5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1178	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	general conditions.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Birc
		Manor		3.12.1.7010	general contaitions.			Our natural environment should be		1
Wellington Fish and		Park and			5.4.4 Uses of beds of			protected or improved where it is degraded		
Game Regional		Haywards		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			or risks being degraded, especially our		
Council (S188)		Residents	FS27.1111	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Accent
upport the whole of the lomission and all relief ught be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept
	Accept
opose the whole of the	Accept
Ibmission and all relief nught unless otherwise ated or where points are onsistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject
	Reject
	Accept
ot stated	Reject
ot stated upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief ought be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept
ot stated	Accept

	Original	Further						Reasons			
	Submission	Submitter	FS	Diamanting	Providence	SP	FS		Desision Democrated	FO de sisteme en eles	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS) Communit	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
		v						surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
		y Incorporat						retention of provisions (and plan			
		e						amendments) that will help achieve this			
		("MPHRCI"						outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
		)						not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to			
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
								Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a			
								resource recovery park is well advanced,			
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should			
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being			
								considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the			
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
								site, is appropriate. Questions whether this should be re-written			
								to allow ponding above an intake and			
								encourage a making room for rivers			
								approach. Notes such an approach is only			
								encouraged where appropriate and doesn't			
								increase flood inundation risk to people,			
								property or infrastructure. Considers making			
								room for water allows land to flood safely,			
								while providing a range of benefits such as			
								aquatic and riparian habitat, wetland			
								restoration, carbon sequestration and increased groundwater recharge. It also			
								offers to restore mana whenua connections			
								with their local water. Where streams,			
								wetland and floodplain remain in natural			
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			state, they should be prioritised for	Amend to allow ponding above an intake		
Water New Zealand				5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			protection and providing natural hazard	and encourage a making room for rivers		
(S246)	S246.024			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		mitigation.	approach.		Reject
								Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes			
								and rivers rules to improve clarity.			
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult		
Rangitāne o	005.000			5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the		Deitert
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.003			and rivers	general conditions.	Amend		be protected.	beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS
								Support in principle. Support partnership		Sup
								with mana whenua.		to b
										rule Co
										sig
		Ātiawa ki								not
		Whakaron								wil
		gotai			5.4.4 Uses of beds of					Am
Rangitāne o		Charitable		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers					req
Wairarapa (S85)		Trust	FS2.048	and rivers	general conditions.		Support		Allow	tan
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and		sub
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sou sta
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bir
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.457	and rivers	general conditions.		Support		Allow	spe
								NHoŌ agrees with the proposed		<u> </u>
								amendments as they improve clarity and		
								provide certainty for the protection of named		
					5.4.4 Uses of beds of			birds identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers)		
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.4 Beds of lakes	lakes and rivers			or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes) at all times,		
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.003	and rivers	general conditions.		Support	rather than only during critical periods.	Allow	Allo
Graeme Iain Shellard , Sarah Elizabeth								Consider this unnecessarily limiting to prevent scouring increasing and there are		
Shellard, Cameron								many situations where planting is not		
Anthony Shellard,								appropriate. Notes gabions and		
Finlay David								concrete blocks are used throughout the		
ShellardGraeme				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.5 Uses of beds of			Hutt and Mangaroa valley since in many	Reinstate the use of erosion protection	
Shellard (S202)	S202.006			and rivers	lakes and rivers.	Oppose		situations they are appropriate.	structures.	
								Considers issues around fish passage are		
								not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for		
								discretion to be available to require fish		
Lynn Cadenhead				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			passage for activities which have existed for	Enable discretion to require fish passage	
(S22)	S22.018			and rivers	diverting water	Amend		10 years or more, where practical.	where practical.	
								Considers issues around fish passage are		
								not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for discretion to be available to require fish		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			passage for activities which have existed for	Enable discretion to require fish passage	
Neil Deans (S29)	S29.005			and rivers	diverting water	Amend		10 years or more, where practical.	where practical.	
								Considers long term consents for permanent		-
								diversion allows for review of the consent		
								and effects, including consultation with		
Rangitāne o				5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			mana whenua and other parties to ensure		
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.001			and rivers	diverting water	Oppose		the diversion remains appropriate.	Delete proposed rule.	
								Support in principle.		Cor
										cor
										div
		Ātiawa ki								the inc
		Whakaron								ma
		gotai								par
Rangitāne o		Charitable		5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and					div
Wairarapa (S85)		Trust	FS2.046	and rivers	diverting water		Oppose		Disallow	app
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		SOL
								are consistent with higher order documents,		sta
		1		5.4 Beds of lakes	5.4.8 Damming and			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inc
					L 5 / 8 Dammind and			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bir
Rangitāne o		Forest &	E000 455		0				Alleria	
•		Forest & Bird	FS23.455	and rivers	diverting water		Support		Allow	spe
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)			FS23.455		0		Support	NHoŌ agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that Proposed Bule 1514 is inappropriate as it	Allow	spe
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it	Allow	spe
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it removes the mechanism to review the	Allow	
•			FS23.455		0		Support	Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it	Allow	Allo

	Officer
FS decision sought	recommendation
Supports proposed changes	
to beds of lakes and rivers	
rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of	
significance to mana whenua	
not identified in Schedule C	
will not be protected.	
Amend to include	
requirement to consult with	
tangata whenua.	Reject
Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	Reject
Allow the submission point.	Reject
· · · ·	
	Reject
	Rojoot
	Reject
	Reject
	Painat
Considers long term	Reject
consents for permanent	
diversion allows for review of	
the consent and effects,	
including consultation with	
mana whenua and other	
parties to ensure the	
diversion remains appropriate.	Accept
Support the whole of the	Accept
submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	<b>.</b>
specific relief.	Reject
Allow the submission point	
and relief sought to delete the	
proposed rule.	Reject

Veteringer volume ka         Boards in a bit and the indication of mathematical indicatin of mathematical indication of mathematical indin difference i	Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
Wollington Water Ltd         Forcest & Brid         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Bods of lakes and more general conditions.         Submission points would liably result in the function of work ways throughout works and the MS- But the Voring general conditions.         Description           Yomma Weaber (315)         5183.157         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Bods of lakes and more general conditions.         Oppose         Not stated         Not stated           Voring Weaber (315)         5183.157         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated         Not stated           Manor Press (and the State)         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated         Not stated           More Press (and the State)         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated         Not stated           More Press (and the State)         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated         Not stated           More Press (and the State)         S.A. Bodo of lakes and force and the Disponse for lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated           More Press (and the State)         S.A. Bodo of lakes and inverse         Not stated         Not stated           More Press (and the Disponse Number And t	_					rivers general	Amend		species habitat and the outcome general condition 5.4.4(n) seeks to achieve but is concerned the proposed amendment would require an ecologist to determine when the named birds are roosting, nesting, or foraging and this work would take significant time which has the potential for adverse effects on the environment while this work is underway. Considers there needs to be more certainty to plan users as to when works can occur, and when they cannot, and less reliance on a third party to confirm if a	Provide more certainty to plan users in general condition (n) so that a third party is not required to assess when named birds are identified as nesting, roosting and foraging, at the work site. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is	
Wome Needer         States of lakes         invers general and runs an	Wellington Water Ltd			FS23.1374	5.4 Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes and rivers general		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Opp sub sou poir Fore poir
Monor         Our naturel animonement should be protected or improved where it's degraded, sepocially our remaining native bus hares and all streams and virus in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding arous, DHREG supports reference of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this and uncerns. 3D Remores Street has on their pactive or will instruction this on their pactive or will instruction this and ecological values, open space values, and caud amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have evalues and used amenity values. All presents and the states and use and active the second and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being records and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being records and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being records and was and a revolution must to urban. MPIHCO does not all values of the planning for the site to be used of a submitter a seture of the value site was a substantial device the use is suble and environmental effects and as such it should be considered purport in walls and existing urban area." This is a disingenuous and arring to tatement of the value site the use is considerable community opticities the recording organities and there is considerable community opticities the records as potentially approprint estile considerable community opticities the recording of 3D Bemmore Street baring communit y bubmitters reasking to facilitate the recording of 3D Bemmore Street is similary, MPIHRCI does not larger with the submitters reasking as Street is similary, MPIHRCI does not larger with the submitters reasking as submittere second as submitter the submiteresetile as the submiter second		S183.157				rivers general	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated	
Yvonne Weeber     ("MPHRCI"     5.4 Beds of lakes     rivers general     way should it be considered as urban or as			Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e			Beds of lakes and			protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
ppose the whole of the bmission and all relief ught except for where wints are consistent with wrest & Bird's submission	
ints and specific relief.	Accept
	No recommendation
ot stated	No recommendation

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Concerned with significant change to activities in (n). Amended wording implies		
								that at no point are works able to be		
								undertaken if identified birds are roosting		
								and nesting even outside the critical period.		
					Beds of lakes and			Notes there are some birds which may nest		
Upper Hutt City				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			year-round, on potentially significant infrastructure such as bridges which require	Retain as operative, do not amend as	
Council (S225)	S225.050			and rivers	conditions.	Oppose		maintenance.	proposed.	
								The amendment to point (n) implies that		
								works could not be undertaken if identified		
								birds are in the area for any purpose. As		
		Woodridge			Beds of lakes and			some birds may nest year round this clause may prevent the maintenance or		
Upper Hutt City		Holdings		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general		Not	construction of significant infrastructure		Reta
Council (S225)		Ltd	FS16.061	and rivers	conditions.		stated	even outside the critical period.	Allow	ame
. ,								Submission points would likely result in the		Opp
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subr
								degradation of waterways throughout		SOUE
					Beds of lakes and			Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		state cons
Upper Hutt City		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird
Council (S225)		Bird	FS23.878	and rivers	conditions.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec
					Beds of lakes and			Supports greater clarity.		
E				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.034	New		and rivers	conditions.	Support		Not stated	Retain as proposed.	
		Zealand						Not stated		
		Farm								
		Forestry			Beds of lakes and					
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.361	and rivers	conditions.		Oppose	Our natural anvironment abouild be	Disallow	Not
								Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that		
		Manor						"planning for the site to be used for a		
		Park and						resource recovery park is well advanced,		
		Haywards						with several expert assessments undertaken		
		Residents						that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
		Communit						environmental effects and as such it should		
	1	У	1	1				be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
		Incornerat						Lurbon groo''' This is a disingence and		
		Incorporat e			Beds of lakes and			urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is		
		Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Beds of lakes and rivers general			urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and		

S decision sought Cofficer	ndation
Reject	
etain as operative, do not nend as proposed. Reject	
ppose the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ought unless otherwise ated or where points are	
onsistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief. Accept	
Accept	
at at at a d	
ot stated Reject	

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Ovicinal Cubmittee	Submission	Submitter	FS	Diamagatian	Dravision	SP	FS		Desision Remuseted	
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	espeidered as a potentially appropriate site	Decision Requested	FS d
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Considers urgent works may not be able to	Provide more certainty to plan users in	
								wait for an ecologists assessment and	general condition (n) so that a third party is	
					Beds of lakes and			clause (n) may lead to poor environmental	not required to assess when named birds	
Civil Contractors				5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			outcomes.	are identified as nesting, roosting and	
New Zealand (S285)	S285.013			and rivers	conditions.	Amend			foraging, at the work site.	
(0200)								I also support the rest of the points made in		
								the Civil Contractors New Zealand		
								submission, and ask you to consider the		
								impacts this plan change will have on		
								horizontal construction across the region,		
								which will increase costs across the board,		
								including housing, transport and water		
								construction. Overall, I feel direct		
								consultation with the civil construction		
								industry has been absent in the creation of		
								this plan, and is required to make sure the		
								Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I		
								also feel that the consultation process is		
		Goodman			Beds of lakes and			very difficult to follow, ruling most		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general			contractors out of having a say. Accordingly,		Plan
New Zealand (S285)		s Limited	FS35.013	and rivers	conditions.		Support	I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	ahea
		PCL					Capport	Will impact on the ability to undertake		Cons
		Contractin						emergency works or result in non-		not b
		g Ltd as			Beds of lakes and			conformance		ecolo
Civil Contractors		part of		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					claus
New Zealand (S285)		CCNZ	FS32.005	and rivers	conditions.		Support		Allow	envir
		Multi Civil			Beds of lakes and			Not stated		-
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	rivers general					Requ
New Zealand (S285)		s Ltd	FS49.013	and rivers	conditions.	-	Support		Allow	does
								Notes research indicates that ~2% of New		
								Zealand waterways are naturally soft-		
								bottomed, but due to sediment inputs into		
								waterways, currently ~ 20% of New Zealand		
								rivers and streams now have soft sediment		
								beds, rather than natural hard-bottomed,		
								stony beds they historically displayed		
								(Clapcott et al, 2011). Considers restoring		
Wellington Fish and								silted streams should be a priority and		
Game Regional				General	General comments -			restoration/monitoring should show this		
Council (S188)	S188.010			comments	water bodies	Not Stated		restoration over time.	Not stated	4
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm								
Wellington Fish and		Forestry								
Game Regional		Associatio		General	General comments -					
Council (S188)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.010	comments	water bodies		Oppose		Disallow	Not
		Manor						In keeping with the sanctuary environment		
		Park Golf						status that the MPGC has established and is		1
		Club						looking to maintain.		1
Wellington Fish and		(Incorporat								
Game Regional		ed)		General	General comments -					
Council (S188)	1	(MPGC)	FS21.015	comments	water bodies	1	Support		Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Considers urgent works may not be able to wait for an	
ecologist's assessment and clause (n) may lead to poor	
environmental outcomes.	Reject
Request that plan change does not go ahead	Reject
	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

	Original	Further	50			<b>CD</b>	50	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
onginat Submitter		(13)	number	T tall Section		Tosición	TOSICION	Submission points will help maintain,		Supp
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		subn
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
								are consistent with higher order documents,		state
Wellington Fish and								including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Game Regional		Forest &		General	General comments -			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird'
Council (S188)		Bird	FS23.1166	comments	water bodies		Support		Allow	spec
								Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		у						way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat						'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
Wellington Fish and		е						to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Game Regional		("MPHRCI"		General	General comments -			discharges to water from activities on this		
Council (S188)		)	FS27.1099	comments	water bodies		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not s
								Notes the inclusion of 'pipeline' removes		
								'pipes' from this Rule, as they have different	Amend to refer to both pipes and pipelines	
					Rule R128: New			dictionary definitions. Considers pipes have	Other relief as may be required to address	
Wellington Water Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			lesser effects than pipelines and should be	the issues identified, including relief that is	
(S151)	S151.048			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		specifically mentioned.	alternative, additional or consequential.	
								Submission points would likely result in the		
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		Oppo
								degradation of waterways throughout		subn
								Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		soug
					Rule R128: New			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		point
Wellington Water Ltd		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –	1	1	NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Fores
(S151)		Bird	FS23.1375	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	point

	Officer
FS decision sought	recommendation
Support the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are	
nconsistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation
	Deleast
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought except for where	
points are consistent with	
Forest & Bird's submission	
points and specific relief.	Accept

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons			Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								Considers removal of reference to	Reinstating the words "structure,		
								"structure" from chapeau significantly	including" to the chapeau of the		
								reduces range of structures permitted and it	rule.Alternatively, amend the rule as follows:Rule R128: New		
								is unclear whether National Grid transmission lines traversing rivers or lakes	structures – permitted activity		
								will be permitted under rule. Considers	placement of a <del>new structure,</del>		
								reference to "cable" in rule is not sufficient	including sediment retention weirs,		
								to provide for National Grid, as National Grid	pipeline <del>s </del> (such as a natural		
								cables are generally transmission lines	gas pipeline), ducts, cables,		
								located below ground (not those lines above	<strong>National Grid transmission line,</strong>		
								ground). Seeks either the reference to	hydrological and water quality		
								"new structure" in chapeau is retained, or specific reference to National Grid	monitoring equipment, fences,		
								transmission lines is provided for in rule,	<del>erosion protection structures</del> , debris arrestor structures or a <del>and</del>		
								wherever the term "cable" is mentioned. br	<t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
								/>Alternatively, considers matter would be			
								addressed by reinstating words "structure,	<strong>vegetative bank edge protection</strong>		
								including" to chapeau of rule. Notes	<del>except a structure</del>		
								minor error in chapeau, where "structure	permitted by Rules R125, R126 and R127		
								associated with vegetative bank edge	and passive flap gates, that is fixed		
								protection" should be amended to refer to	in, on, under, or over the bed of any river or		
								structure in singular (rather than plural).	lake, <del>excluding activities regulated by</del>		
									the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation		
									Forestry) Regulations 2017 except general		
									condition 5.4.4(n), including any		
									associated:		
									river or lake bed, and(b) deposition		
									on the river or lake bed, and(c)		
									diversion of water, and (d) discharge of		
									sediment to water, and(e)		
									temporary damming of water,excluding activities		
									regulated by the Resource Management		
									(National Environmental Standards for		
									Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017		
									except when general condition 5.4.4(n)		
									applies,is a permitted activity,		
									provided the following conditions are		
									met:(f) the activity shall comply		
									with the beds of lakes and rivers general		
									conditions specified above in Section 5.4.4, and(g) the activity does not		
									occur within a site identified in Schedule C		
									(mana whenua), excluding adding		
									pipelines, <del>or </del> cables, <strong></strong>		
									or National Grid transmission lines		
									to an existing structure or		
									providing for fish refuge, and(h) the		
									activity does not occur in or on any part of		
									the river bed identified as inanga spawning habitat in Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes),		
									and		
									occupy a bed area any greater than $10m^2$ ,		
									except for where the structure is		
									associated with vegetative bank edge		
									protection, or a pipeline, duct, fence,		
									<del>or </del> cable, <strong>or National</strong>		
									Grid transmission line which is		
									located over or under the bed where no bed		
									occupancy limits apply, and		
									catchment upstream of any sediment		
									retention weir is not greater than 200ha, and(k) the height of any sediment		
									retention weir from the upstream base to		
anspower New					Rule R128: New				the crest of the weir at the time of		
ealand Limited				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				construction shall be no more than 0.5m,		
177)	S177.017			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			and		Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		1
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS de
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		other than a customary weir, in, on over or under the bed of any river or connected area must also comply with the following: (i) the fall height of the weir must be no more than 0.5m, and(ii) the slope of the weir must be no steeper than 1:30, and(iii) the face of the weir must have roughness elements that are mixed grade rocks of 150 to 200mm diameter and irregularly spaced no more than 90mm apart to create a hydraulically diverse flow structure across the weir (including any wetted margins), and(iv) the weir's lateral profile must be V-shaped, sloping up at the banks, and with a low-flow channel in the centre, with the lateral cross-section slope between 5° and 10°, and(m) for all new weirs (except customary weirs), non-passive flap gates, aprons and ramps, placed in rivers or connected areas, the information requirements of Regulations 62, 64, 65, and 68 as relevant for the structure, of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 shall be provided as set out in the regulations.	
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.760	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity. Rule R128: New		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6). Not stated	Freshwater) Regulations 2020.	Oppo subm sough stated consi Bird's speci
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.158			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	structures – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated	
Yvonne Weeber		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures –			Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a		
(S183)		)	FS27.158	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	resource recovery park is well advanced,	Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	
stated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Αυτομί
	No recommendation
Not stated	No recommendation

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Original Submitter				Plan section	Provision				Decision Requested	FS d
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no	Decision Requested	FSd
								way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this		
					Rule R128: New			site, is appropriate. Amend for clarity and certainty as per pNRP mediated agreement	Amend as follows: Retain "except a structure permitted by rules R125, R126 and R127"	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.045			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	structures – permitted activity.	Amend			Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1001	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.			Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppo subn soug state cons Bird'
							Oppose	Considers the removal of the reference to "structure" from the chapeau of the rule significantly reduces the range of structures that are permitted under the rule. States this change removes the ability to construct minor structures within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent and will make the following permitted structures a discretionary activity under R145: - Intake structures, - Outfall 		spec
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)	S2.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Oppose		broad range of structures is inappropriate. States there is no acknowledgment of the efficiency of requiring resource consent for	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is retained as operative	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	
submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and	A
specific relief.	Accept
	Deinet
	Reject

	Original	Further	50			CD.	50	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
								all minor structures that are no longer permitted. 		
								Considers the proposed change will remove		
								the ability to construct minor structures		
								within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent, noting examples of		
								structures that would be come discretionary		
								activities. Notes the existing rule provides for		
								minor structures (less than 10m2) and		
					Dula D100 Name			considers discretionary activity status for		
Winstone Aggregates				5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures –			such structures onerous. Considers insufficient explanation is provided for the	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is	
(S206)	S206.030			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		change in the s32 evaluation.	retained as operative.	
								Notes Rule R128 was originally promulgated		
								to reduce the need to obtain permits for		
								minor structures needed in the bed of a		
					Rule R128: New			watercourse/lake provided the works met the general standards. Opposes the changes		
Rosco Ice Cream Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			as they reduce the scope of activities that		
(S220)	S220.009			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		would be permitted.	Retain the operative rule R128.	
								To give effect to Policies 7 and 9 NPSFM and	Ensure that activities avoid the loss of river	
								Policy 30 NRP.	extent and values and that the habitats of	
									indigenous species are protected.	
Environmental					Rule R128: New				Introduce TASs for habitat, natural form	
Defence Society Inc.				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				and character which activities must	
(S222)	S222.013			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			achieve.	
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand Farm								
Environmental		Forestry			Rule R128: New					
Defence Society Inc.		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –					
(S222)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.194	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup subi
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
Environmental					Rule R128: New			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Defence Society Inc.		Forest &	F000 100	5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –		Cummont	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird
(S222)		Bird	FS23.169	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be	Allow	spe
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
		Manor Park and						which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to		1
		Haywards						this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
	1	Residents						MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
		nesidents					1	-		1
		Communit						Management New Zealand Limited that		
		Communit y						"planning for the site to be used for a		
Environmente'					Dulo D100 Nove			"planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced,		
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		Communit y		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R128: New structures –			"planning for the site to be used for a		

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	Deject
	Reject
	Reject
ot stated	Accept
pport the whole of the	100000
bmission and all relief ught unless otherwise	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject
ot stated	Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons			
Original Submittor	Submission	Submitter	FS	Dianasation	Dravision	SP	FS		Decision Requested	FC decision courth	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
Environmental	<u> </u>				Rule R128: New			site, is appropriate. This is too onerous for Council water			
Defence Society Inc.		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –			infrastructure.			
(S222)		Water Ltd	FS39.001	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								Supports the exclusion of "erosion	Remove permitted status for "debris		
								protection structures", however, notes the	arrestor structures" and "structures		
								provision for "debris arrestor structures" and	associated with vegetative edge		
								"structures associated with vegetative edge protection" up to 10m2 can alter the natural	protection" to ensure these activities are managed in a way that maintains and		
								character and habitat of rivers. Considers	restores physical habitat in riverbeds and		
								this frustrates the ability to achieve	natural form and character.		
								outcomes of NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP			
								Policy 30.	Introduce a condition of the rule (in the		
									general conditions) that requires compliance with Target Attribute States.		
					Rule R128: New				Any further consequential or alternative		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				relief as may be necessary and appropriate		
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.035			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			to address concerns.		Reject
		New						Not stated			
		Zealand Farm									
		Forestry			Rule R128: New						
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -						
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.362	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								Our natural environment should be			
								protected or improved where it is degraded			
								or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
								not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks			
		Manor Bark and						activities on the site have resulted in			
		Park and Haywards						significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated.			
		Residents						There is substantial community objection to			
		Communit						this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
		у						MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
		Incorporat						Management New Zealand Limited that			
		e ("MPHRCI"			Rule R128: New			"planning for the site to be used for a			
		I UMPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -	1					1
Forest & Bird (S261)			FS27.654	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	that demonstrate the use is suitable and	Decision Requested	F5 0
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
								Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes		
					Rule R128: New			'pipes' from this Rule as they have different		
Civil Contractors				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			dictionary definitions. Considers that pipes		
New Zealand (S285)	S285.014			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		should be specifically mentioned.	Refer to both pipes and pipelines.	
								I also support the rest of the points made in		
								the Civil Contractors New Zealand		
								submission, and ask you to consider the		
								impacts this plan change will have on		
								horizontal construction across the region,		
								which will increase costs across the board,		
								including housing, transport and water		
								construction. Overall, I feel direct		
								consultation with the civil construction		
								industry has been absent in the creation of		
								this plan, and is required to make sure the		
								Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I		
								also feel that the consultation process is		
		Goodman			Rule R128: New			very difficult to follow, ruling most		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			contractors out of having a say. Accordingly,		Plan
New Zealand (S285)		s Limited	FS35.014	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	ahea
								Not stated		Note
										'pipe
		PCL								from
		Contractin								differ
		g Ltd as			Rule R128: New					defin
Civil Contractors		part of		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -					pipes
New Zealand (S285)		CCNZ	FS32.006	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	ment
		Multi Civil			Rule R128: New			Not stated		
Civil Contractors		Contractor		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures –					Requ
New Zealand (S285)		s Ltd	FS49.014	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	does
								Considers there is misalignment with the	Amend to: has the same	
								NES-CF.	meaning as given in section 3 of the	
China Forest Group					Rule R128: New				Resource Management (National	
Company New				5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -				Environmental Standards tor Commercial	
Zealand Ltd (S288)	S288.032			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			Forestry Regulations 2023.	
								Submission points would likely result in the		Oppo
								further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subm
								degradation of waterways throughout		soug
							1	Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		state
China Forest Group					Rule R128: New			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		cons
Company New		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	structures -			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird's
Zealand Ltd (S288)		Bird	FS23.056	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec
					Rule R128: New			Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.5 Water	structures -			and rivers rules to improve clarity.	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
	S85.004			allocation rules	permitted activity.			Concerned sites of significance to mana	beds of lakes and rivers.	

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes 'pipes'	
from this Rule as they have different dictionary	
definitions. Considers that pipes should be specifically	
mentioned	Reject
Request that plan change	<b>.</b>
does not go ahead	Reject
	Reject
Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS	Disconstinu	President	SP	FS	Reasons	Desision Democrated	FO de dela constante	Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								be protected.			
								Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sought unless otherwise	
								are consistent with higher order documents,		stated or where points are	
					Rule R128: New			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inconsistent with Forest &	
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	structures -			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird's submission points and	
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.458	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	specific relief.	Reject
								NHoŌ supports the proposed amendments that improve certainty by identifying which			
								activities the rule applies to. This ensures			
								that other structures are not inadvertently			
								captured by the rule and considered			
								permitted activities. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that there is no			
								protection for sites of significance to mana			
								whenua that are not recorded (in a regional			
								plan) are protected from new structures. We		Allow the submission point	
								also agree that there are many reasons why		and relief sought to amend	
								mana whenua may choose not to include certain sites within a plan and our		the rule to include an additional mechanism to	
					Rule R128: New			relationship with significant sites and the		consult with mana whenua	
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.5 Water	structures -			sites themselves should not be limited or		for activities in the beds of	
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.004	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support	adversely impacted as a result.	Allow	lakes and rivers.	Reject
					Rule R132: Minor			Not stated			
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	sand and gravel extraction –						
	S183.159			and rivers	permitted activity.	Neutral			Not stated		No recommendation
								Our natural environment should be			
								protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and			
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will			
								not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial			
								vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
								which should be remedied and mitigated.			
								There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
								Management New Zealand Limited that			
								"planning for the site to be used for a			
								resource recovery park is well advanced,			
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and			
								environmental effects and as such it should			
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
		Manor Park and						arrogant statement to make. There is			
		Park and Haywards						considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
		Residents						considered as a potentially appropriate site			
		Communit						for urban development, let alone being			
		v						considered appropriate for industrial and			
		Incorporat			Rule R132: Minor			waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
Yvonne Weeber		Incorporat e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction –			waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			

	Original	Further						Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS de
Onginal Submitter	Form (SF)	(F3)	Indilibei	Flair Section	PIOVISION	POSICION	POSICION	Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the	Decision Requested	FSU
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.	Amend general conditions in accordance	
								Notes compliance with target attribute states is required to give effect to NPSFM	with relief sought for Rule R128.	
								Policy 7 and 9; the requirement to manage	with felici sought for huite hize.	
								water bodies to achieve all five components	Include target states for habitat and natural	
								of ecosystem health; and NRP Policy 30.	form and character.	
					Rule R132: Minor					
					sand and gravel				Any further consequential or alternative	
				5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -				relief as may be necessary and appropriate	
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.036			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend			to address concerns.	
		New						Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm			Rule R132: Minor					
		Forestry			sand and gravel					
Forest & Bird (S261)		Associatio n (NZFFA)	FS9.363	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not s
10103(@Difd (0201)			100.000		permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be	Disatiow	TNOU 3
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		1
								"planning for the site to be used for a		1
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		1
								with several expert assessments undertaken		1
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
		Manor						waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		1
		Park and						not agree with the relief sought by those		1
		Haywards						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		1
		Residents						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		1
		Communit						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		1
		У						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		1
		Incorporat			Rule R132: Minor			rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		е			sand and gravel			way should it be considered as urban or as		
			1	1	1	1	1	(planned urban) A prohibited activity status	1	1
		("MPHRCI"	FS27.655	5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	<b>D</b> · · ·
	Reject
	_
Not stated	Accept
Not stated	Reject
ווטו זומוכע	NEJEUL

	Original	Further	50			0.0		Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS d
								discharges to water from activities on this		
					Rule R132: Minor			site, is appropriate. This term is broadly used but poorly		+
					sand and gravel			understood, making it unclear what		
		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	extraction -			measures are needed to achieve the desired		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Water Ltd	FS39.033	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	outcome	Disallow	Not
								The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further		
								target attribute staters are unnecessary and		
								unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute		
					Rule R132: Minor			states, or altering timelines will further		
		Wallington		5.4 Beds of lakes	sand and gravel			complicate matters, particularly for		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.056	and rivers	extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose	sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Nots
			10001000		portinition doctivity:		oppood	Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		11011
					Rule R132: Minor			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					sand and gravel			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o	005 005			5.5 Water	extraction -	Amond		whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.005			allocation rules	permitted activity.	Amend		be protected. Submission points will help maintain,	beds of lakes and rivers.	Supr
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Supp subn
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
					Rule R132: Minor			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					sand and gravel			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.459	5.5 Water	extraction – permitted activity.		Support	NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Bird' spec
		Bilu	F323.439	allocation rules	permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Allow	spec
								that the proposed amendment to remove		
								reference to the bed of a lake improves the		
								clarity and interpretation of the rule and		
								ensures that those activities are more		
								appropriately dealt with under Rule R145. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that		
								there is no protection for sites of significance		
								to mana whenua that are not recorded (in a		
								regional plan) from new structures. We also		
								agree that there are many reasons why mana		
								whenua may choose not to include certain		
								sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the sites themselves		
								should not be limited or adversely impacted		
								as a result. We note that gravel extraction		
								from a waterbody and other activities that		
								disturb the bed of a waterbody can have a		
								direct impact on our relationship with the		
								water and sites in, on or near the waterbody by restricting access to the waterbody or		Allov and i
								site, reducing water quality, disrupting		the r
								natural flows and damaging sites or values		addit
					Rule R132: Minor			associated with cultural practices. This		cons
					sand and gravel			negatively impacts our ability to uphold our		for
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū	5007.005	5.5 Water	extraction -		0	inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect and	Allerer	activ
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.005	allocation rules	permitted activity. Rule R133: Gravel		Support	care for te taiao. Considers the Kaiwharawhara estuary may	Allow	and
					extraction for flood			benefit from the installation of planter boxes		
					protection purposes			alongside concrete walls as this would		
					or erosion mitigation			enhance inanga spawning habitat, provide		
					inside sites of			flow variation alongside the stream and have	Amend rule to consider hard infrastructural	
Zealandia Te Māra a	0440.004			5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			overall positive environmental outcomes.	improvements required to dechannelise	
Tāne (S113)	S113.004			and rivers	discretionary activity. Rule R133: Gravel	Amend		Submission points will holp maintain	river banks.	Supr
					extraction for flood			Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Supp subn
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		soug
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		incor
Zealandia Te Māra a		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird'
Tāne (S113)	1	Bird	FS23.1514	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec

decision sought	Officer recommendation
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Accept
	Reject
upport the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ought unless otherwise ated or where points are	
consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and becific relief.	Reject
low the submission point nd relief sought to amend	
e rule to include an	
lditional mechanism to onsult with mana whenua	
r stivities in the beds of lakes	
nd rivers.	Reject
	Reject
upport the whole of the	
ubmission and all relief bught be unless otherwise	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS d
0					Rule R133: Gravel			Not stated	•	
					extraction for flood					
					protection purposes					
					or erosion mitigation					
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	inside sites of significance –					
(S183)	S183.160			and rivers	discretionary activity.	Neutral			Not stated	
(0100)	5105.100				discretionary activity.	Noutrat		Our natural environment should be	Not stated	
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		1
		Residents			Rule R133: Gravel			submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit			extraction for flood			rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		У			protection purposes			way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat			or erosion mitigation			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		е			inside sites of			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183)		)	FS27.160	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
					Rule R133: Gravel			Considers effects can be managed through		
					extraction for flood			conditions on a controlled activity		
					protection purposes				Provide for gravel extraction in Schedule F1	
					or erosion mitigation				rivers as a controlled activity	
Moiroron Fastante				E 4 Dede of Labor	inside sites of				Make any conservation are described	
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.046			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.	Amond			Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	
	3193.046			anunvers	Rule R133: Gravel	Amend		Submission points would likely result in the	necessary to give effect to the relief sought.	Opp
					extraction for flood			further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subr
					protection purposes			degradation of waterways throughout		soug
					or erosion mitigation			Wellington and be inconsistent with higher		state
					inside sites of			order documents, including the NPS-FM, the		cons
Wairarapa Federated		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	significance –			NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		Bird
			1			1	1		1	1 200
Farmers (S193)		Bird	FS23.1002	and rivers	discretionary activity.		Oppose	s6).	Disallow	spec

6 decision sought	Officer recommendation
	No recommendation
at atotad	No rocommondation
ot stated	No recommendation
	Reject
ppose the whole of the	
ubmission and all relief bught unless otherwise	
ated or where points are	
onsistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief.	Accept

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Include Schedules A1 - A3 in Rule R133 so that activities inside a scheduled area require discretionary consent.	
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		New Zealand Farm Forestry Associatio	500 405	5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance –		0	Not stated	Disellow	Net
(S222) Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		n (NZFFA) Forest & Bird	FS9.195 FS23.170	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	discretionary activity. Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Oppose Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Not s Supp subr soug state incol Bird' spec
Environmental Defence Society Inc.		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e		E 4 Pada of Jakas	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of			Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as propriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban law tark from activitios on this in prevent urban in the site, or disabarea to ustar from activitios on this		
Defence Society Inc. (S222)		("MPHRCI" )	FS27.906	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.		Support	discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not

6 decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	A
ot stated upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief ought unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Accept Reject
ot stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS c
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.037			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.			Considers the discretion provided by the rule should be provided to other water bodies, particularly Outstanding Waterbodies, to ensure consistency with NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP Policy 30.	Include Schedule A1, A2, and A3 in R133 as areas where excavation, deposition, or disturbance are discretionary activities. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	
		New Zealand Farm Forestry Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance –			Not stated		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e	FS9.364	and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as propriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is irural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not
Forest & Bird (S261)		("MPHRCI" )	FS27.656	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	significance – discretionary activity.		Support	discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
Donald Skerman (S37)	\$37.002			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Support		Disturbances to the riverbed should be minimised to reduce sediment, and work should be limited around periods where recreational use is most likely. The public should be notified when water is unsuitable for swimming due to these activities	Retain as notified	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Deinet
	Reject
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Reject
	Accept

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position		Decision Requested	FS c
onginacousinittei		(10)	Indiniber	T tull Scotion	Rule R133: Gravel	1 USILION	residen	Seeks to change the activity status from		
					extraction for flood			discretionary to restricted discretionary to		
					protection purposes			recognise the benefits of gravel extraction for		
					or erosion mitigation			flood management.		
Follow Here and the					inside sites of					
Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)	S43.005			5.4 Beds of lakes	significance – discretionary activity.	Amend			Change the activity status to restricted discretionary	
(343)	343.005			and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel	Amenu		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		
					extraction for flood			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					protection purposes			Concerned sites of significance to mana		
					or erosion mitigation			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not		
					inside sites of			be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.5 Water	significance –				with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.006			allocation rules	discretionary activity.	Amend		Outration in a sinte will be be assisted in	beds of lakes and rivers.	
					Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood			Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		Sup
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		state
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.460	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec
								NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa		
								that the proposed amendments improve		1
								clarity and remove incorrect cross-		
								references to Schedules in the Coastal and Marine Area (CMA). We agree that there is no		
								protection for sites of significance to mana		
								whenua that are not recorded (in a regional		
								plan) from excavation, deposition, or		
								disturbance associated with gravel		
								extraction for flood protection purposes or		
								erosion mitigation. We support Rangitāne o		
								Wairarapa whakaaro that there are many		
								reasons why mana whenua may choose not		
								to include certain sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the		
								sites themselves should not be limited or		
								adversely impacted as a result. We note that		
								gravel extraction from a waterbody and other		
								activities at certain times or from particular		
								locations that disturb the bed of a waterbody		
								can have a direct impact on our relationship		
								with the water and sites in, on or near the		Allo
					Rule R133: Gravel			waterbody by restricting access to the		and
					extraction for flood protection purposes			waterbody or site, reducing water quality, disrupting natural flows and damaging sites		the r addi
					or erosion mitigation			or values associated with cultural practices.		cons
					inside sites of			This negatively impacts our ability to uphold		for
Rangitāne o		Ngā Hapū		5.5 Water	significance -			our inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect		activ
Wairarapa (S85)		o Ōtaki	FS37.006	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support	and care for te taiao.	Allow	and
					Rule R133: Gravel			Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		
					extraction for flood			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					protection purposes			Concerned that sites of significance to mana		
					or erosion mitigation			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not		
Pongitāno o				E E Wotor	inside sites of			be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.007			5.5 Water allocation rules	significance – discretionary activity.	Amend			with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.	
Wallarapa (565)	000.007			allocation fulles	Rule R133: Gravel	Ameria		Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
					extraction for flood			protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
					protection purposes			and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					or erosion mitigation			are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
					inside sites of			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.5 Water	significance –			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.461	allocation rules	discretionary activity.		Support		Allow	spec
Yvonne Weeber	S183.161			5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R145: All other			Not stated		
(S183)				and rivers	uses of river and lake	Neutral			Not stated	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Reject
	Reject
Ipport the whole of the Ibmission and all relief	
ught unless otherwise ated or where points are	
consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
ecific relief.	Reject
low the submission point Id relief sought to amend	
e rule to include an Iditional mechanism to	
onsult with mana whenua r	
tivities in the beds of lakes d rivers.	Reject
ipport the whole of the	Reject
bmission and all relief	
ated or where points are consistent with Forest &	
rd's submission points and pecific relief.	Reject
	No recommendation

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS de
					beds – discretionary activity.					
					dotivity.			Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
								not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
		Manar						not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor Park and						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		y						way should it be considered as urban or as		
		Incorporat			Rule R145: All other			'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		e			uses of river and lake			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183)		)	FS27.161	and rivers	activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not s
								Considers prohibited activity status provides	Remove the word "note".	
								most certainty to achieve the policy direction		
								of the NPSFM and RMA, including protection	Make placement of passive gates	
								of fish passage.	prohibited (i.e., strengthen rule beyond	
					Rule R145: All other				NES minimum).	
					uses of river and lake				Any further consequential or alternative	
				5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary				relief as may be necessary and appropriate	
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.038			and rivers	activity.	Amend			to address concerns.	
	0201.000	New			douvrey.	Amond		Not stated		
		Zealand								
		Farm			Rule R145: All other					
		Forestry			uses of river and lake					
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary					
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.365	and rivers	activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not s
		Manor			Rule R145: All other			Our natural environment should be		
		Park and			uses of river and lake			protected or improved where it is degraded		
		Haywards		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			or risks being degraded, especially our		
Forest & Bird (S261)		Residents	FS27.657	and rivers	activity.	1	Support	remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not s

FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	No voce monore detion
Not stated	No recommendation
	Reject
Not stated	Accept
Not stated	Reject

	Original Submission	Further	FS			SP	FS	Reasons		
Original Submitter	Submission Point (SP)	Submitter (FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS d
onginatoubinition	r oline (or y	Communit	Indiniber				1 controll	and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		1.00
		у						surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
		Incorporat						retention of provisions (and plan		
		е						amendments) that will help achieve this		
		("MPHRCI"						outcome, and opposes provisions that will		
		)						not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken		
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
								way should it be considered as urban or as		
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
								discharges to water from activities on this		
								site, is appropriate.		
		Waka			Rule R145: All other			Oppose due to lack of s32 assessment and a		
		Kotahi NZ			uses of river and lake			discretionary activity status is appropriate.		
		Transport		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary					
Forest & Bird (S261)		Agency	FS28.066	and rivers	activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not
								Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes		
					Rule R145: All other			and rivers rules to improve clarity.		
					uses of river and lake			Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult	
Rangitāne o				5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			whenua not identified in Schedule C will not	with tangata whenua for activities in the	
Wairarapa (S85)	S85.008			and rivers	activity.	Amend		be protected.	beds of lakes and rivers.	
								Submission points will help maintain,		Sup
								protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity		sub
								and waterways throughout Wellington and		sou
					Rule R145: All other			are consistent with higher order documents,		stat
					uses of river and lake			including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the		inco
Rangitāne o		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	beds – discretionary			NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Bird
Wairarapa (S85)		Bird	FS23.462	and rivers	activity.	-	Support		Allow	spe
								Supports the rule, specifically the approach	Retain as notified.	
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			that the ongoing diversion can only be considered permitted if all of the conditions	Other relief as may be required to address	
Wellington Water Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			of the resource consent to lawfully establish	the issues identified, including relief that is	
(S151)	S151.049			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support		the diversion have been complied with.	alternative, additional or consequential.	
(0101)	5151.045				pormitted activity.	Support		Submission points would likely result in the	automative, auditionation consequential.	Орр
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			further loss of indigenous biodiversity and		subi
			1	1	1	1	1	,	1	1 500
Wellington Water Ltd		Forest &		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river -			degradation of waterways throughout		sou

1	1
FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Not stated	Accept
Support the whole of the	Reject
Support the whole of the submission and all relief	
sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	
inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and	
specific relief.	Reject
Oppose the whole of the	Accept
submission and all relief sought except for where	
points are consistent with	Reject

	Original	Further						Reasons		Τ
Oniginal Cubraittan	Submission	Submitter	FS	Dianastian	Ducudation	SP	FS		Desision Remuseted	<b>FC</b> .
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position	order documents, including the NPS-FM, the	Decision Requested	FS d Fore
								NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including		poin
								s6).		pom
								Considers Rule 5.4.8 should be a		
								discretionary activity to provide fish passage		
								over artificial barriers such as dams even for		
Te Awarua o Porirua								those that have existed for 10 years or more -		
Harbour and								rather than reverting to permitted activity		
Catchments								status. Considers if these are consented		
Community Trust & Guardians of					Pulo P151A: Opgoing			using permitted activity status, this will authorise a past decision which leaves a		
Pāuatahanui Inlet				5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –			negative legacy and does not address the		
(S176)	S176.007			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		issues around fish passage that remain.	Make Rule R151A a discretionary activity	
(0170)					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Not stated		
Yvonne Weeber				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –					
(S183)	S183.162			and rivers	permitted activity.	Neutral			Not stated	
								Our natural environment should be		
								protected or improved where it is degraded		
								or risks being degraded, especially our		
								remaining native bush areas and all streams		
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and		
								surrounding area. MPHRCI supports		
								retention of provisions (and plan		
								amendments) that will help achieve this		
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this		
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very		
								recently, relatively high natural character		
								and ecological values, open space values,		
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial		
								vegetation clearance and earthworks		
								activities on the site have resulted in		
								significant adverse environmental effects		
								which should be remedied and mitigated.		
								There is substantial community objection to		
								this land being rezoned from rural to urban.		
								MPHRCI does not agree with Waste		
								Management New Zealand Limited that		
								"planning for the site to be used for a		
								resource recovery park is well advanced,		
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and		
								environmental effects and as such it should		
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing		
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and		
								arrogant statement to make. There is		
								considerable community concern about, and		
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being		
								considered as a potentially appropriate site		
								for urban development, let alone being		
								considered appropriate for industrial and		
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does		
								not agree with the relief sought by those		
		Manor						submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning		
		Park and						of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.		
		Haywards						Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the		
		Residents						submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is		
		Communit						rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no		
		y Incorporat						way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status		
		Incorporat e			Rule R151A: Ongoing			to prevent urban land uses on this site, or		
Yvonne Weeber		e ("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			discharges to water from activities on this		
(S183)			FS27.162	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not
()		,			in the dealing i			Concerns permitted activity status for	Retain river diversions as discretionary or	
								permanent diversions over 10 years risks	restricted activities to allow for uptake of	
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			resulting in inappropriate structures creating	new river management systems, ideas, and	
Wellington Fish and										
Wellington Fish and Game Regional				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			potential adverse effects to waterbody flow,	materials as knowledge and technologies	

decision sought	Officer recommendation
orest & Bird's submission bints and specific relief.	
	Reject
	No recommendation
ot stated	No recommendation
	Reiect

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS d
								passage issues, which are contrary to Te Mana o te Wai, NPS-FM, and RMA.		
Wellington Fish and		New Zealand Farm Forestry			Rule R151A: Ongoing			Not stated		
Game Regional		Associatio	F00 000	5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river -		0		Disclose	Net
Council (S188) Wellington Fish and Game Regional		n (NZFFA) Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporat ed)	FS9.023	5.4 Beds of lakes	permitted activity. Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –		Oppose	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Disallow	Not :
Council (S188)		(MPGC)	FS21.028	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support		Allow	Not s
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1179	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Supp subr soug state inco Bird' spec
Wellington Fish and		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Communit y Incorporat e			Rule R151A: Ongoing			Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'''. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alon		
Game Regional Council (S188)		("MPHRCI"	FS27.1112	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Nots

S decision sought	Officer recommendation
ot stated	Accept
ot stated	Reject
upport the whole of the ubmission and all relief bught be unless otherwise ated or where points are consistent with Forest & rd's submission points and	
pecific relief.	Reject
ot stated	Reject

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington Fish and	Point (SP)	(гз)	number	Plan Section	Rule R151A: Ongoing	Position	Position	Permitted activity status is appropriate for	Decision Requested	F5 decision sought	recommendation
Game Regional		Wellington		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			diversions that come within the rule.			
Council (S188)		Water Ltd	FS39.276	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose		Disallow	Not stated	Accept
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports the inclusion of this rule which will negate the requirement for long term river			
Horokiwi Quarries				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			diversions where that diversion is			
Ltd (S2)	S2.015			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support		permanent.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Minatana Arranata				E 4 Dada af Jakaa	Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports the rule as it will negate the			
Winstone Aggregates (S206)	S206.031			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		requirement for long term river diversions where they are permanent.	Retain as notified		Accept
(0200)						oupport		Considers the rule is overly complicated and			
								does not see the reason for differentiating			
								between existing diversions associated with a structure and existing diversions which do	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity <strong></strong>		
								not include structures, or the need to	An existing permanent diversion, that was		
								differentiate between diversions consented	lawfully established by way of a resource		
								before or after PC1 became operative. (> Coole for always (a) the words for at the	consent is a permitted activity [provided]		
								/>Seeks for clause (a) the words "as at the date of this rule becoming operative" should	all of the conditions of the resource consent which lawfully established the		
								be deleted, and a specific date inserted as	diversion have been complied with.		
								the date at which the rule becomes operative			
Woodridge Holdings				5.4 Beds of lakes	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river –			will be harder to determine as time progresses. This change should be made	Delete all use of the words "as at the date of this rule becoming operative" in PC1 and		
Ltd (S255)	S255.019			and rivers	permitted activity.	Amend		where ever this or a similar reference is used.	insert a specific date.		Accept in part
								Considers the rule enables an established			
								diversion to continue indefinitely, regardless			
								of size or effects. Considers such diversion should be subject to assessments and			
								consenting to manage effects on natural			
								form, character and health; ecosystem	Make a discretionary activity.		
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			health; and Te Mana o te Wai. Considers	Any further concernantial or alternative		
				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			permitted activity status inappropriate and that greater scope is required to manage	Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate		
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.039			and rivers	permitted activity.	Oppose		potential ongoing effects.	to address concerns.		Reject
		New						Not stated			
		Zealand Farm									
		Forestry			Rule R151A: Ongoing						
		Associatio		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river -						
Forest & Bird (S261)		n (NZFFA)	FS9.366	and rivers	permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
								protected or improved where it is degraded			
								or risks being degraded, especially our			
								remaining native bush areas and all streams			
								and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports			
								retention of provisions (and plan			
								amendments) that will help achieve this			
								outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this			
								outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very			
								recently, relatively high natural character			
								and ecological values, open space values,			
								and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks			
								activities on the site have resulted in			
								significant adverse environmental effects			
		Manor Bork and						which should be remedied and mitigated.			
		Park and Haywards						There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban.			
		Residents						MPHRCI does not agree with Waste			
		Communit						Management New Zealand Limited that			
		y Incorporat						"planning for the site to be used for a			
		Incorporat e			Rule R151A: Ongoing			resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken			
		("MPHRCI"		5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			that demonstrate the use is suitable and			
Forest & Bird (S261)		)	FS27.658	and rivers	permitted activity.		Support	environmental effects and as such it should	Allow	Not stated	Reject

	Original Submission	Further Submitter	FS			SP	FS	Reasons			Officer
Original Submitter	Point (SP)	(FS)	number	Plan section	Provision	Position	Position		Decision Requested	FS decision sought	recommendation
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing			
								urban area'". This is a disingenuous and			
								arrogant statement to make. There is			
								considerable community concern about, and			
								opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being			
								considered as a potentially appropriate site			
								for urban development, let alone being			
								considered appropriate for industrial and			
								waste management land uses. MPHRCI does			
								not agree with the relief sought by those			
								submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning			
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.			
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the			
								submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is			
								rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no			
								way should it be considered as urban or as			
								'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status			
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or			
								discharges to water from activities on this			
								site, is appropriate.			
					Rule R151A: Ongoing			Supports lawfully established activities as a			
Fulton Hogan Ltd				5.4 Beds of lakes	diversion of a river –			permitted activity			
(S43)	S43.006			and rivers	permitted activity.	Support			Retain as notified		Accept