## In the Environment Court of New Zealand Wellington Registry

I te Kōti Taiao o Aotearoa Ki te Whanganui-a-Tara

#### ENV-2024-WLG-000044

Under cl 14 of Schedule 1 to the Resource Management Act 1991

("RMA")

In the matter of an appeal against parts of a decision of the Greater Wellington

Regional Council on Change 1 and Variation 1 to the

Wellington Regional Policy Statement

Between Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand

Incorporated

Appellant

And Greater Wellington Regional Council

Respondent

Notice of Meridian Energy Limited's wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 of the RMA

9 December 2024

## Section 274 party's solicitors:

Michael Garbett | Rebecca Kindiak
Anderson Lloyd
Level 12, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016
Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054
DX Box YX10107 Dunedin
p + 64 3 477 3973
michael.garbett@al.nz | rebecca.kindiak@al.nz



#### To the Registrar

#### **Environment Court**

## Wellington

1 Meridian Energy Limited gives notice it wishes to be party to the following proceedings:

Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated v Greater Wellington Regional Council (ENV-2024-WLG-000044) being an appeal under clause 14 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**), against parts of the decision of the Greater Wellington Regional Council (**GWRC**) on Change 1 and Variation 1 to the Wellington Regional Policy Statement (**RPS**).

### 2 Meridian Energy Limited:

- (a) made a submission and a further submission about the subject matter of the proceedings; and
- (b) is a person with an interest in the proceedings which is greater than the general public, being a Crown majority-owned publicly listed company undertaking renewable electricity generation activities, and with a special interest in implementing national direction under the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation (NPS-REG).
- 3 Meridian Energy Limited is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 4 Meridian Energy Limited is interested in those parts of the proceeding identified in Attachment 1, concerning the issues identified in Attachment 1, and seeks the relief in Attachment 1 and any ancillary relief to that identified in Attachment 1.
- 5 Meridian Energy Limited agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 9th day of December 2024

m. fileti.

Michael Garbett/Rebecca Kindiak Counsel for Meridian Energy Limited

This document is filed by Michael Garbett, solicitor for the Section 274 party, of the firm Anderson Lloyd.

The address for service of the Section 274 party is Level 12, Otago House, 477 Moray Place, Dunedin 9016. Documents for service on the filing party may be left at that address for service or may be:

- (a) posted to the solicitor at Private Bag 1959, Dunedin 9054; or
- (b) left for the solicitor at a document exchange for direction to DX Box YX10107 Dunedin; or
- (c) transmitted to the solicitor by fax to + 64 3 477 3184; or
- (d) emailed to michael.garbett@al.nz and ellie.taffs@meridianenergy.co.nz

#### **Advice**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.

# Attachment 1: Specific provisions of Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated's appeal in which Meridian has an interest

Provision in which Meridian has a s274 interest	Relief sought by the Appellant	Meridian supports or opposes the appellant's relief sought	Reason for Meridian's support or opposition
Policies 24 and 24A	Seeks an amendment to delete the carve-out for renewable energy generation (REG).	Opposes	Meridian considers that there is not a complete carve out for REG – policy 24D provides for REG, and further that the NPS-IB contains an exclusion in Part 1.3(3) for the development, operation, maintenance or upgrade of REG assets and activities.
Policy 24C	Seeks an amendment of the explanation affecting REG.	Opposes	The amendments sought do not match the reasoning provided.
Policy 24CC	Seeks an amendment that affects Regionally Significant Infrastructure (RSI) but not REG.	Opposes	Meridian considers that there is a consistency issue between REG and RSI.  Meridian also opposes the requirement for both a functional need and an operational need to justify a location in a coastal environment.
Policy 24D	Amend the heading and chapeau of 24D as follows:  Policy 24D: Managing the effects of REG activities and ET activities on indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and other significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the terrestrial environment – district and regional plans	Neither supports of opposes	The implications of the relief sought on Meridian's interests are unclear.

	As soon as reasonably practicable, and by no later than 4 August 2028, district and regional plans shall include policies, rules and methods to manage the effects of REG activities and ET activities on indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and other significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the terrestrial environment to:		
Policy 39	Amend the wording of Policy 39 as follows:  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, particular regard shall be given to:  (a) recognise and provide for the social, economic, cultural, and environmental benefits of energy generated from renewable energy resources and its transmission through the electricity transmission network; and  (b) recognise the social, economic, cultural, and environmental benefits of other and/or regionally significant infrastructure, including where it contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and provides for climate change mitigation, climate change adaptation and climate-resilience; and  (c) have particular regard to protecting regionally significant infrastructure from incompatible subdivision, use and development occurring under, over, or adjacent to the infrastructure; and	Opposes	The amendment sought directly contradicts the enabling language that Meridian sought, and achieved via its submission.

	(d) recognise and provide for the operational need and functional the need for renewable electricity generation activities to be in particular locations, including the need facilities to locate where the renewable energy resources exist; and (e) recognise the benefits of utilising the significant wind, solar and marine renewable energy resources within the Wellington Region and the development of the electricity transmission network to connect the renewable energy resource to distribution networks and end-users. In the alternative, amend all other chapeaus under Chapter 4.2 to accurately reflect the verbs employed and statutory weight to be given to matters as prescribed in the higher order policy statements.		
Policy 47	Amend the wording of Policy 47 as follows:  Policy 47: Managing effects on indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and other significant habitats of indigenous fauna – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, a determination shall be made as to whether an activity may affect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values, other significant habitats of indigenous fauna, and the ecosystem processes that support these ecosystems and habitats, and in	Opposes	The appellant's requested amendments to clauses (g) (i) and (j) directly challenge the carve-out provisions Meridian sought.

	mining whether the proposed activity is propriate particular regard shall be given to:		
(a)	maintaining connections within, or corridors between, habitats of indigenous flora and fauna and/or enhancing the connectivity between fragmented indigenous habitats; and		
(b)	providing adequate <i>buffering</i> around areas of significant indigenous ecosystems and habitats from other land uses; and		
(c)	managing <u>natural</u> wetlands for the purpose of aquatic <i>ecosystem</i> health, <u>recognising the</u> wider benefits, such as for <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity, water quality and holding water in the landscape; and		
(d)	avoiding the cumulative adverse effects of the incremental loss of <i>indigenous</i> ecosystems and <i>habitats</i> ; and		
(e)	providing seasonal or core habitat for indigenous species; and		
(f)	protecting the life supporting capacity of indigenous ecosystems and habitats; and		
(g)	remedying or mitigating minimising or remedying adverse effects on the indigenous biodiversity values where avoiding adverse effects is not possibly practicably achievable except where Clause (i) and (j) apply; and		
(h)	the need for a precautionary approach <u>to be</u> <u>adopted</u> when assessing <u>and managing</u> the		

	potential for adve ecosystems and	erse effects on <i>indigenous</i> habitats, <u>where;</u>		
	(i)	the effects on indigenous biodiversity are uncertain, unknown, or little understood; and		
	(ii)	those effects could cause significant or irreversible damage to indigenous biodiversity; and		
	biodiversity value 24C and the prin offsetting and bio Policy 24A, exce	protect significant es in Policy 24B, and Policy ciples for biodiversity odiversity compensation in ept that Policy 24A and ot apply to REG activities s; and		
9	effects of REG a	o manage the adverse activities and ET activities iodiversity values in Policy		
\ \cdot \cdo	significance to m whenua, includin significant site fo	nous biodiversity values of ana whenua / tangata g those associated with a r mana whenua / tangata d in a regional or district plan;		

(1)	enabling established activities affecting significant biodiversity values in the terrestrial environment to continue, where the effects of the activities:
	(i) <u>are no greater in intensity,</u> scale and character; and
	(ii) do not result in loss of extent, or degradation of ecological integrity, of any significant biodiversity values; and
(m)	ensuring that the adverse effects of plantation forestry activities on significant indigenous biodiversity values in the terrestrial environment are managed in a way that:
	(i) maintains significant indigenous biodiversity values as far as practicable, while enabling plantation forestry activities to continue; and
	(ii) where significant biodiversity values are within an existing plantation forest, maintains the long- term populations of any Threatened or At Risk (declining) species present

in the area over the course of consecutive rotations of production.

## **Explanation**

Policy 47 provides an interim assessment framework for councils, resource consent applicants and other interested parties, prior to the identification of ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values in accordance with policy 23, and the adoption of plan provisions for protection in accordance with policy 24. Remedying and mitigating effects can include offsetting, where appropriate.

Policy 47 makes it clear that the provisions in Policy 24 and Policy 24A to protect significant indigenous biodiversity values must be considered until those policies are given effect to in regional and district plans. Policy 47 also provides for established activities and plantation forestry activities affecting significant indigenous biodiversity values to continue, provided certain tests are met, consistent with the requirements in the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity 2023. The clauses above that relate to Policy 24A, Policy 24B and established activities do not apply to REG activities or ET activities.

In determining whether an activity may affect significant *indigenous biodiversity* values, the criteria in <u>pP</u>olicy 23 should be used.

This policy shall cease to have effect once policies 23	
and 24 are in place in an operative district or regional	
plan.	